# **Reducing the Spread of Hoof Disease**

# WAC 220-413-200

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Summary Sheet	1
WAC 220-413-200 Reducing the spread of hoof disease – Unlawful transport of elk hooves	3
Summary of Written Comment	4
CR-102	5

Meeting dates:	April 5-6, 2019
Agenda item:	Reducing the spread of hoof disease – Unlawful transport of elk hooves
Presenter(s):	Brock Hoenes, Deer and Elk Section Manager, Game Division, Wildlife Program

#### Background summary:

Staff briefed the Commission on proposed amendments to WAC 220-413-200 Reducing the spread of hoof disease – Unlawful transport of elk hooves.

WAC 220-413-200 is intended to reduce the potential of spreading the causative bacterial agent(s) of treponeme associated hoof disease (TAHD). The rule restricts the transport of elk hooves from harvested animals that were killed in GMUs where TAHD has been confirmed.

WAC 220-413-200 was most recently updated in September 2018. Since that time, TAHD has been confirmed adjacent to Olympic National Park in GMU 621, GMU 636, and GMU 638. With the addition of GMUs 621 and 638, the rule would apply in all but 16 of the 61 western Washington GMUs that are not islands in Puget Sound. The proposed rule change prepared for the March Commission meeting represented an expansion of this rule to all 400, 500, and 600 GMUs to the restriction.

Shortly after those recommendations were developed, the Department was notified of a positive confirmation in the Blue Mountains (GMU 154) of southeast Washington, which warranted consideration of a statewide expansion or dissolving the rule. At the March Commission meeting, the Department proposed to delay any update to this rule until they had an opportunity to consult with technical experts, Enforcement, and Washington State University.

That consultation has occurred, but a consensus regarding whether the rule should be applied statewide or dissolved has not been reached. In general, the legitimacy of the rule was questioned because we have no scientific basis supporting it. However, the lack of information about how the disease is spread also garnered support from a precautionary perspective.

The Department is proposing an expansion of this rule to all western Washington GMUs as originally proposed, and delaying a decision on statewide expansion until a later date after options have been thoroughly vetted with technical experts, Enforcement, and Washington State University.

# Policy issue(s) you are bringing to the Commission for consideration:

Restricting the movement of elk hooves from elk harvested in western Washington.

#### Fiscal impacts of agency implementation:

No fiscal impacts beyond the status quo.

#### Public involvement process used and what you learned:

The Department notified approximately 12,000 individuals and organizations informing them of the opportunity to provide comment on the proposed regulation amendments. Additionally, these individuals and organizations were informed of the opportunity to provide verbal testimony at the March 1-2, 2019 Commission meeting in Spokane.

#### Action requested:

Adopt WAC 220-413-200

#### Draft motion language:

I move to adopt WAC 220-413-200 as presented.

# Justification for Commission action:

To provide sustainable hunting opportunities, consistent with achieving management objectives.

Post decision communications plan: WDFW Website News Release Hunting Regulations Pamphlet

Form revised 9/13/17

AMENDATORY SECTION (Amending WSR 18-19-069, filed 9/17/18, effective 10/18/18)

WAC 220-413-200 Reducing the spread of hoof disease—Unlawful transport of elk hooves. (1) It is unlawful to transport the hooves of harvested elk beyond the site where the animal was killed in <u>all</u> <u>400, 500, and 600 series</u> Game Management Units ((407, 418, 437, 454, <u>501 through 564, 568, 572, 574, 578, 633, 636 and 642 through 699</u>)), except when specifically authorized by the department or when acting as an agent of the department in the limited capacity of cooperating with research or management actions regarding hoof disease as directed by the department.

(2) Violation of this section is an infraction under RCW77.15.160 Infractions.

