<u>Item B: Technical WAC Amendments and Repeal, Round 2 - Rule Action</u>

TABLE OF CONTENTS

F	Page
Decision Page/"Green sheet" i	i-ii
CR 105	. 1-2
REPEALER, chapter 220-16 WAC sections	. 3
WAC 220-56-129, Unclassified freshwater invertebrates and fish	. 4
WAC 220-76-130, Marine finfish aquacultureAquaculture facility inspection authority	. 5
WAC 220-77-081, Aquaculture facility inspection authority	. 6
REPEALER, WAC 220-130-010, Purpose	. 7
REPEALER, WAC 232-13-010, Introduction	. 8
WAC 232-13-020, Purpose	. 9

"GREEN SHEET"

Meeting: May 18, 2012, Commission Conference Call

Agenda item B: WAC Overhaul Technical Rule Changes, Round 2 - Rule Action

Staff Contact: Mike Cenci, Deputy Chief, Enforcement Program

Presenter(s): Joanna Eide, Administrative Regulations Analyst,

Enforcement Program

Background: A WAC overhaul project is currently underway to update, reorganize, and streamline the department's administrative code. The WAC overhaul project was presented to the Commission during the February 2012 meeting. Several technical amendments for rule clarity, uniformity, and accuracy, as well as the repeal of outdated and unnecessary rules, will be done as part of this project. This agenda item will brief the Commission on an expedited rule-making proposal that was filed on February 21, 2012, as part of the WAC overhaul. The purpose of the proposal is to amend some WAC sections to make small technical changes to the titles and language of rules for accuracy and clarity. It makes one change to a WAC section in order to align it with another rule. The proposal also repeals several outdated and unnecessary WAC sections.

Policy issues: The proposal includes technical amendments to clarify words and correct outdated statutory references. The only policy issue to consider relates to a one-line amendment to align WAC 220-56-129 with WAC 220-12-090 in accordance with Commission action already taken.

Public involvement process and outcome: Because the proposed changes are technical rather than substantive, we are using the expedited rule-making process. This process allows people to object to its use, but it does not provide for public input on the underlying rules. The public may object by April 23, 2012; however, no contact from the public has been received, and none is anticipated, due to the technical nature of these changes.

Action requested: Adopt the proposed amendments and repealers as presented during the Commission's May 4, 2012, conference call.

Draft motion language: "I move to adopt the changes to WAC 220-56-129, 220-76-130, 220-77-081, and 232-13-020, and the repeal of WAC 220-16-230, 220-16-235, 220-16-275, 220-16-280, 220-130-010, and 232-13-010, as proposed."

Justification for Commission Action: Rule language is supposed to be efficient,

clear, legally adequate, and accurate. The proposed amendments are in accordance
with and will promote these goals while avoiding changes to the underlying meaning of
the rules. The repeal of outdated rules in this proposal will promote the clarity and
efficiency of WDFW's administrative code.

Communications Plan: This expedited proposal is listed on the agency's website, on the Current Rule Making Activities page. No formal communication plan was needed.

Form updated 04/26/2010 - sdy



EXPEDITED RULE MAKING

CR-105 (June 2004)
(Implements RCW 34.05.353)
EXPEDITED RULE MAKING ONLY

1889		EXPEDITED ROLE WARRING ONLY
Agency: Washington Department of Fish and Wildli	ife	
Title of rule and other identifying information: WAC 220-16-230 Geographical definitions – Outsid WAC 220-16-235 Geographical definitions – Inside WAC 220-16-275 Geographical definitions – Village WAC 220-16-280 Geographical definitions – Clam a WAC 220-56-129 Unclassified freshwater invertebra WAC 220-76-130 Marine finfish aquaculture – Aqua WAC 220-77-081 Aquaculture facility inspection aut WAC 220-130-010 Purpose; WAC 232-13-010 Introduction; and WAC 232-13-020 Purpose.	Initiative 77 line; e Point; and oyster districts; ates and fish; aculture facility inspection a	uthority;
	NOTICE	
THIS RULE IS BEING PROPOSED UNDER AN EXNEED FOR THE AGENCY TO HOLD PUBLIC HEASTATEMENT, OR PROVIDE RESPONSES TO THE OBJECT TO THIS USE OF THE EXPEDITED RULL WRITING AND THEY MUST BE SENT TO	(PEDITED RULE-MAKING ARINGS, PREPARE A SM/ E CRITERIA FOR A SIGNI	ALL BUSINESS ECONOMIC IMPACT FICANT LEGISLATIVE RULE. IF YOU
Name: Joanna E	ide, Administrative Regulat	ions Analyst
Agency: WDFW E		
Address: 600 Capi		
	WA 98501-1091	
	ide@dfw.wa.gov	
Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, in repeal several WAC sections that are outdated, r to WAC titles to ensure clarity and uniformity; and Reasons supporting proposal: These changes will elithe department's administrative code. Keeping in confusion. The proposed technical changes to experience of the confusion.	redundant, and/or no longer d to align a WAC with anoth iminate unneeded rules and correct, outdated, or unnec	recessary; to make small technical changes per rule. If contribute to efforts to streamline and clarify essary rules in the code will only lend to
Of the state of th		
Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 34.05.353(1)(c) a 34.05.353(2)(c) and (d), and 77.12.047(1)(o).		g implemented: RCW 34.05.353(1)(c) and (e), c) and (d), and 77.12.047(1)(o).
Federal Law? Federal Court Decision? State Court Decision? If yes, CITATION: PATE Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No	OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED
February 21, 2012		ATE: February 21, 2012 IME: 11:25 AM
NAME (TYPE OR PRINT) Joanna Eide	v	/SR 12-05-101
TITLE		12 00 101
Administrative Regulations Analyst		

