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## INTRODUCTION

In recent years, abundant runs of hatchery Chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) have been mixed with depressed runs of wild Chinook salmon in the marine environments of the Puget Sound and Strait of Juan de Fuca. Providing recreational anglers with opportunities to harvest abundant hatchery stocks while simultaneously protecting weaker, wild stocks has proven to be a significant conservation and management challenge. The combination of large-scale hatchery marking (i.e., fin clipping) programs and mark-selective harvest regulations makes it possible for anglers to pursue and harvest hatchery Chinook salmon while minimally impacting wild salmon populations. In such "markselective fisheries" (MSFs), anglers are generally allowed to retain adipose-fin clipped ("marked") hatchery fish and are required to release unharmed any unclipped ("unmarked", predominantly wild) salmon encountered ${ }^{1}$.

Since the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented the first marine markselective Chinook fishery in Marine Catch Areas 5 and 6 (Strait of Juan de Fuca) in 2003 based on state-tribal agreements (WDFW 2008a), mark-selective Chinook salmon fishing regulations have been implemented on a pilot basis in multiple Puget Sound Marine Catch Areas during both summer and winter seasons. As of the close of summer 2010 fishing season, pilot summer selective Chinook seasons have occurred in Areas 5 and 6 for eight years (2003-2010; Thiesfeld and Hagen-Breaux 2005a, Thiesfeld and Hagen-Breaux 2005b, WDFW 2008a, WDFW 2009a, and WDFW 2010g) and in Areas 9, 10, 11, and 13 for four years (2007-2010; WDFW 2007a and 2007b, WDFW 2009b and 2009c, WDFW 2010e and 2010f). Draft reports for summer 2010 mark-selective fisheries are in preparation. Pilot winter selective Chinook fisheries have occurred in Areas 8-1 and 8-2 for five complete seasons (2005-06, 2006-07, 2007-08, 2009, and 2009-10; WDFW 2008b, WDFW 2009d, WDFW 2010b), Areas 9 and 10 for three winter seasons (2008, 2008-09, and 2009-10; WDFW 2010c, WDFW 2010d), Area 7 for three winter seasons (2008, 2009, and 2009-10; WDFW 2009e, WDFW 2010a), and in Areas 11 and 12 for one winter season from February 1 through April 30, 2010.

WDFW implemented seven pilot mark-selective Chinook fisheries during the 2009-10 winter season (i.e., October 2009 through April 2010 period) in Puget Sound, in Areas 7, 8-1, 8-2, 9, 10, 11 and 12. The 2009-10 winter Chinook MSF seasons in each of the areas were as follows:

1. Area 7 from December 1, 2009 through April 30, 2010;
2. Areas 8-1 and 8-2 from November 1, 2009 through April 30, 2010;
3. Area 9 from November 1-30, 2009 and January 16 - April 15, 2010;
4. Area 10 from October 1, 2009 through January 31, 2010; and
5. Areas 11 and 12 from February 1 through April 30, 2010.

Consistent with the 2004 Puget Sound Chinook Harvest Management Plan (Puget Sound Indian Tribes and WDFW 2004) and the intent of previous mark-selective Chinook fisheries, the primary goal for these pilot fisheries was to provide meaningful opportunity to the recreational angling public while minimally impacting ESA-listed Puget Sound Chinook salmon.

[^0]
## Comprehensive Sampling and Monitoring Program

Given the pilot nature of the mark-selective Chinook fisheries in Areas 7, 8-1, 8-2, 9, 10, 11, and 12, WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) was tasked with implementing a comprehensive sampling and monitoring program to collect the data needed to evaluate each pilot mark-selective Chinook fishery and its impact on unmarked salmon. As per state-tribal agreement (e.g., WDFW and NWIFC 2010), we developed area-specific sampling plans consisting of several comprehensive and complementary sampling components, including dockside creel sampling, test fishing, on-water or aerial effort surveys, and angler-completed voluntary trip reports (VTRs). We tailored area-specific sampling plans so that we could reliably estimate the following critical parameters needed for evaluating mark-selective fisheries: $i$ ) the mark rate of the targeted Chinook population, $i i$ ) the total number of Chinook salmon harvested (by size [legal or sublegal] and mark-status [marked or unmarked] group), iii) the total number of Chinook salmon released (by size and mark-status group), $i v$ ) the coded-wire tag- (CWT) and/or DNA-based stock composition of marked and unmarked Chinook mortalities ${ }^{2}$, and $v$ ) the total mortality of marked and unmarked double index tag (DIT) CWT stocks. In addition, we acquired and analyzed relevant data characterizing other aspects of the pilot fisheries, including descriptors of fishing effort, fishing success (catch [landed Chinook] per unit effort), the length and age composition of encountered Chinook, and the overall intensity of our sampling efforts.

## Reporting Efficiencies

In July 2010, technical staffs from the WDFW Puget Sound Sampling Unit, Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission (NWIFC), and Puget Sound Treaty Tribes met to discuss potential reporting efficiencies in WDFW's mark-selective Chinook fishery post-season reports. NWIFC and tribal representatives had initiated the idea for such a meeting, considering that we at WDFW had been submitting a separate post-season report for each area and season (since 2003) to the co-managers, resulting in redundancies between individual reports, particularly in the Methods section. Also, over the years we kept adding sections to the selective fishery annual reports, in response to individual tribal co-manager requests, and sustained those additions in each future report, resulting in ever-lengthening post-season reports. From both the WDFW and tribal technical perspectives, we needed to prioritize the most essential reporting elements and achieve efficiencies to streamline the selective fishery reporting work load.

Thus, at the July 2010 meeting the WDFW and tribal staffs worked on prioritizing the most essential elements (i.e., tables, figures, and appendices) needed in WDFW's annual post-season selective fishery reports in an effort to define reporting efficiencies. Based on these decisions (details available in a WDFW memo dated August 16, 2010 summarizing the July 2010 meeting), we began implementing reporting efficiencies starting with the current 2009-10 winter mark-selective Chinook fisheries postseason report.

At the July 2010 meeting we also agreed that a key efficiency in the annual reporting process would be for WDFW staff to produce a centralized Methods Report. The Methods Report would be a standalone document that includes the details of each area's Chinook MSF study design (for both winter and summer fisheries), sampling procedures, data analysis methods, and all equations used to generate estimates and variances. Thus, we refer the reader to our Methods Report (WDFW 2011) for detailed

[^1]descriptions of the diverse study designs and protocols used to monitor and evaluate the selective Chinook fisheries in Areas 7, 8-1, 8-2, 9, 10, 11, and 12 during winter 2009-10.

In the following pages, we report the results generated through our monitoring activities during the 2009-10 winter Areas 7, 8-1, 8-2, 9, 10, 11, and 12 mark-selective Chinook fisheries. We report results based on our new, more efficient reporting format agreed-to recently between state and tribal technical representatives, in which we focus on presenting data tables and figures rather than interpretive text (unless text is needed to specify noteworthy in-season adjustments or other circumstances unique to the particular season). We present 2009-10 winter Chinook MSF results in separate chapters (1 through 6) by area, and within each chapter the data are presented in a series of tables and figures generally according to the following sequence: $i$ ) estimates of fishery characteristics obtained from the dockside creel survey data, including catch and effort total estimates, Chinook length-frequency data, and CWT recovery results; $i i$ ) results from our recreational test fishery (where applicable); iii) results from our VTR collection efforts; iv) total mortality estimates of marked and unmarked DIT CWT stocks by hatchery and brood year; $v$ ) total fishery Chinook encounters and impacts-estimated based on creel survey and test fishery or VTR data-which we compare with pre-season expectations (i.e., based on Fishery Regulation Assessment Model [FRAM] predictions); vi) sample rate information based on dockside sampling of harvested Chinook; and vii) historical Chinook encounters estimates for each area's winter mark-selective Chinook fishery.

## RESULTS

## 1) Marine Area 7 Winter Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a mark-selective Chinook fishery (MSF) in Marine Area 7 for the third winter season from December 1, 2009 through April 30, 2010. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented an intensive monitoring program in Area 7 throughout the season in order to collect the data needed to estimate key parameters characterizing the fishery and its impacts on unmarked salmon. Sampling activities included dockside creel sampling, test fishing, and aerial effort surveys, and collecting voluntary trip reports (VTRs) from the angling public. Table $\mathbf{7 - 1}$ summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2011).

In this section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 7 winter selective Chinook fishery from December 1, 2009 through April 30, 2010. In addition to the major components of the results described previously (page 3), aerial survey and dockside data used to estimate the sample fraction in Area 7 (see WDFW 2011, Aerial-Access Design) are presented. Total salmon harvest and release estimates presented in this chapter include only Chinook salmon because no other salmon were reported as retained or released during the Area 7 winter fishery.