[Statutory Authority: RCW 77.04.012, 77.04.055, 77.12.047, 77.12.240, and 77.04.020. WSR 18-19-069 (Order 18-246), § 220-413-200, filed 9/17/18, effective 10/18/18. Statutory Authority: RCW 77.04.012, 77.12.240, 77.04.055, 77.12.047, 77.12.150, 77.32.090, and 77.32.530. WSR 17-17-088, § 220-413-200, filed 8/17/17, effective 9/17/17. Statutory Authority: RCW 77.04.012, 77.04.013, 77.04.020, 77.04.055,

12/28/2018 12:00 PM

[1] NOT FOR FILING OTS-1181.1

and 77.12.047. WSR 17-05-112 (Order 17-04), recodified as § 220-413-200, filed 2/15/17, effective 3/18/17. Statutory Authority: RCW 77.04.012, 77.04.020, 77.04.055, 77.12.047, 77.12.150, 77.12.240, 77.12.800, 77.32.090, and 77.32.155. WSR 16-12-087, § 232-12-286, filed 5/31/16, effective 7/1/16. Statutory Authority: RCW 77.04.012, 77.04.055, 77.12.047, 77.12.455, and 77.32.070. WSR 14-17-093 (Order 14-215), § 232-12-286, filed 8/19/14, effective 9/19/14.]

# Summary of Public Comments Received During the Official Comment Period and WDFW Response:

# WAC 220-413-200 Reducing the spread of hoof disease—Unlawful transport of elk hooves

#### **Supporting Comments:**

There were five (5) comments in support of the proposal. Comments either expressed general support or highlighted the urgency of reducing the spread of hoof disease.

# **Opposing, Neutral, and Other Comments:**

There were no comments in opposition to the proposal and one (1) neutral comment. The neutral comment advocated for letting hunters shoot elk that were visibly limping.

There was no public testimony at the March Fish and Wildlife Commission meeting pertaining to this rule proposal.

#### **Direction and Rationale:**

Following the confirmation of TAHD in new areas of the Olympic Peninsula, the Department originally proposed expanding this rule to all 400, 500, and 600 series GMUs. Shortly after that proposed rule change was developed, TAHD was confirmed in the Blue Mountains of southeast Washington, which warranted consideration of a statewide expansion or abolition of the rule. The Department consulted with technical experts, Enforcement, and Washington State University, but a general consensus was not identified, which highlights the need for additional discussions before a final decision is made. As such, the Department will move forward with the original recommendation to the Fish and Wildlife Commission and delay a decision on statewide expansion until a later date after options have been thoroughly vetted.

CODE REVISER USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: January 18, 2019

TIME: 11:41 AM

WSR 19-03-129

# **PROPOSED RULE MAKING**



# CR-102 (August 2017) (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do NOT use for expedited rule making

Agency: Washington E	Department	of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW)				
Original Notice						
Supplemental Notic	ce to WSR					
Continuance of WSR						
☑ Preproposal Stater	☑ Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR <u>18-23-030 on November 14, 2018</u> ; or					
Expedited Rule Ma	kingProp	osed notice was filed as WSR	; or			
-		W 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1).				
Proposal is exemption	t under RC	W .				
		g information: (describe subject)				
Amended Rules						
WAC 220-410-040 Gar	ne manage	ment units (GMUs) boundary deso	criptions – Region four.			
WAC 220-410-060 Gar	ne manage	ment units (GMUs) boundary deso	criptions – Region six.			
		wild turkey auction, raffle, and spe	cial incentive permits.			
WAC 220-412-100 Lan						
		d retention of dead nonresident wi ion of wildlife – Evidence of sex –				
		es and firearm restrictions.	Demniions.			
		pread of hoof disease – Unlawful	transport of elk hooves			
WAC 220-414-070 Arcl						
		ter orange clothing requirements.				
		er general season and definitions.				
WAC 220-415-030 201		•				
WAC 220-415-040 Elk						
WAC 220-415-050 201 WAC 220-415-060 Elk		general season and definitions.				
		easons, permit quotas, and areas.				
WAC 220-415-120 201						
WAC 220-415-130 2019 Mountain goat seasons and permit quotas.						
		ratory waterfowl seasons and reg				
		irds for training dogs, field trials –	Marking requirements.			
WAC 220-417-030 Wild						
WAC 220-430-010 Game farm license provisions. WAC 220-450-010 Criteria for planting aquatic plants and releasing wildlife.						
Hearing location(s):						
• • • • • •	Time:	Location: (be specific)	Comment:			
		Natural Resources Building				
,		Room 172				
		1111 Washington Street SE				
		Olympia, WA 98501				
-		5-6, 2019 (Note: This is <b>NOT</b> the	effective date)			
Submit written comm						
Name: Wildlife Program						
Address: PO Box 43200, Olympia, WA 98504						
Email: wildthing@dfw.wa.gov						
Fax: (360) 902-2162						
Other: <u>https://wdfw.wa.</u> By (date) <u>February 13,</u>		g/regulations/seasonsetting/2019/				