(COMPLETE REVERSE SIDE)

Name of agency personnel responsible for: Name Office Location Phone Drafting	Private Public Governmental
Drafting	
Implementation Deputy Chief Mike Cenci 1111 Washington St. SE, Olympia, WA 98504 (360) 902-2 Enforcement Chief Bruce Bjork 1111 Washington St. SE, Olympia, WA 98504 (360) 902-2 Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, matters:	
Enforcement Chief Bruce Bjork 1111 Washington St. SE, Olympia, WA 98504 (360) 902-2 Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, matters:	2403
Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, matters:	2938
matters:	2373
	and fiscal

REPEALER

The following sections of the Washington Administrative Code are repealed:

WAC 220-16-230	Geographical definitionsOutside
	Initiative 77 line.
WAC 220-16-235	Geographical definitionsInside
	Initiative 77 line.
WAC 220-16-275	Geographical definitionsVillage
	Point.
WAC 220-16-280	Geographical definitions Clam and
	oyster districts.

REPEALER

The following section of the Washington Administrative Code is repealed:

WAC 220-130-010

Purpose.

REPEALER

The following section of the Washington Administrative Code is repealed:

WAC 232-13-010 Introduction.

AMENDATORY SECTION (Amending Order 10-64, filed 3/19/10, effective 5/1/10)

- WAC 220-56-129 Unclassified freshwater invertebrates and fish. (1) Definitions. For purposes of this section, "freshwater clams and mussels" means all freshwater bivalves existing in Washington in a wild state, except prohibited aquatic animal species classified under WAC 232-12-090.
- (2) It is unlawful for any person to take or possess freshwater clams and mussels taken for personal use. Freshwater clams and mussels include all bodily parts but does not include five pounds or less of relic shells of freshwater clams and mussels. A relic (dead) shell is defined as one which apparently died of natural causes and contains no meat or soft parts: It readily exhibits noticeable sediment, vegetation, algal or mineral stains, discolorations, soiling, weathering or other visual evidence on its interior surface which clearly and unambiguously shows the shell has not been cooked-out or freshly cleaned. No license or permit is required to take or possess up to five pounds of relic shells per day. It is unlawful to take or possess more than five pounds of relic shells without first obtaining a scientific collection permit.
- (3) It is unlawful to retain any freshwater fish not classified as a food fish or game fish, with the exception of northern pike when taken in accordance with WAC 220-12-090.
- (4) It is unlawful for any person to take, fish for or possess Pacific lamprey, western brook lamprey, or river lamprey taken for personal use.
 - (5) Violation of this rule is punishable under RCW 77.15.140.

AMENDATORY SECTION (Amending Order 07-293, filed 12/17/07, effective 1/17/08)

WAC 232-13-020 <u>Declaration of purpose—Department lands</u>. The primary purpose of department lands is the preservation, protection, perpetuation and management of fish and wildlife and their habitats. Public use of department lands may include fishing, hunting, fish and wildlife appreciation, and other outdoor recreational opportunities when compatible with healthy and diverse fish and wildlife populations.

AMENDATORY SECTION (Amending Order 02-309, filed 12/24/02, effective 7/1/03)

WAC 220-76-130 ((Marine finfish aquaculture—))Aquaculture facility inspection authority—Marine finfish aquaculture. Authorized department employees shall, at reasonable times and in a reasonable manner, have access to marine aquatic farming locations to conduct inspections to determine conformity with the law and the rules of the department relating to preventing escaped finfish and/or the recapture of escaped finfish. The department shall conduct at least annual inspections of marine finfish aquaculture facilities of the state.

AMENDATORY SECTION (Amending Order 01-281, filed 12/21/01, effective 1/21/02)

WAC 220-77-081 Aquaculture facility inspection authority—Generally. Authorized department employees shall, at reasonable times and in a reasonable manner, have access to all finfish aquaculture facilities to conduct inspections for the prevention and suppression of aquaculture diseases, including, but not limited to, taking samples for detection of regulated finfish pathogens and other diseases. If the department is denied access, a court of competent jurisdiction may issue a search warrant authorizing access to the facility upon a showing that the facility is engaged in aquaculture production and that access has been denied.