Table 7-1. Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 7 mark-selective fishery monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal <br> Parameter(s) | Secondary <br> Parameter(s) | Sample Unit(s) | Finest Estimation Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside Creel Sampling | Fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish ${ }^{1}$ | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{2}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release | Two weeks ${ }^{1}$ | Creel estimates were produced for twoweek estimation periods and stratified into "weekday" (Mon.-Thurs.) and "weekend" (Fri.-Sun.) day-type strata within weeks. For the weekday stratum, we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=8$ available weekdays per two-week period. For the weekend stratum, we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=3$ available weekend days per week ${ }^{1}$. |
| Aerial Surveys | Fraction of Area 7 effort (boats) captured in the four-site sample frame via creel surveys (Sample Fraction, $f_{i j}$ ). | Total boat counts at assumed peak effort time interval (instantaneous count); spatial distribution of fishing boats in the area. | Boats | Season | The sample fraction was calculated for individual aerial survey dates (see Table 7-12; $n=24$ surveys conducted out of $N=151$ days available in the season). To compute the season-wide sample fraction the aerial survey data were lumped across the season, due to low sample sizes for individual surveys. |
| Test Fishing | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status composition (marked, unmarked) of encountered Chinook | Chinook length, age, and DNA-based ${ }^{3}$ stock composition; species composition of nonChinook encounters. | Fish encounter | Season | Fisher's Exact test was used to compare the size/mark status proportions of the test fishery data (Table 7-5) to the VTR data (Table 7-6). The $\chi 2$ statistic was significant ( $\chi 2=9.698,3 \mathrm{df} ; \mathrm{P}=$ 0.016 ), indicating a difference between the two samples. However, due to the relatively small test fishery sample size ( $\mathrm{n}=60$ ) and the much larger VTR sample size ( $\mathrm{n}=180$ ), we felt that combining the test fishery and VTR data was a better representation of encountered Chinook proportions in Area 7. Thus, the combined totals were used to estimate the size/mark status proportions (LM=63.8\%, $\mathrm{LU}=26.7 \%$, $\mathrm{SM}=6.7 \%, \mathrm{SU}=2.9 \%$ ) needed to produce the estimates. |
| Voluntary Trip Reports (VTRs) | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status composition (marked, unmarked) of encountered Chinook | Encounter data for nonChinook species (e.g., coho) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season | VTR and test fishery data were combined for subsequent impact estimation steps; see comment in row above. |
| Overall Fishery Impacts Estimation | Total Chinook encounters and mortalities, by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook | N/A | Season | Estimated on a monthly time step but considered at the season-total level. |
| Coded-wire tag (CWT) Impacts Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season | The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

${ }^{1}$ To generate weekly catch and effort estimates, the four-day "weekday stratum" estimate for Monday-Thursday of each week (based on $n=2$ days sampled out of $N=8$ available weekdays per two-week period) is added to the "weekend stratum" (Friday-Sunday) estimate for the particular week (based on $n=2$ days sampled out of $N=3$ available weekend days per week). The eight-day weekday estimates for each twoweek period are then split evenly between individual weeks in the two-week block to enable weekly estimates, with variances computed using the $n=2$ days sampled out of $N=8$ available weekdays in the appropriate variance equation (see WDFW 2011).
${ }^{2}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.
${ }^{3}$ Though samples were collected, DNA-based estimates of stock composition are not yet available for this fishery.

Table 7-2. Estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and releases) during the December 1, 2009 April 30, 2010 Area 7 selective Chinook fishery. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. The lower $95 \%$ confidence interval for the estimated AD released Chinook is the actual number reported in the dockside creel.

| Sample <br> Month | Stat Week | Start Date | End Date | Est. Effort |  | Est. Retained Chinook |  | Est. Released Chinook |  | Est. Total Chinook Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Boats | Anglers | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| Dec | 49 | Dec-01 | Dec-06 | 129 | 278 | 60 | 0 | 15 | 32 | 107 |
|  | 50 | Dec-07 | Dec-13 | 173 | 339 | 97 | 0 | 25 | 51 | 173 |
|  | 51 | Dec-14 | Dec-20 | 135 | 249 | 54 | 0 | 14 | 29 | 96 |
|  | 52 | Dec-21 | Dec-27 | 205 | 441 | 70 | 0 | 18 | 37 | 124 |
|  | 53/1 | Dec-28 | Jan-03 | 171 | 315 | 70 | 0 | 18 | 37 | 125 |
| Jan | 2 | Jan-04 | Jan-10 | 145 | 275 | 80 | 0 | 20 | 42 | 142 |
|  | 3 | Jan-11 | Jan-17 | 183 | 391 | 88 | 0 | 22 | 47 | 157 |
|  | 4 | Jan-18 | Jan-24 | 185 | 388 | 59 | 0 | 15 | 31 | 105 |
|  | 5 | Jan-25 | Jan-31 | 241 | 519 | 61 | 0 | 15 | 32 | 108 |
| Feb | 6 | Feb-01 | Feb-07 | 274 | 569 | 87 | 0 | 22 | 46 | 154 |
|  | 7 | Feb-08 | Feb-14 | 177 | 401 | 35 | 0 | 9 | 18 | 62 |
|  | 8 | Feb-15 | Feb-21 | 260 | 513 | 50 | 0 | 13 | 26 | 88 |
|  | 9 | Feb-22 | Feb-28 | 163 | 312 | 17 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 29 |
| Mar | 10 | Mar-01 | Mar-07 | 155 | 277 | 27 | 0 | 7 | 14 | 47 |
|  | 11 | Mar-08 | Mar-14 | 98 | 192 | 31 | 0 | 8 | 16 | 54 |
|  | 12 | Mar-15 | Mar-21 | 163 | 328 | 39 | 0 | 10 | 21 | 70 |
|  | 13 | Mar-22 | Mar-28 | 500 | 1102 | 82 | 0 | 21 | 43 | 145 |
|  | 14 | Mar-29 | Apr-04 | 66 | 128 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 14 |
| Apr | 15 | Apr-05 | Apr-11 | 211 | 384 | 76 | 0 | 19 | 40 | 135 |
|  | 16 | Apr-12 | Apr-18 | 180 | 320 | 70 | 0 | 18 | 37 | 124 |
|  | 17 | Apr-19 | Apr-25 | 151 | 304 | 42 | 0 | 11 | 22 | 75 |
|  | 18 | Apr-26 | Apr-30 | 46 | 77 | 26 | 0 | 6 | 13 | 46 |
| Private Fleet Subtotal |  |  |  | 4,011 | 8,102 | 1,226 | 0 | 310 | 646 | 2,183 |
| Texas Holdem Derby Dec 4-5, 2009 |  |  |  | 17 | 40 | 17 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 30 |
| Roche Harbor Derby Feb 4-5, 2010 |  |  |  | 100 | 346 | 43 | 0 | 11 | 23 | 77 |
| Anacortes Salmon Derby |  |  |  | 500 | 1,100 | 132 | 0 | 33 | 69 | 235 |
| Private Fleet + Derby Total |  |  |  | 4,628 | 9,588 | 1,418 | 0 | 359 | 747 | 2,524 |
| Variance: |  |  |  | 80,845 | 361,371 | 11,249 | 0 | 39,723 | 9,586 | 50,922 |
| Standard Error: |  |  |  | 284 | 601 | 106 | 0 | 199 | 98 | 226 |
| CV (\%): |  |  |  | 6\% | 6\% | 7\% | - | 56\% | 13\% | 9\% |
| 95\% CI: |  |  |  | 4,071-5,185 | 8,410-10,766 | 1,211-1,626 | - | -32-750 | 555-939 | 2,082-2,967 |



Figure 7-1. Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the Area 7 mark-selective Chinook fishery from December 1, 2009 through April 30, 2010.


Figure 7-2. Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook per angler trip) during the Area 7 mark-selective Chinook fishery from December 1, 2009 through April 30, 2010.


Figure 7-3. Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (retained and released) during the Area 7 mark-selective Chinook fishery from December 1, 2009 through April 30, 2010.

Table 7-3. Summary of total length samples collected from retained Chinook during dockside angler interviews and derby sampling, Area 7 mark-selective Chinook fishery, December 1, 2009 - April 30, 2010.

| Mark Type | Number Sampled |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal- <br> size | Sublegal- <br> size |  |
| Marked | 608 | 8 | 616 |
| Unmarked | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 6}$ |

## Harvested Chinook, Area 7 ( $\mathrm{n}=616$ )



Figure 7-4. Length-frequency distribution for marked Chinook harvested and then sampled in dockside angler interviews, during the Area 7 December 1, 2009 - April 30, 2010 mark-selective Chinook fishery.