#### Assistance for persons with disabilities:

Contact <u>Tami Lininger</u> Phone: (360) 902-2267 Fax: TTY: (800) 833-6388 Email: tami.lininger@dfw.wa.gov Other: By (date) February 22, 2019

Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules:

<u>WAC 220-410-040</u> Game management units (GMUs) boundary descriptions – Region four. The purpose of the proposal is to clarify boundary language as it pertains to Game Management Unit 485 Green River. The existing language can be somewhat complicated. The proposed changes take advantage of the well-marked boundaries of the Green River watershed which are identical to the GMU boundary.

WAC 220-410-060 Game management units (GMUs) boundary descriptions-Region six.

The purpose of the proposal is to clarify boundary language as it pertains to the boundary shared by Game Management Unit 653 White River and Game Management Unit 485 Green River. The existing language can be somewhat complicated. The proposed changes take advantage of the well-marked boundaries of the Green River watershed which are identical to the GMU boundary.

WAC 220-412-070 Big game and wild turkey auction, raffle, and special incentive permits.

Proposed change (1) would allow holders of an Eastside Elk Auction Permit, Eastside Elk Raffle Permit, South-Central Washington Big Game Raffle Permit, or Eastern Washington Elk Incentive Permit to hunt elk in GMU 334 (Ellensburg). This GMU is currently excluded from the legal hunt area.

Proposed change (2) would redirect the holder of the 'Rocky Mountain' bighorn sheep raffle permit to a portion of the Wenaha-Mountain View herd complex with a greater number of mature rams.

WAC 220-412-100 Landowner hunting permits.

This proposal modifies hunt dates on properties enrolled in WDFW's Landowner Hunting Permit (LHP) program for the 2019 hunting seasons. These sites offer special hunting opportunities to the public through permits issued by WDFW, raffles, or selection by the landowner.

WAC 220-413-030 Importation and retention of dead nonresident wildlife.

The proposal will ban the importation and retention of specific parts of dead nonresident wildlife that could contain Chronic Wasting Disease (CWD) from Tennessee. Specific circumstances are fully set forth in WAC 220-413-030 to include the state of Tennessee.

WAC 220-413-090 Field identification of wildlife – Evidence of sex – Definitions.

The proposal amends WAC 220-413-090 Field identification of wildlife-Evidence of sex-Definitions by changing subsection 1, related to the possession and transport of game birds by adding the fully feathered wing as an acceptable field identification requirement, with the exception of Canada and Cackling geese harvested in Goose Management Area 2 Coast and Inland where the head must be left attached for subspecies determination.

WAC 220-413-180 Special closures and firearm restrictions.

The proposed changes to the Special Closures and Firearm Restriction Areas WAC are administrative changes that clarify firearm restrictions in portions of Grays Harbor County and Pacific County only apply during modern firearm general deer and/or elk seasons. It also clarifies that Key Peninsula and Longbranch Peninsula are the same location in Pierce County.

WAC 220-413-200 Reducing the spread of hoof disease – Unlawful transport of elk hooves.

This rule is an attempt to reduce the potential risk of inadvertently spreading the causative agents of treponeme associated hoof disease (TAHD) in elk.

WAC 220-413-200 was most recently updated in September 2018. Since that time, TAHD has been confirmed along the border of GMUs 638 and 618, in the upper Wynoochee Valley (GMU 636) just south of Olympic National Park, and in GMU 621, necessitating the addition of GMUs 618, 621, and 638. With the addition of these 3 GMUs, the rule would apply in all but 15 of the 61 western Washington GMUs that are not islands in Puget Sound. The Department believes it prudent to add all western Washington GMUs to the restriction.

New Game Management Units (GMUs) are being proposed in which transport of elk hooves from harvested elk would be restricted. These new GMUs include all 400, 500, and 600 GMUs. The rule does allow, under certain circumstances, the legal transport of elk hooves to facilitate research by the Department and/or our collaborators.

#### WAC 220-414-070 Archery requirements.

The purpose of the proposal is to eliminate the restriction that makes it unlawful to hunt big game animals with any arrow weighing less than six grains per pound of draw weight with a minimum arrow weight of 300 grains. Such a rule change will ensure hunters are not unknowingly in violation of a restriction, while in no way negatively effecting the kill efficacy of modern archery equipment commonly in use today.

#### WAC 220-414-080 Hunting – Hunter orange clothing requirements.

This proposal clarifies the hunter orange rule to address the overlap between turkey hunting and general season modern firearm deer and elk seasons. This proposed change will allow turkey hunters not to wear orange except during the general modern deer and elk seasons. This change will allow turkey hunters more flexibility and maintain safety during the general modern firearm seasons. This proposal adds turkey to the hunter orange rule and requires turkey hunters that are hunting during a modern deer or elk firearm season to wear florescent orange.

#### WAC 220-415-020 2018-2020 Deer general seasons and definitions.

The purpose of this proposal is to retain general season deer hunting opportunity for 2019. In addition, the purpose of the proposal is to balance the hunting opportunity between user groups. The proposal also increases the opportunity when deer populations allow, and reduces the opportunity when declining deer numbers warrant a change.

#### WAC 220-415-030 2019 Deer special permits.

The purpose of this proposal is to retain special permit deer hunting opportunity for 2019. In addition, the purpose of the proposal is to balance the hunting opportunity between user groups. The proposal also increases the opportunity when deer populations allow, and reduces the opportunity when declining deer numbers warrant a change.

#### WAC 220-415-040 Elk area descriptions.

The purpose of this proposal is to allow the department to focus elk hunting pressure on a smaller scale than the Game Management Unit. Elk Areas help expand hunting opportunity that would normally not be available. Elk Areas also help accommodate wildlife conflict mitigation using hunting as a tool. The purpose of the proposal is to adjust the boundaries of Elk Area 6054, such that harvest is focused in areas where conflicts are most frequent.

#### WAC 220-415-050 2018-2020 Elk general seasons and definitions.

The purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules:

The elk seasons for fall of 2019 represent the second year of the 2018-2020 three-year hunting season package. The purpose of the proposal is to increase opportunities in some areas to assist with efforts to mitigate elk damage. The proposal also corrects typographical errors associated with season dates for the western Washington and Elk Area 3722 general elk modern firearm seasons.

WAC 220-415-060 2019 Elk special permits.

The purpose of this proposal is to retain elk special permit hunting opportunity for 2019. The purpose is also to balance the elk hunting opportunity between user groups. The proposal also increases elk hunting opportunity when elk populations allow, and reduces elk hunting opportunity when declining elk numbers warrant a change.

WAC 220-415-070 2019 Moose seasons, permit quotas, and areas.

The purpose of this proposal is to adjust moose permit limits to reflect population changes and recent harvest.

WAC 220-415-120 2019 Bighorn sheep season.

The purpose of this proposal is to adjust bighorn sheep hunt permit limits to reflect population changes and recent harvest.

WAC 220-415-130 2019 Mountain goat seasons and permit quotas.

The purpose of this proposal is to adjust mountain goat hunt permit limits to reflect population changes and recent harvest.

#### WAC 220-416-060 2019-2020 Migratory waterfowl seasons and regulations.

The proposal amends WAC 220-416-060 2018-19 Migratory waterfowl seasons and regulations to specify legal season dates, bag limits for the 2019-20 season.

Changes include:

- Adjusting season dates relative to 2019-2020 calendar dates
- Lowering Northern Pintail bag-limit to 1 pintail per day and associated possession limits per the USFWS Northern Pintail Harvest Strategy
- Proposed shift of 12-days for white goose hunting from the beginning of the season to February 22 March 4, 2020 in Goose Management Area 4
- Proposed addition of dates to brant season in Pacific County, contingent upon the most recent 3-year average results per the Pacific Brant Population Management Plan harvest strategy.

#### WAC 220-416-110 Use of game birds for training dogs, field trials – Marking requirements.

The proposed changes clarify that only one method is required for marking game birds and adds an additional method option, which is nasal scarring caused by an antipecking device. In addition, the proposal adjusts the ordering of existing options for marking non-waterfowl game birds.

#### WAC 220-417-030 Wild animal trapping.

The proposed changes clarify that restraining traps (live capture traps) must be checked every 24 hours, whether or not an animal has been captured.