Table 7-4. Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the Area 7 December 1, 2009 April 30, 2010 mark-selective Chinook fishery. The field "No. DITs" corresponds to the number of tags that belonged to double-index tag groups.

| Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs Recovered | No. DITs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| British Columbia-Fraser R. | R-Chilliwack River | H-Chilliwack R | 1 (1.6\%) | 1 |
| British Columbia-Vanc. Isl. | R-Cowichan River | H-Cowichan R | 5 (8.2\%) |  |
| Hood Canal | Finch Creek | Hoodsport Hatchery | 2 (3.3\%) |  |
|  | Purdy Creek | George Adams Hatchry | 4 (6.6\%) | 4 |
| Lower Columbia River | Spring Creek | Spring Cr NFH | 1 (1.6\%) | 1 |
| Puget Sound-Central | Crisp Creek | Keta Creek Hatchery | 1 (1.6\%) |  |
|  | Elliott Bay Tribal Net Pen | Keta Creek Hatchery | 1 (1.6\%) |  |
|  | Green River | n/a | 2 (3.3\%) |  |
|  | Grovers Creek Hatchery | Grovers Cr Hatchery | 1 (1.6\%) |  |
|  | Voight Creek | Voights Cr Hatchery | 5 (8.2\%) |  |
| Puget Sound-North | Baker River | (Blank) | 1 (1.6\%) |  |
|  | Cascade River | Marblemount Hatchery | 8 (13.1\%) | 2 |
|  | County Line Creek | n/a | 1 (1.6\%) |  |
|  | East Sound Bay (San Juan Isl.) | Glenwood Springs | 2 (3.3\%) |  |
|  | Friday Creek | Samish Hatchery | 4 (6.6\%) | 4 |
|  | Nooksack River-North Fork | Kendall Cr Hatchery | 3 (4.9\%) | 3 |
|  | Tulalip Creek | Bernie Gobin Hatch | 3 (4.9\%) |  |
|  | Wallace River | Wallace R Hatchery | 4 (6.6\%) | 3 |
|  |  | n/a | 4 (6.6\%) |  |
|  | Whitehorse Springs | Whitehorse Pond | 3 (4.9\%) |  |
| Puget Sound-South | Chambers Creek | Garrison Hatchery | 2 (3.3\%) |  |
|  | Clear Creek | Clear Creek Hatchery | 1 (1.6\%) |  |
| Upper Columbia River | Wenatchee River | Dryden Pond | 1 (1.6\%) |  |
|  |  | n/a | 1 (1.6\%) |  |
| Grand Total |  |  | 61 | 18 |

Table 7-5. Composition of test fishery Chinook encounters and associated mark-rate and size/mark-status proportion estimates for the Area 7 December 1, 2009 - April 30, 2010 mark-selective Chinook fishery. Variances associated with total size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Stat <br> Week | Fishing Effort |  | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# Days | \# Hours | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| 49 | 3 | 12.5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 50 | 5 | 23.5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| 51 | 3 | 12.5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 52 | 1 | 4.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 53/1 | 4 | 19.0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 2 | 5 | 24.5 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 5 |
| 3 | 3 | 9.5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 4 | 3 | 17.0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 5 | 5 | 22.0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| 6 | 5 | 25.0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 7 | 5 | 18.5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| 8 | 4 | 19.5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 9 | 5 | 23.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 4 | 21.0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 11 | 3 | 13.0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 12 | 4 | 20.0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 13 | 3 | 14.0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 14 | 4 | 19.0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 15 | 4 | 22.0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 16 | 5 | 27.0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 17 | 5 | 25.5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| 18 | 5 | 27.5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Total | 72 | 348.0 | 33 | 15 | 9 | 3 | 60 |
| Size/ma | status co egal size Overall | position: | $\mathbf{0 . 5 5}$ <br> $(0.00419)$ <br> $\mathbf{0 . 6 9}$ <br> $(0.00457)$ <br> $\mathbf{0 . 7 0}$ <br> $(0.00356)$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{0 . 2 5} \\ (0.00318) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{0 . 1 5} \\ (0.00216) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{0 . 0 5} \\ (0.00081) \end{gathered}$ |  |



Figure 7-5. Length-frequency distributions of marked (left panel) and unmarked (right panel) Chinook encountered by test fishers during the Area 7 December 1, 2009 - April 30, 2010 mark-selective Chinook fishery. Note that the vertical dashed line in the left panel corresponds to the legal size limit ( 22 in or 56 cm ).

Table 7-6. Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by anglers reporting their catch on voluntary trip reports (VTRs), as compared to test fishing encounter data, with estimates of legal, sublegal, and overall mark rates. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Data source | Effort and Sample Size | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Total | Mark Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Test Fishery | $72 \text { Days, } 144$ Angler Trips | 33 | 15 | 9 | 3 | 60 | 0.70 | 0.69 |
| Test fishery size/mark status comp.: Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.550 \\ (0.00419) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.250 \\ (0.00318) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.150 \\ (0.00216) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.050 \\ (0.00081) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Private Boat VTR | $\begin{gathered} \hline 79 \text { 1-trip } \\ \text { VTR's, } 140 \\ \text { Angler Trips } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 120 | 49 | 7 | 4 | 180 | 0.71 | 0.71 |
| VTR size/mark status comp.: Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.667 \\ (0.00124) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.272 \\ (0.00111) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.039 \\ (0.00021) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.022 \\ (0.00012) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Grand Total |  | 153 | 64 | 16 | 7 | 240 | 0.704 | 0.705 |
| Total size/mark-status comp.: Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.638 \\ (0.00097) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.267 \\ (0.00082) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.067 \\ (0.00026) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.029 \\ (0.00012) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |

Fisher's Exact test was used to compare the size/mark status proportions of the test fishery data to the VTR data. The $\chi^{2}$ statistic was significant ( $\chi^{2}=9.698,3 \mathrm{df} ; P=0.016$ ), indicating a difference between the two samples. However, because of the relatively small test fishery sample size $(\mathrm{n}=60)$ and the much larger VTR sample size $(\mathrm{n}=180)$ we felt that combining the test fishery and VTR data was a better representation of encountered Chinook proportions in Area 7 during the 150 day season. Therefore, the combined totals were used to estimate the size/mark status proportions needed to produce the estimates of Chinook encounters and associated mortalities.

Table 7-7. Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the Area 7 December 1, 2009 - April 30, 2010 markselective Chinook fishery. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error.

| Total  <br> Encounters (E): $\mathbf{2 , 5 2 4}$ <br> $\mathrm{V}(\mathbf{E}):$ $\mathbf{5 0 , 9 2 2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size/mark group | Encounters | No. Retained | No. <br> Rel'd | Rel. Mort. Rate | Rel. <br> Mort. | Total Mortality | Var | SE | 95\% CI | $\begin{gathered} \text { CV } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Legal marked | 1,609 | 1,400 | 209 | 0.15 | 31 | 1,431 | 11,851 | 109 | 1218-1645 | 8 |
| Legal unmarked | 673 | 0 | 673 | 0.15 | 101 | 101 | 198 | 14 | 73-129 | 14 |
| Sublegal marked | 168 | 18 | 150 | 0.20 | 30 | 48 | 120 | 11 | 27-70 | 23 |
| Sublegal unmarked | 74 | 0 | 74 | 0.20 | 15 | 15 | 32 | 6 | 4-26 | 38 |
| All groups combined | 2,524 | 1,418 | 1,106 |  | 177 | 1,596 | 12,201 | 110 | 1379-1812 | 7 |

Table 7-8. Comparison of modeled (i.e., using FRAM, model run 2309) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the Area 7 December 1, 2009 - April 30, 2010 mark-selective Chinook fishery.

| Data Source | Group | Total <br> Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed <br> Only |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FRAM Encounters | Unmark. | 3,573 | 1,173 | 2,400 | 94 |
|  | Mark. | 7,564 | 2,374 | 5,190 | 2,065 |
|  | Total | 11,137 | 3,547 | 7,590 | 2,159 |
|  | \% Mark. | 68 | 67 | 68 | 96 |
| Estimated (Creel) Encounters | Unmark. | 747 | 673 | 74 | 0 |
|  | Mark. | 1,778 | 1,609 | 168 | 1,418 |
|  | Total | 2,524 | 2,282 | 242 | 1,418 |
|  | \% Mark. | 70 | 71 | 70 | 100 |

Table 7-9. Comparison of modeled (i.e., using FRAM, model run 2309) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the Area 7 December 1, 2009 - April 30, 2010 mark-selective Chinook fishery.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook Mortalities |  |  | Estimated Chinook Mortalities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unmark. | Mark. | Total | Unmark. | Mark. | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 741 | 3,253 | 3,994 | 116 | 1,480 | 1,596 |
| Released Legal | 167 | 150 | 317 | 101 | 31 | 132 |
| Released Sublegal | 480 | 1,038 | 1,518 | 15 | 30 | 45 |
| Landed Only | 94 | 2,065 | 2,159 | 0 | 1,418 | 1,418 |



Figure 7-6. Comparison of modeled (i.e., using FRAM, model run 2309) and estimated total Chinook encounters (upper panel) and mortalities (lower panel) for the Area 7 December 1, 2009 - April 30, 2010 mark-selective Chinook fishery. Error bars represent approximate $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 7-10. Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the Area 7 December 1, 2009 - April 30, 2010 mark-selective Chinook fishery. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Hatchery | Brood Year | DIT's <br> Obs'd | AD DIT Harvest |  | UM <br> DIT <br> Enc. | UM DIT Mortality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Est. | var (Est.) |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}($ Est.) | SE(est.) |
| George Adams Hatchery | 2006 | 1 | 3.0 | 5.9 | 3.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
|  | 2007 | 3 | 8.1 | 14.1 | 8.1 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.6 |
| H-Chilliwack R | 2007 | 1 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Kendall Cr Hatchery | 2006 | 1 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
|  | 2007 | 2 | 5.0 | 7.5 | 5.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| Marblemount Hatchery | 2006 | 2 | 4.2 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Samish Hatchery | 2006 | 1 | 2.7 | 4.4 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
|  | 2007 | 3 | 8.6 | 16.2 | 8.6 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.7 |
| Spring Cr NFH | 2007 | 1 | 2.5 | 3.8 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Wallace R Hatchery | 2005 | 1 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
|  | 2006 | 2 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| TOTAL |  | 18 | 43.1 | 64.0 | 42.9 | 4.3 | 0.9 | 3.3 |