#### WAC 220-430-010 Game farm license provisions.

The proposed changes clarify that a game farm license is required to sell species listed in subsection 2 (game birds and waterfowl). Also, the proposed changes clarify that a current, valid license is required to continue to possess, propagate, sell and transfer wildlife that was lawfully possessed on January 1, 1992. Lastly, the proposed changes add conditions for revocation or denial of a game farm's license and grounds for prosecution under existing RCW.

#### WAC 220-450-010 Criteria for planting aquatic plants and releasing wildlife.

The proposed changes clarify that game birds released for dog training and hunting pursuant to WAC 220-416-110 do not need to be purchased. Instead, they simply need to be acquired from certain facilities. These facilities include a department facility, a state- or federally-licensed game farm facility that has been inspected by a certified veterinarian within the past twelve months, or a facility with current National Poultry Improvement Plan certification.

#### Reasons supporting proposal:

<u>WAC 220-410-040</u> Game management units (GMUs) boundary descriptions – Region four. The proposed amendments will make the boundaries more discernable for hunters. The proposed changes will also make enforcement of hunting season rules and trespass rules more straightforward.

#### WAC 220-410-060 Game management units (GMUs) boundary descriptions-Region six.

The proposed amendments will make the boundaries more discernable for hunters. The proposed changes will also make enforcement of hunting season rules and trespass rules more straightforward.

#### WAC 220-412-070 Big game and wild turkey auction, raffle, and special incentive permits.

1) GMU 334 has historically been excluded from the legal hunt area for auction, raffle, and special incentive permits, in addition to Quality Elk and Bull Elk special permits because it primarily consists of private residential and agricultural properties within and/or immediately adjacent to the city limits of Ellensburg, which makes it difficult for most hunters to find an area within the GMU where they have permission to hunt. In addition, the potential for human-elk conflicts within this GMU is high and the Department limits elk numbers by providing numerous opportunities to harvest spike bull (or true spike) and antlerless elk during general seasons. However, with no opportunities to harvest branch-antlered bulls, the number of mature bulls has steadily increased and they are frequently the source of damage complaints from agricultural producers. Including opportunities to harvest branch-antlered bulls in GMU 334 would provide increased opportunity to hunters, reduce the number of branch-antlered bulls, and reduce the number of human-elk conflicts that occur in association with branch-antlered bulls.

2) These bighorn herds are closely managed, so biologists typically have good data on the number and distribution of the large-sized rams sought by auction and raffle holders. WDFW also co-manages these herds with Oregon and the Nez Perce Tribes, so fine-scale distribution of hunters is helpful.

#### WAC 220-412-100 Landowner hunting permits.

Several years ago, the Fish and Wildlife Commission developed a policy to expand the private lands available to the general public for hunting. One of the programs that was authorized is the Landowner Hunting Permit Program. This program encourages landowners to provide opportunity to the general hunter in exchange for customized hunting seasons and the ability to generate funding to offset the cost of providing public access.

#### WAC 220-413-030 Importation and retention of dead nonresident wildlife.

Because Tennessee has identified chronic wasting disease in wild, free-ranging deer, the department seeks to mitigate the risk to public health and safety by adding the state of Tennessee to WAC 220-413-030.

#### WAC 220-413-090 Field identification of wildlife – Evidence of sex – Definitions.

The proposed amendment to WAC 220-416-090 Field identification of wildlife-Evidence of sex-Definition, brings field identification of game birds in line with federal possession and transport identification requirements. Identification of migratory waterfowl by wing is a typical training component for federal and state enforcement and should be allowed as an appropriate method of identification for game bird hunters in Washington. The exception of Canada and Cackling geese harvested in Goose Management Area 2 Coast and Inland where the head must be left attached for subspecies determination must be required due to the use of a bill measurement criteria set in WAC 220-413-060 2019-2020 Migratory waterfowl seasons and regulations that differentiates the Dusky Canada goose subspecies which is closed to harvest.

#### WAC 220-413-180 Special closures and firearm restrictions.

The added language was needed to clarify that modern firearms could be used within these areas to mitigate damage issues during established permit and/or damage hunts, which increases the department's ability to accommodate both firearm restriction needs and wildlife conflict mitigation. The Key Peninsula is also known to some as Longbranch Peninsula; the proposed change clarifies they are the same location.

#### WAC 220-413-200 Reducing the spread of hoof disease – Unlawful transport of elk hooves.

This proposal adds GMUs where transport of elk hooves will be restricted in order to reduce the potential risk of the disease spreading.

#### WAC 220-414-070 Archery requirements.

Washington is the only state that has a minimum arrow weight to draw weight ratio and only one of five that have a similar regulation of minimum overall weight of 300 grains. With the advent of carbon arrow technology, which dominates today's market, it is likely a vast majority of archery hunters are unknowingly in violation of the arrow weight to draw weight ratio restriction. In addition, it is highly unlikely any archer would shoot an arrow that weighed less than 300 grains. Lastly, we have no additional information that indicates either of these restrictions increases kill efficacy.

#### WAC 220-414-080 Hunting – Hunter orange clothing requirements.

This proposal will increase turkey hunter success and maintain safety. Turkey seasons in the past did not overlap with modern deer or elk firearm season. New proposals to control growing turkey populations make it necessary to overlap those seasons. Last year we modified the hunter orange rule to include turkey. We have since found that the rule as written was too broad and turkey hunters had to wear orange throughout the fall season. This recommended rule adjustment provides more flexibility for turkey hunters, while maintaining the hunter orange requirement during the deer and elk modern firearm seasons.

#### WAC 220-415-020 2018-2020 Deer general seasons and definitions.

These proposals provide for recreational deer hunting opportunity and protects deer from overharvest. The proposal would maintain sustainable general deer hunting season opportunities for 2019. The proposal helps address deer agricultural damage problems and provides for deer population control when needed.

#### WAC 220-415-030 2019 Deer special permits.

These proposals provide for recreational deer hunting opportunity and protects deer from overharvest. The proposal would also maintain sustainable deer special permit hunting season opportunities for 2019. The proposal helps address deer agricultural damage problems and provides for deer population control when needed.

WAC 220-415-040 Elk area descriptions.

This proposal allows the department to use hunting as a management tool and expands elk hunting opportunity.

#### WAC 220-415-050 2018-2020 Elk general seasons and definitions.

The proposal provides for recreational elk hunting opportunity and helps address elk agricultural damage problems and provides for elk population control when needed.

WAC 220-415-060 2019 Elk special permits.

This proposal provides for recreational elk hunting opportunity and protects elk from overharvest. The proposal would maintain sustainable elk special permit hunting opportunity for 2019. The proposal helps address elk agricultural damage problems and provides for elk population control when needed.

WAC 220-415-070 2019 Moose seasons, permit quotas, and areas. Population abundance estimates and document of recent past harvest.

WAC 220-415-120 Bighorn sheep seasons and permit quotas. Population abundance estimates and document of recent past harvest.

WAC 220-415-130 2019 Mountain goat seasons and permit quotas. Population abundance estimates and document of recent past harvest.

#### WAC 220-416-060 2019-2020 Migratory waterfowl seasons and regulations.

Waterfowl seasons and regulations are developed based on cooperative management programs among states of the Pacific Flyway and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, considering population status and other biological parameters. The rule establishes waterfowl seasons and regulations to provide recreational opportunity, control waterfowl damage, and conserve the waterfowl resources of Washington.