Table 7-11. Monthly sample rates (Total retained Chinook sampled ${ }^{1 /}$ / Estimated retained Chinook) in the winter 2009-10 Area 7 mark-selective Chinook fishery, December 1, 2009 - April 30, 2010.

| Time period |  |  | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  |  | Number Chinook Sampled |  |  |  | Sample Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Stat. Weeks | Dates | Marked | Unmarked | Unk. | Total | Marked | Unmarked | Unk. | Total |  |
| December | 49-53/1 | Dec 1-Jan 3 | 369 | 0 | 0 | 369 | 124 | 0 | 0 | 124 | 33.6\% |
| January | 2-5 | Jan 4 - Jan 31 | 288 | 0 | 0 | 288 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 108 | 37.5\% |
| February | 6-9 | Feb 1 - Feb 28 | 231 | 0 | 0 | 231 | 114 | 0 | 0 | 114 | 49.4\% |
| March | 10-13 | Mar 1 - Mar 28 | 318 | 0 | 0 | 318 | 185 | 0 | 0 | 185 | 58.2\% |
| April | 14-18 | Mar 29 - Apr 30 | 213 | 0 | 0 | 213 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 39.9\% |
| Season Total |  |  | 1,419 | 0 | 0 | 1,419 | 616 | 0 | 0 | 616 | 43.4\% |

${ }^{1 /}$ Number of retained Chinook sampled includes all retained Chinook inspected for CWT's, from all sites sampled during the winter 2009-10 Area 7 selective Chinook fishery (i.e., the four sample-frame sites included in the creel estimates, derby samples, and the fish sampled as part of baseline sampling in the Area).

Table 7-12. Summary of aerial survey and dockside data used to estimate the fraction of Area 7 effort captured in the foursite sample frame during the December 1, 2009 - April 30, 2010 Area 7 mark-selective Chinook fishery. See Methods Report (WDFW 2011) for computational details and notation.

| Survey <br> Date | Stratum | Aerial Survey Details |  |  | Dockside Sampling Details |  |  | Sample Fraction, $f_{i j}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Start <br> Time | End <br> Time | Total Boats, $\boldsymbol{m}_{i j}$ | Total Boats, $\Sigma y_{i j k}$ | Fishing Boats | Active Boats, $X_{i j}$ |  |
| 01-Dec | Weekday | 10:33 | 11:46 | 31 | 44 | 33 | 23 | 0.742 |
| 05-Dec | Weekend | 11:00 | 12:06 | 53 | 84 | 19 | 12 | 0.226 |
| 12-Dec | Weekend | 10:44 | 12:05 | 26 | 37 | 24 | 17 | 0.654 |
| 17-Dec | Weekend | 10:25 | 11:50 | 7 | 23 | 13 | 4 | 0.571 |
| 27-Dec | Weekend | 10:37 | 11:50 | 48 | 66 | 44 | 32 | 0.667 |
| 09-Jan | Weekend | 9:28 | 10:35 | 11 | 21 | 19 | 10 | 0.909 |
| 16-Jan | Weekend | 11:50 | 13:05 | 67 | 94 | 52 | 37 | 0.552 |
| 17-Jan | Weekend | 10:42 | 11:34 | 11 | 39 | 7 | 2 | 0.182 |
| 21-Jan | Weekday | 10:42 | 12:00 | 13 | 23 | 23 | 13 | 1.000 |
| 23-Jan | Weekend | 9:50 | 11:15 | 70 | 87 | 61 | 49 | 0.700 |
| 30-Jan | Weekend | 11:10 | 12:30 | 76 | 102 | 47 | 35 | 0.461 |
| 06-Feb | Weekend | 11:05 | 12:40 | 79 | 116 | 75 | 51 | 0.646 |
| 13-Feb | Weekend | 10:52 | 11:56 | 20 | 49 | 22 | 9 | 0.450 |
| 18-Feb | Weekday | 10:45 | 11:56 | 21 | 43 | 31 | 15 | 0.714 |
| 20-Feb | Weekend | 10:50 | 12:10 | 69 | 115 | 80 | 48 | 0.696 |
| 21-Feb | Weekend | 11:00 | 12:20 | 80 | 126 | 71 | 45 | 0.563 |
| 05-Mar | Weekday | 10:43 | 12:05 | 34 | 89 | 34 | 13 | 0.382 |
| 07-Mar | Weekend | 10:47 | 12:02 | 33 | 54 | 49 | 30 | 0.909 |
| 13-Mar | Weekend | 10:45 | 12:00 | 27 | 78 | 26 | 9 | 0.333 |
| 16-Mar | Weekday | 10:40 | 11:48 | 16 | 53 | 10 | 3 | 0.188 |
| 21-Mar | Weekend | 10:46 | 12:08 | 37 | 71 | 52 | 27 | 0.730 |
| 11-Apr | Weekend | 10:33 | 11:42 | 79 | 180 | 73 | 32 | 0.405 |
| 17-Apr | Weekend | 9:45 | 10:58 | 69 | 152 | 86 | 39 | 0.565 |
| $24-\mathrm{Apr}$ | Weekend | 10:20 | 11:35 | 27 | 51 | 19 | 10 | 0.370 |
| Mean |  |  |  | 40.84 | 67.90 | 38.42 | 23.89 | 0.60 |
| Standard Dev. |  |  |  | 25.71 | 33.98 | 21.60 | 16.34 | 0.22 |
| CV(\%) |  |  |  | 62.9\% | 50.1\% | 56.2\% | 68.4\% | 37.3\% |

Table 7-13. Season-total estimates of Chinook encounters by size/mark status, and total estimates of angler effort, summarized for all seasons to date of the Area 7 winter mark-selective Chinook fishery.

|  |  | Effort | Retained Chinook |  |  |  | Released Chinook |  |  |  | Total Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | Season Dates | (Angler Trips) | LM | $\mathbf{L U}$ | SM | SU | LM | LU | SM | SU |  |
| 7 | Feb 1-29, 2008 | 4,862 | 1,301 | 2 | 24 | 0 | 200 | 1042 | 244 | 155 | 2,967 |
| 7 | Feb 1 - April 15, 2009 | 8,167 | 1,406 | 9 | 14 | 0 | 210 | 708 | 139 | 17 | 2,501 |
| 7 | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 1, } 2009- \\ \text { April 30, } 2010 \end{gathered}$ | 9,589 | 1,400 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 209 | 673 | 150 | 74 | 2,524 |

## 2) Marine Areas 8-1 \& 8-2 Winter Mark-selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a winter mark-selective Chinook fishery (MSF) in Marine Areas 8-1 and 8-2 for the fifth time from November 1, 2009 through April 30, 2010. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented an intensive monitoring program in Areas 8-1 and 8-2 during the November-April season in order to collect the data needed to estimate key parameters characterizing the fishery and its impacts on unmarked salmon. Sampling activities included dockside creel sampling, on-the-water effort surveys, and distributing/collecting voluntary trip reports (VTR's) from the angling public. Table 8182-1 summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2011).

In this section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Areas 8-1 and 8-2 winter selective Chinook fisheries from November 1, 2009 through April 30, 2010. During the winter of 2009-10, an in-season adjustment was needed to our Areas 8-1/8-2 sample site frame due to special circumstances at Everett Ramp. On January 26, 2010, the Everett Ramp was closed to perform dredging activities in the launch/retrieve area. We analyzed historical effort data and relied on the historical knowledge of our veteran sampling supervisors to immediately shift sampling activities to alternative sites. We also conducted on-the-water effort surveys to determine where the fishing effort would shift and to calculate updated site size measures based on the boat survey data during the dredging period (see WDFW 2011 for detailed methods). The Everett Ramp reopened on Friday, February 19, 2010. From January 26 - February 19, we conducted 4 on-water effort surveys in Area 81, contacting 44 boats with 82 anglers. In Area 8-2, we conducted 3 on-water effort surveys, contacting 42 boats and 94 anglers (Table 8182-14).