WAC 220-416-110 Use of game birds for training dogs, field trials – Marking requirements. The proposal provides clarity so that the WAC is not misinterpreted to mean that game birds must be marked by all methods. The use of anti-pecking devices is standard among game farms in the western US, particularly for pheasants which can be aggressive in captivity. By allowing nasal scarring caused by these devices to meet the marking requirements, the department is reducing the burden on game farmers to apply an additional mark to game birds. This proposed change will also improve compliance in marking requirements. The proposal to adjust the order of the listed marking requirements is intended to emphasize less invasive methods. WAC 220-417-030 Wild animal trapping. The current WAC states that an animal captured in a restraining trap must be removed within 24 hours but doesn't state that traps must be checked every 24 hours. The proposed change clarifies that the traps must be checked every 24 hours and captured animals may not be restrained for more than 24 hours. WAC 220-430-010 Game farm license provisions. The proposed changes support game farm license holders by emphasizing that certain species cannot be sold without a game farm license. This is intended to reduce confusion and to ensure that unlicensed individuals are not selling these species. In addition, the proposed changes provide clarity regarding license requirements to game farm license holders who maintain species that were lawfully possessed prior to prior to January 1, 1992. The proposed changes also provide the department with the necessary tools to ensure that game farm license holders are compliant with the game farm license provisions. WAC 220-450-010 Criteria for planting aquatic plants and releasing wildlife. The proposed changes simplify requirements for obtaining game birds for dog training and hunting pursuant to WAC 220-416-110. The changes also seek to ensure that game birds are acquired from facilities that undergo appropriate inspections and follow appropriate guidelines to reduce the risk of disease transmission. Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 77.04.012, 77.04.013, 77.04.020, 77.04.055, 77.12.020, 77.12.047, 77.12.150, 77.12.240, 77.12.570, 77.32.070, and 77.32.530. Statute being implemented: RCW 77.04.012, 77.04.013, 77.04.020, 77.04.055, 77.12.020, 77.12.047, 77.12.150, 77.12.240, 77.12.570, 77.32.070, and 77.32.530. Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law? □ Yes 🖂 No Yes Federal Court Decision? No No State Court Decision? □ Yes 🛛 No If ves. CITATION: Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters: None. Name of proponent: (person or organization) Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. □ Private Public ⊠ Governmental Name of agency personnel responsible for: Name Office Location Phone Natural Resources Building Eric Gardner 1111 Washington St. SE Drafting: (360) 902-2515 Olympia, WA 98501 Natural Resources Building Implementation: Eric Gardner 1111 Washington St. SE (360) 902-2515 Olympia, WA 98501

Enforcement:	Steve Bear	Natural Resources Building 1111 Washington St. SE Olympia, WA 98501	(360) 902-2373
	•	nent required under RCW 28A.305.135?	🗆 Yes 🛛 No
If yes, insert stat	ement here:		
The public m	ay obtain a copy of the s	chool district fiscal impact statement by contacting:	
Name:	• • • •	, , , , ,	
Addres	SS:		
Phone	:		
Fax:			
TTY:			
Email:			
Other:			
ls a cost-benefi	t analysis required und	ler RCW 34.05.328?	
🗆 Yes: A p	reliminary cost-benefit a	nalysis may be obtained by contacting:	
Name:			
Addres	SS:		
Phone	:		
Fax:			
TTY:			
Email:			
Other:			
🛛 No: Ple	ase explain: The rule pro	posal does not require a cost-benefit analysis.	

Populator	v Egirnang Agt Cast Considerations for a S	mall Busin	aca Economia Impact Statements			
Regulatory Fairness Act Cost Considerations for a Small Business Economic Impact Statement:						
	roposal, or portions of the proposal, <b>may be e</b> .85 RCW). Please check the box for any appli		requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see ption(s):			
<ul> <li>This rul adopted so regulation t adopted.</li> <li>Citation and</li> </ul>	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exe blely to conform and/or comply with federal sta this rule is being adopted to conform or compl d description:	mpt under F atute or regu ly with, and (	RCW 19.85.061 because this rule making is being lations. Please cite the specific federal statute or describe the consequences to the state if the rule is not e the agency has completed the pilot rule process			
	RCW 34.05.313 before filing the notice of this					
□ This rul	<ul> <li>☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under the provisions of RCW 15.65.570(2) because it was adopted by a referendum.</li> </ul>					
	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exe	mpt under F	CW 19.85.025(3). Check all that apply:			
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e)			
	(Internal government operations)		(Dictated by statute)			
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f)			
	(Incorporation by reference)		(Set or adjust fees)			
$\boxtimes$	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d)	$\boxtimes$	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g)			
	(Correct or clarify language)		((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process			
			requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit)			
	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exe n of exemptions, if necessary: Proposed rule of	•				
	n or exemptions, in necessary. Proposed rule					
	COMPLETE THIS SECTION	ON ONLY IF	NO EXEMPTION APPLIES			
If the propo	osed rule is <b>not exempt</b> , does it impose more	-than-minor	costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?			
🗆 No	Briefly summarize the agency's analysis sh	owing how a	costs were calculated.			
□ Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses, and a small business economic impact statement is required. Insert statement here:						
The public may obtain a copy of the small business economic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by contacting:						
N	lame:					
	Address:					
P						
	ax: TY:					
	Email:					
	Other:					
Date: Janu	iary 18, 2019	Signat				
Name: Scott Bird			Scott Bled			
Title: Rules Coordinator						