Table 8182-1. Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Areas 8-1 and 8-2 markselective fishery monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal <br> Parameter(s) | Secondary Parameter(s) | Sample <br> Unit(s) | Finest <br> Estimation <br> Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside Creel Sampling | Fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish ${ }^{1}$ | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{2}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release | Two weeks ${ }^{1}$ | Creel estimates were produced for twoweek estimation periods and stratified into "weekday" (Mon.-Thurs.) and "weekend" (Fri.-Sun.) day-type strata within weeks. For the weekday stratum, we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=8$ available weekdays per two-week period. For the weekend stratum, we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=3$ available weekend days per week ${ }^{1}$. |
| On-the-water Surveys | Proportion of total angler effort that uses sampleframe sites (i.e., "size measures" or "weights" of sampled sites) versus out-of-frame sites. | Total on-water boat and angler counts at assumed peak effort time interval (instantaneous count); spatial distribution of fishing boats in the area. | Boats and anglers. | Month | Approximately 2 boat surveys per month were conducted; the site size measures calculated from 2009-10 data were compared with the average size measures from previous seasons, and did not vary significantly from the past average size measures per site. |
| Voluntary Trip Reports (VTRs) | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status composition (marked, unmarked) of encountered Chinook | Encounter data for nonChinook species (e.g., coho) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season (6 months) | VTR data were used in the estimation of total 8-1/8-2 Chinook encounters by size/mark group (LM=30.5\%, LU=5.2\%, SM=46.2\%, SU=18.1\%) and associated impacts (see Table 8182-6). |
| Overall Fishery Impacts Estimation | Total Chinook encounters and mortalities, by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook | N/A | Season <br> (6 months) | Estimated on a monthly time step but considered at the season-total level. |
| Coded-wire tag (CWT) Impacts Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season <br> (6 months) | The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

${ }^{1}$ To generate weekly catch and effort estimates, the four-day "weekday stratum" estimate for Monday-Thursday of each week (based on $n=2$ days sampled out of $N=8$ available weekdays per two-week period) is added to the "weekend stratum" (Friday-Sunday) estimate for the particular week (based on $n=2$ days sampled out of $N=3$ available weekend days per week). The eight-day weekday estimates for each two-week period are then split evenly between individual weeks in the two-week block to enable weekly estimates, with variances computed using the $n=2$ days sampled out of $N=8$ available weekdays in the appropriate variance equation (see WDFW 2011).
${ }^{2}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.

Table 8182-2. Estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and releases) during the November 1, 2009 April 30, 2010 Area 8-1 selective fishery. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (i.e., adiposeclipped), UM = unmarked.

| Month | Stat <br> Week | Start <br> Date | End <br> Date | Est. Effort |  | Est. Retained Chinook |  | Est. Released Chinook |  | Est. Total <br> Chinook <br> Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Boats | Anglers | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| Nov | 44 | Nov-01 | Nov-01 | 21 | 50 | 14 | 0 | 32 | 16 | 63 |
|  | 45 | Nov-02 | Nov-08 | 20 | 41 | 11 | 0 | 25 | 12 | 49 |
|  | 46 | Nov-09 | Nov-15 | 31 | 64 | 10 | 0 | 23 | 11 | 45 |
|  | 47 | Nov-16 | Nov-22 | 23 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 48 | Nov-23 | Nov-29 | 64 | 116 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 6 | 22 |
| Dec | 49 | Nov-30 | Dec-06 | 124 | 213 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 15 |
|  | 50 | Dec-07 | Dec-13 | 124 | 217 | 7 | 0 | 16 | 8 | 31 |
|  | 51 | Dec-14 | Dec-20 | 114 | 192 | 26 | 0 | 59 | 29 | 114 |
|  | 52 | Dec-21 | Dec-27 | 141 | 252 | 35 | 0 | 78 | 38 | 151 |
|  | 53/1 | Dec-28 | Jan-03 | 104 | 208 | 47 | 0 | 105 | 52 | 204 |
| Jan | 2 | Jan-04 | Jan-10 | 55 | 101 | 22 | 0 | 49 | 24 | 96 |
|  | 3 | Jan-11 | Jan-17 | 63 | 121 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4 | Jan-18 | Jan-24 | 55 | 99 | 17 | 0 | 38 | 19 | 73 |
|  | 5 | Jan-25 | Jan-31 | 44 | 78 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 12 |
| Feb | 6 | Feb-01 | Feb-07 | 66 | 117 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 6 | 22 |
|  | 7 | Feb-08 | Feb-14 | 32 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 8 | Feb-15 | Feb-21 | 94 | 151 | 9 | 0 | 19 | 9 | 37 |
|  | 9 | Feb-22 | Feb-28 | 70 | 123 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mar | 10 | Mar-01 | Mar-07 | 99 | 196 | 20 | 0 | 44 | 22 | 86 |
|  | 11 | Mar-08 | Mar-14 | 27 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 12 | Mar-15 | Mar-21 | 24 | 46 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 11 |
|  | 13 | Mar-22 | Mar-28 | 69 | 137 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Apr | 14 | Mar-29 | Apr-04 | 14 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 15 | Apr-05 | Apr-11 | 64 | 173 | 8 | 0 | 18 | 9 | 36 |
|  | 16 | Apr-12 | Apr-18 | 90 | 191 | 22 | 0 | 50 | 25 | 97 |
|  | 17 | Apr-19 | Apr-25 | 52 | 92 | 12 | 0 | 26 | 13 | 50 |
|  | 18 | Apr-26 | Apr-30 | 23 | 36 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 20 |
| Total: |  |  |  | 1,706 | 3,192 | 284 | 0 | 636 | 314 | 1,234 |
| Variance |  |  |  | 30,644 | 100,993 | 2,537 | 0 | 53,796 | 11,707 | 131,017 |
| Standard Error: |  |  |  | 175 | 318 | 50 | 0 | 232 | 108 | 362 |
| CV (\%): |  |  |  | 10\% | 10\% | 18\% | - | 37\% | 35\% | 29\% |
| 95\% CI: |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,363- \\ & 2,049 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,569- \\ 3,815 \end{gathered}$ | 185-383 | - | $\begin{gathered} 181- \\ 1,090 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 102- \\ 526 \end{gathered}$ | 524-1,943 |

Table 8182-3. Estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and releases) during the November 1, 2009 April 30, 2010 Area 8-2 selective fishery. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (i.e., adiposeclipped), UM = unmarked.

| Month | Stat Week | Start <br> Date | End <br> Date | Est. Effort |  | Est. Retained Chinook |  | Est. Released Chinook |  | Est. Total Chinook Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Boats | Anglers | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| Nov | 44 | Nov-01 | Nov-01 | 72 | 135 | 84 | 0 | 145 | 67 | 295 |
|  | 45 | Nov-02 | Nov-08 | 216 | 459 | 49 | 4 | 85 | 35 | 174 |
|  | 46 | Nov-09 | Nov-15 | 138 | 278 | 34 | 0 | 59 | 27 | 121 |
|  | 47 | Nov-16 | Nov-22 | 37 | 63 | 10 | 0 | 17 | 8 | 34 |
|  | 48 | Nov-23 | Nov-29 | 230 | 471 | 75 | 0 | 129 | 59 | 263 |
| Dec | 49 | Nov-30 | Dec-06 | 150 | 261 | 41 | 0 | 70 | 32 | 143 |
|  | 50 | Dec-07 | Dec-13 | 159 | 273 | 59 | 0 | 102 | 47 | 208 |
|  | 51 | Dec-14 | Dec-20 | 77 | 143 | 28 | 0 | 49 | 22 | 99 |
|  | 52 | Dec-21 | Dec-27 | 122 | 258 | 26 | 0 | 45 | 21 | 92 |
|  | 53/1 | Dec-28 | Jan-03 | 158 | 307 | 26 | 0 | 44 | 20 | 90 |
| Jan | 2 | Jan-04 | Jan-10 | 193 | 393 | 55 | 0 | 95 | 44 | 193 |
|  | 3 | Jan-11 | Jan-17 | 106 | 181 | 13 | 0 | 23 | 11 | 47 |
|  | 4 | Jan-18 | Jan-24 | 146 | 276 | 63 | 0 | 108 | 50 | 220 |
|  | 5 | Jan-25 | Jan-31 | 134 | 243 | 15 | 0 | 26 | 12 | 53 |
| Feb | 6 | Feb-01 | Feb-07 | 129 | 226 | 10 | 0 | 17 | 8 | 34 |
|  | 7 | Feb-08 | Feb-14 | 69 | 138 | 16 | 0 | 27 | 12 | 55 |
|  | 8 | Feb-15 | Feb-21 | 263 | 497 | 33 | 0 | 56 | 26 | 115 |
|  | 9 | Feb-22 | Feb-28 | 203 | 396 | 27 | 0 | 46 | 21 | 95 |
| Mar | 10 | Mar-01 | Mar-07 | 155 | 292 | 29 | 0 | 49 | 23 | 101 |
|  | 11 | Mar-08 | Mar-14 | 110 | 197 | 14 | 0 | 24 | 11 | 49 |
|  | 12 | Mar-15 | Mar-21 | 74 | 140 | 6 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 21 |
|  | 13 | Mar-22 | Mar-28 | 128 | 259 | 12 | 0 | 21 | 10 | 43 |
| Apr | 14 | Mar-29 | Apr-04 | 37 | 65 | 9 | 0 | 15 | 7 | 31 |
|  | 15 | Apr-05 | Apr-11 | 106 | 201 | 11 | 0 | 18 | 8 | 38 |
|  | 16 | Apr-12 | Apr-18 | 99 | 187 | 22 | 0 | 37 | 17 | 76 |
|  | 17 | Apr-19 | Apr-25 | 148 | 293 | 24 | 0 | 42 | 19 | 85 |
|  | 18 | Apr-26 | Apr-30 | 56 | 102 | 36 | 0 | 62 | 29 | 127 |
| Total: |  |  |  | 3,516 | 6,732 | 825 | 4 | 1,422 | 651 | 2,902 |
| Variance: |  |  |  | 67,507 | 237,244 | 7,533 | 7 | 96,421 | 16,935 | 208,338 |
| Standard Error: |  |  |  | 260 | 487 | 87 | 3 | 311 | 130 | 456 |
| CV (\%): |  |  |  | 7\% | 7\% | 11\% | 64\% | 22\% | 20\% | 16\% |
| 95\% CI: |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 3,007- \\ 4,025 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5,778- \\ & 7,687 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 655-995 | 1-10 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 813- \\ & 2,030 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 396- \\ 906 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2,007-3,797 |



Figure 8182-1. Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the Areas 8-1 (left panel) and 8-2 (right panel) mark-selective Chinook fishery from November 1, 2009- April 30, 2010.


Figure 8182-2. Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook per angler trip) during the Areas 8-1 (left panel) and 8-2 (right panel) mark-selective Chinook fishery from November 1, 2009- April 30, 2010.


Figure 8182-3. Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (retained and released) during the Areas 8-1 (left panel) and 8-2 (right panel) mark-selective Chinook fishery from November 1, 2009- April 30, 2010.

Table 8182-4. Summary of length samples collected during dockside angler interviews from retained Chinook salmon, Areas 8-1 and 8-2 November 1, 2009- April 30, 2010 mark-selective Chinook fishery.

| Area 8-1 | Mark Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Legal-size | Sublegal-size | Total |
|  | Marked | 103 | 4 | 107 |
|  | Unmarked | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 103 | 4 | 107 |


| Area 8-2 | Mark Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Legal-size | Sublegal-size | Total |
|  | Marked | 388 | 5 | 393 |
|  | Unmarked | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  | Total | 389 | 5 | 394 |

## Harvested Chinook, Area 81 ( $\mathrm{n}=107$ )

Harvested Chinook, Area $82(\mathrm{n}=393)$


Figure 8182-4. Length-frequency distributions of retained marked Chinook sampled at dockside during the Areas 8-1 (left panel) and 8-2 (right panel) November 1, 2009- April 30, 2010 mark-selective Chinook fishery.

Table 8182-5. Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the Areas 8-1 and 8-2 November 1, 2009- April 30, 2010 mark-selective Chinook fishery. The field "No. DITs" corresponds to the number of tags that belonged to double-index tag groups.

| Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs <br> Recovered | No. <br> DITs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| British Columbia-Fraser R. | Chilliwack River | H-Chilliwack R | 1 (3\%) | 1 |
| Hood Canal | Finch Creek | Hoodsport Hatchery | 2 (6\%) |  |
|  | Purdy Creek | George Adams Hatchry | 3 (9\%) | 3 |
| Puget Sound-Central | Chambers Creek | Garrison Hatchery | 2 (6\%) |  |
|  | Green River | n/a | 5 (14\%) |  |
|  | Grovers Cr Hatchery | Grovers Cr Hatchery | 3 (9\%) | 1 |
|  | Voight Creek | Voights Cr Hatchery | 1 (3\%) |  |
| Puget Sound-North | Cascade River | Marblemount Hatchery | 5 (14\%) | 3 |
|  | Clear Creek | Clear Creek Hatchery | 3 (9\%) | 3 |
|  | Nooksack River | Kendall Cr Hatchery | 1 (3\%) | 1 |
|  | Tulalip Creek | Bernie Gobin Hatchery | 3 (9\%) |  |
|  | Wallace River | Wallace R Hatchery | 1 (3\%) | 1 |
|  |  | n/a | 5 (14\%) |  |
|  |  | Grand Total | 35 | 13 |

Table 8182-6. Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs) during the November 1, 2009- April 30, 2010 mark-selective Chinook fishery in Areas 8-1 and 8-2, with estimates of legal, sublegal, and overall mark rates.

| Data Source | Effort and Sample Size | Legal-size |  | Sublegal-size |  | Total | Mark Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Private Boat VTR 8-1 | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \text { 1-trip VTR's, } 42 \\ & \text { Angler Trips } \end{aligned}$ | 14 | 2 | 27 | 12 | 55 | 0.75 | 0.88 |
|  | Size/mark-status comp. <br> Variance | $\begin{gathered} 0.255 \\ (0.00351) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.036 \\ (0.00065) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.491 \\ (0.00463) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.218 \\ (0.00316) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Private Boat VTR 8-2 | $\begin{gathered} 61 \text { 1-trip VTR's, } 140 \\ \text { Angler Trips } \end{gathered}$ | 50 | 9 | 70 | 26 | 155 | 0.77 | 0.85 |
|  | Size/mark-status comp. <br> Variance | $\begin{gathered} 0.323 \\ (0.00142) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.058 \\ (0.00036) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.452 \\ (0.00161) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.168 \\ (0.00091) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Totals (both areas)Size/mark-status compositionVariance |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{6 4} \\ \mathbf{0 . 3 0 5} \\ (0.00101) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{1 1} \\ \mathbf{0 . 0 5 2} \\ (0.00024) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{9 7} \\ \mathbf{0 . 4 6 2} \\ (0.00119) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{3 8} \\ \mathbf{0 . 1 8 1} \\ (0.00071) \end{gathered}$ | 210 | 0.77 | 0.85 |

Table 8182-7. Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the Areas 8-1 (upper panel) and 8-2 (lower panel) mark-selective Chinook fishery, November 1, 2009- April 30, 2010. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error.

| Area 8-1 Total <br> Encounters (E): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size/mark group | Encounters | No. <br> Retained | No. <br> Rel'd | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Rel. } \\ \text { Mort. } \\ \text { Rate } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Rel. <br> Mort. | Total Mortality | Var | SE | 95\% CI | CV (\%) |
| Legal marked | 314 | 273 | 41 | 0.15 | 6 | 279 | 2,732 | 52 | 177-382 | 19 |
| Legal unmarked | 45 | 0 | 45 | 0.15 | 7 | 7 | 24 | 5 | -19 | 73 |
| Sublegal marked | 606 | 11 | 595 | 0.20 | 119 | 130 | 1,552 | 39 | 52-207 | 30 |
| Sublegal unmarked | 269 | 0 | 269 | 0.20 | 54 | 54 | 425 | 21 | 13-94 | 38 |
| All groups combined | 1,234 | 284 | 950 |  | 186 | 470 | 4,733 | 69 | 335-604 | 15 |
| Area 8-2 Total Encounters (E): <br> V(E): |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2,902 \\ 208,338 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Size/mark group | Encounters | No. <br> Retained | No. <br> Rel'd | Rel. <br> Mort. <br> Rate | Rel. <br> Mort. | Total Mortality | Var | SE | 95\% CI | CV (\%) |
| Legal marked | 936 | 814 | 122 | 0.15 | 18 | 833 | 8,279 | 91 | 654-1011 | 11 |
| Legal unmarked | 169 | 4 | 164 | 0.15 | 25 | 29 | 89 | 9 | 17441 | 33 |
| Sublegal marked | 1,311 | 10 | 1,300 | 0.20 | 260 | 271 | 2,252 | 47 | 178-364 | 18 |
| Sublegal unmarked | 487 | 0 | 487 | 0.20 | 97 | 97 | 532 | 23 | 52-143 | 24 |
| All groups combined | 2,902 | 829 | 2,073 |  | 400 | 1,230 | 11,152 | 106 | $\begin{gathered} 1023- \\ 1436 \end{gathered}$ | 9 |

Table 8182-8. Comparison of modeled (i.e., using FRAM, model run 2309) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the combined Areas 8-1 and 8-2 November 1, 2009- April 30, 2010 mark-selective Chinook fishery.

| Data Source | Group | Total <br> Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed <br> Only |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FRAM Encounters | Unmark. | 6,129 | 1,119 | 5,010 | 67 |
|  | Mark. | 10,832 | 1,692 | 9,140 | 1,472 |
|  | Total | 16,961 | 2,811 | 14,150 | 1,539 |
|  | \% Mark. | 64 | 60 | 65 | 96 |
| Estimated (Creel) | Unmark. | 969 | 213 | 756 | 4 |
| Encounters | Mark. | 3,166 | 1,250 | 1,916 | 1,109 |
|  | Total | 4,136 | 1,464 | 2,672 | 1,113 |
|  | \% Mark. | 77 | 85 | 72 | 100 |

Table 8182-9. Comparison of modeled (i.e., using FRAM, model run 2309) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the combined Areas 8-1 and 8-2 November 1, 2009- April 30, 2010 mark-selective Chinook fishery.

|  | FRAM Chinook Mortalities |  | Estimated Chinook Mortalities |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mortality Category | Unmark. | Mark. | Total | Unmark. | Mark. | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 1,230 | 3,407 | 4,637 | 187 | 1,512 | 1,699 |
| Released Legal | 161 | 107 | 268 | 31 | 24 | 56 |
| Released Sublegal | 1,002 | 1,828 | 2,830 | 151 | 379 | 530 |
| Landed Only | 67 | 1,472 | 1,539 | 4 | 1,109 | 1,113 |



Figure 8182-5. Comparison of modeled (i.e., using FRAM, model run 2309) and estimated total Chinook encounters and mortalities for the Areas 8-1 and 8-2 (combined) November 1, 2009- April 30, 2010 mark-selective Chinook fishery. Error bars represent approximate $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 8182-10. Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the Areas 8-1 and 8-2 November 1, 2009- April 30, 2010 mark-selective Chinook fishery.

| Hatchery | Brood Year | DITs Obs'd | AD DIT Harvest |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { UM } \\ & \text { DIT } \\ & \text { Enc. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | UM DIT Mortality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}($ Est.) |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}$ (Est.) | SE(Est.) |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2007 | 3 | 6.2 | 10.1 | 6.2 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| George Adams Hatchry | 2006 | 3 | 9.0 | 22.1 | 9.0 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.7 |
| Grovers Cr Hatchery | 2006 | 1 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Chilliwack River Hatchery | 2007 | 1 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Kendall Cr Hatchery | 2006 | 1 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Marblemount Hatchery | 2006 | 3 | 6.7 | 8.7 | 6.3 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| Wallace R Hatchery | 2006 | 1 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| TOTAL |  | 13 | 30.5 | 50.9 | 30.0 | 4.5 | 1.7 | 3.2 |

Table 8182-11. Monthly sample rates (Total retained Chinook sampled ${ }^{1 /}$ / Estimated retained Chinook) in the Areas 8-1 and 8-2 November 1, 2009-April 30, 2010 mark-selective Chinook fishery.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \text { 8-1 } \end{gathered}$ | Time period |  |  | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook sampled |  |  | Sample <br> Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Month | Stat. Weeks | Dates | Marked | Unmark | Total | Marked | Unmark | Total |  |
|  | November | 44-48 | Nov 1 - Nov 29 | 40 | 0 | 40 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 45.0\% |
|  | December | 49-53/1 | Nov $30-J$ Jan 3 | 118 | 0 | 118 | 32 | 0 | 32 | 27.1\% |
|  | January | 2-5 | Jan 4 - Jan 31 | 42 | 0 | 42 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 26.2\% |
|  | February | 6-9 | Feb 1 - Feb 28 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 21.4\% |
|  | March | 10-13 | Mar 1 - Mar 28 | 23 | 0 | 23 | 21 | 0 | 21 | 91.3\% |
|  | April | 14-18 | Mar 29 - Apr 30 | 47 | 0 | 47 | 22 | 0 | 22 | 46.8\% |
|  | Season Total |  |  | 284 | 0 | 284 | 107 | 0 | 107 | 37.7\% |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ 8-2 \end{gathered}$ | Time period |  |  | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook sampled |  |  | Sample Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Month | Stat. <br> Weeks | Dates | Marked | Unmark | Total | Marked | Unmark | Total |  |
|  | November | 44-48 | Nov 1 - Nov 29 | 252 | 4 | 256 | 119 | 0 | 119 | 46.5\% |
|  | December | 49-53/1 | Nov 30 - Jan 3 | 180 | 0 | 180 | 105 | 0 | 105 | 58.3\% |
|  | January | 2-5 | Jan 4 - Jan 31 | 146 | 0 | 146 | 52 | 0 | 52 | 35.6\% |
|  | February | 6-9 | Feb 1 - Feb 28 | 86 | 0 | 86 | 26 | 0 | 26 | 30.2\% |
|  | March ${ }^{2 /}$ | 10-13 | Mar 1-Mar 28 | 61 | 0 | 61 | 61 | 0 | 61 | 100.0\% |
|  | April | 14-18 | Mar 29-Apr 30 | 102 | 0 | 102 | 29 | 0 | 29 | 28.4\% |
|  | Season Total |  |  | 827 | 4 | 831 | 392 | 0 | 392 | 47.2\% |

[^2]Table 8182-12. Fishery-total estimates of retained and released salmon (other than Chinook salmon) for the Area 8-2 November 1, 2009 - April 30, 2010 mark-selective Chinook fishery. (Note: There were no other species of salmon reported caught or released for the Area 8-1 fishery.) Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Area 8-2 Salmon Catch Estimates (Other Than Chinook), 2009-10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Week | Start <br> Date | End Date | Est. Retained Chum | Est. Released Salmon |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Coho } \\ \text { AD } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coho } \\ \text { UM } \end{gathered}$ | Coho UNK | Chum | Unk. Salmon |
| Nov | 44 | Nov-01 | Nov-01 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 45 | Nov-02 | Nov-08 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
|  | 46 | Nov-09 | Nov-15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 47 | Nov-16 | Nov-22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 48 | Nov-23 | Nov-29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dec | 49 | Nov-30 | Dec-06 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 50 | Dec-07 | Dec-13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 51 | Dec-14 | Dec-20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 52 | Dec-21 | Dec-27 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 53/1 | Dec-28 | Jan-03 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jan | 2 | Jan-04 | Jan-10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3 | Jan-11 | Jan-17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4 | Jan-18 | Jan-24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 5 | Jan-25 | Jan-31 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Feb | 6 | Feb-01 | Feb-07 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 7 | Feb-08 | Feb-14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 8 | Feb-15 | Feb-21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 9 | Feb-22 | Feb-28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mar | 10 | Mar-01 | Mar-07 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 11 | Mar-08 | Mar-14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 12 | Mar-15 | Mar-21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 13 | Mar-22 | Mar-28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Apr | 14 | Mar-29 | Apr-04 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
|  | 15 | Apr-05 | Apr-11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 16 | Apr-12 | Apr-18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 17 | Apr-19 | Apr-25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
|  | 18 | Apr-26 | Apr-30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Season Total: |  |  |  | 2 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 12 |
| Variance: |  |  |  | 2 | 84 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 35 |
| Standard Error: |  |  |  | 1 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| CV (\%): |  |  |  | 64\% | 87\% | 24\% | 44\% | 64\% | 49\% |
| 95\% CI: |  |  |  | 1-5 | 2-29 | 4-11 | 1-8 | 1-5 | 1-24 |

Table 8182-13. Summary of the total number of anglers intercepted in Area 8-1 (left panel) and 8-2 (right panel) during on-the-water surveys conducted from November 1, 2009 - April 30, 2010. Grayed cells represent sites included in the dockside sample frame.

| Site Name | Total <br> Anglers | Season Total <br> (unadjusted) <br> Size Measure | Site Name | Total Anglers | Season Total <br> (unadjusted) <br> Size Measure |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Camano Island State Park | 39 | 0.279 | Bayside Marina | 8 | 0.031 |
| Cornet Bay Ramp | 10 | 0.071 | Camano State Park | 24 | 0.093 |
| Coupeville Ramp | 1 | 0.007 | Dagmars Landing | 16 | 0.062 |
| Dagmars Landing | 3 | 0.021 | Edmonds Marina | 6 | 0.023 |
| Everett Ramp (Norton) | 16 | 0.114 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 152 | 0.589 |
| Holmes Harbor Ramp(Freeland <br> Ramp) | 2 | 0.014 | Everett Marina | 19 | 0.074 |
| Kayak Point Ramp | 1 | 0.007 | Kayak Pt. | 4 | 0.016 |
| Maple Grove Ramp | 31 | 0.221 | Langley Ramp | 4 | 0.016 |
| Misc. Private Launch | 28 | 0.200 | Maple Grove Ramp | 4 | 0.016 |
| Oak Harbor Public | 7 | 0.050 | Marysville Ramp | 9 | 0.035 |
| Utsalady Ramp | 2 | 0.014 | Misc. Private Launch | 6 | 0.023 |
| Total Anglers | $\mathbf{1 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0 0}$ | Mukilteo Public Ramp | 4 | 0.016 |

Table 8182-14. Summary of the total number of anglers intercepted in Area 8-1 (left panel) and 8-2 (right panel) during on-the-water surveys from January 26 - February 19, 2010. The surveys were conducted during a period in which there was a closure of the Everett $\operatorname{Ramp}\left(10^{\mathrm{th}} \mathrm{St}\right)$ for dredging. Grayed cells represent sites included in the dockside sample frame.

| Site Name | Total <br> Anglers | Season Total <br> (unadjusted) <br> Size Measure | Site Name | Total Anglers | Season Total <br> (unadjusted) <br> Size Measure |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Camano Island State Park | 36 | 0.462 | Bayside Marina | 6 | 0.064 |
| Cornet Bay Ramp | 4 | 0.051 | Camano State Park | 11 | 0.117 |
| Coupeville Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | Dagmars Landing | 3 | 0.032 |
| Dagmars Landing | 0 | 0.000 | Edmonds Dry Storage | 3 | 0.032 |
| Holmes Harbor Ramp <br> (Freeland Ramp) | 0 | 0.000 | Edmonds Sling | 2 | 0.021 |
| Kayak Point Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | Everett Marina | 10 | 0.106 |
| Maple Grove Ramp | 20 | 0.256 | Kayak Pt. | 8 | 0.085 |
| Misc. Private Launch | 9 | 0.115 | Langley Ramp | 6 | 0.064 |
| Oak Harbor Public | 4 | 0.051 | Langus Ramp | 14 | 0.149 |
| Utsalady Ramp | 5 | 0.064 | Marysville Ramp | 4 | 0.043 |
| Total Anglers | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0 0}$ | Misc. Private Launch | 2 | 0.021 |
| Mukilteo Public Ramp | 0.667 | Mukilteo Public Ramp | 17 | 0.181 |  |
| Sandy Hook Marina | 2 | 0.333 | Tulalip Ramp | 8 | 0.085 |
| Total Anglers | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0 0}$ | Total Anglers | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0 0}$ |

Table 8182-15. Season-total estimates of Chinook encounters by size/mark status, and total estimates of angler effort, summarized for all seasons to date of the Areas 8-1 and 8-2 winter mark-selective Chinook fisheries.

| Area | Season Dates | Year | Effort <br> Angler- <br> trips) <br> 3,976 | Retained Chinook |  |  |  | Released Chinook |  |  |  | Total Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | LM | LU | SM | SU | LM | LU | SM | SU |  |
| 81 | October 1, 2005 - April 30, 2006 | 2005-06 | 3,976 | 303 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 45 | 188 | 763 | 575 | 1,914 |
| 81 | October 1, 2006 - April 30, 2007 | 2006-07 | 3,454 | 278 | 8 | 37 | 4 | 42 | 118 | 1,437 | 857 | 2,781 |
| 81 | November1, 2007 - April 30, 2008 | 2007-08 | 3,288 | 638 | 5 | 36 | 0 | 95 | 304 | 1,345 | 577 | 3,000 |
| 81 | January 1, 2009 - April 30, 2009 | 2008-09 | 2,518 | 396 | 12 | 7 | 0 | 59 | 45 | 1,443 | 909 | 2,870 |
| 81 | November 1, 2009 - April 30, 2010 | 2009-2010 | 3,192 | 273 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 41 | 45 | 595 | 269 | 1,234 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 82 | October 1, 2005 - April 30, 2006 | 2005-06 | 8,521 | 735 | 40 | 35 | 0 | 106 | 618 | 1,706 | 876 | 4,116 |
| 82 | October 1, 2006 - April 30, 2007 | 2006-07 | 7,735 | 766 | 18 | 95 | 3 | 113 | 183 | 10,486 | 5,407 | 17,071 |
| 82 | November1, 2007 - April 30, 2008 | 2007-08 | 5,678 | 795 | 15 | 74 | 3 | 114 | 181 | 942 | 303 | 2,428 |
| 82 | January 1, 2009 - April 30, 2009 | 2008-09 | 5,946 | 495 | 15 | 14 | 0 | 74 | 18 | 1,557 | 468 | 2,641 |
| 82 | November 1, 2009 - April 30, 2010 | 2009-2010 | 6,732 | 814 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 122 | 164 | 1300 | 487 | 2,902 |

## 3) Marine Area 9 Winter Mark-selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a mark-selective Chinook fishery (MSF) in Marine Area 9 for the third winter season from November 1-30, 2009 and January 16 - April 15, 2010. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented an intensive monitoring program in Area 9 throughout the season in order to collect the data needed to estimate key parameters characterizing the fishery and its impacts on unmarked salmon. Sampling activities included dockside creel sampling, test fishing, aerial effort surveys, and we distributed and collected voluntary trip reports (VTRs) from the angling public. Table $\mathbf{9 - 1}$ summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2011).

In this section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 9 winter selective Chinook fishery from November 1-30, 2009 and January 16-April 30, 2010. In addition to the major components of the results described previously (page 3), aerial survey and dockside data used to estimate the sample fraction in Area 9 (see WDFW 2011, Aerial-Access Design) are presented.

During the 2009-10 season of the Area 9 winter mark-selective fishery we made an in-season adjustment to our sample site frame due to special circumstances at Everett Ramp. Typically the four sites that we include in our sample site frame (as fixed sampling sites) to implement the aerial-access design in Area 9 include Everett Ramp, Port Townsend Ramp, Edmonds Dry Stack, and Kingston Ramp (i.e., see WDFW 2011, Section 3: Aerial Access Design). However, starting on January 26, 2010, the Everett Ramp was closed due to dredging activities in the launch/retrieve area. Thus, we analyzed historical effort data and relied on the historical knowledge of our veteran sampling supervisor in the Central Sound area, and after considering this information we elected to send samplers to Mukilteo ramp as an alternative sampling site in place of Everett Ramp for the dredging period only. We also conducted additional aerial effort surveys to assess the proportion of effort exiting the fishery at our sampled sites during the dredging period. The Everett Ramp reopened on Friday, February 19, 2010. From January 26 - February 19, 2010, we conducted 5 aerial effort surveys over Area 9 in which observers counted a total of 188 boats. Of the 188 boats, 53 were captured by the creel survey at the four sampling sites and were active during the flight.

Table 9-1. Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 9 mark-selective fishery monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal <br> Parameter(s) | Secondary Parameter(s) | Sample Unit(s) | Finest Estimation Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside Creel Sampling | Fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish ${ }^{1}$ | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{2}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release | Two weeks ${ }^{1}$ | Creel estimates were produced for twoweek estimation periods and stratified into "weekday" (Mon.-Thurs.) and "weekend" (Fri.-Sun.) day-type strata within weeks. For the weekday stratum, we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=8$ available weekdays per two-week period. For the weekend stratum, we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=3$ available weekend days per week ${ }^{1}$. |
| Aerial Surveys | Fraction of Area 9 effort (boats) captured in the four-site sample frame via creel surveys (Sample Fraction, $f_{i j}$ ). | Total boat counts at assumed peak effort time interval (instantaneous count); spatial distribution of fishing boats in the area. | Boats | Month | The sample fraction was calculated for individual aerial survey dates (see Table 9-12; $n=24$ surveys conducted out of $N=150$ days available in the season). Aerial survey data were combined based on three different time strata to calculate the overall sample fraction per time period, as shown in Table 9-12. |
| Test Fishing | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status composition (marked, unmarked) of encountered Chinook | Chinook length, age, and DNA-based ${ }^{3}$ stock composition; species composition of nonChinook encounters | Fish encounter | Season | Test fishery data were used in estimating total Chinook encounters by size/mark group and associated impacts. These data were stratified by time period (Nov. 1-30 and Jan 16-Apr 15) to generate estimates. See Table 95 and row below for more details. |
| Voluntary Trip Reports (VTRs) | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status composition (marked, unmarked) of encountered Chinook | Encounter data for nonChinook species (e.g., coho) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season | We compared the size/mark status proportions of the VTR data versus test fishery data using Fisher's Exact Test. The $\chi 2$ statistic was significant $(\chi 2=$ 32.926, 6 df; $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ ), indicating a difference among the samples. Therefore, only the test fishery data were used to estimate the size/mark status proportions needed to produce the estimates. |
| Overall Fishery Impacts Estimation | Total Chinook encounters and mortalities, by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook | N/A | Season | Estimated on a monthly time step but considered at the season-total level. |
| Coded-wire tag (CWT) Impacts Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season | The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

${ }^{1}$ To generate weekly catch and effort estimates, the four-day "weekday stratum" estimate for Monday-Thursday of each week (based on $n=2$ days sampled out of $N=8$ available weekdays per two-week period) is added to the "weekend stratum" (Friday-Sunday) estimate for the particular week (based on $n=2$ days sampled out of $N=3$ available weekend days per week). The eight-day weekday estimates for each twoweek period are then split evenly between individual weeks in the two-week block to enable weekly estimates, with variances computed using the $n=2$ days sampled out of $N=8$ available weekdays in the appropriate variance equation (see WDFW 2011).
${ }^{2}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.
${ }^{3}$ Though samples were collected, DNA-based estimates of stock composition are not yet available for this fishery.

## Revised Draft, 3/31/11

Table 9-2. Estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and reported releases) during the winter 2009-10 (November 1-30, 2009 and January 16-April 15, 2010) Area 9 mark-selective fishery. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked, $\mathrm{UNK}=$ unknown mark status.

| Month | Week | Start | End <br> Date | Est. Effort |  | Est. Retained Chinook |  | Est. Released Chinook |  | Est. Total Chinook Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Boats | Anglers | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| Nov | 44 | Nov-01 | Nov-01 | 127 | 257 | 180 | 0 | 321 | 132 | 632 |
|  | 45 | Nov-02 | Nov-08 | 298 | 594 | 284 | 4 | 506 | 204 | 998 |
|  | 46 | Nov-09 | Nov-15 | 362 | 714 | 383 | 4 | 682 | 276 | 1,346 |
|  | 47 | Nov-16 | Nov-22 | 125 | 187 | 80 | 0 | 143 | 59 | 281 |
|  | 48 | Nov-23 | Nov-29 | 374 | 710 | 171 | 0 | 305 | 125 | 601 |
|  | 49 | Nov-30 | Nov-30 | 29 | 44 | 20 | 0 | 36 | 15 | 70 |
| Nov. Total: |  |  |  | 1,315 | 2,506 | 1,118 | 8 | 1,992 | 810 | 3,928 |
| Variance: |  |  |  | 121,064 | 396,155 | 142,365 | 13 | 834,172 | 64,278 | 2,017,818 |
| Standard Error: |  |  |  | 348 | 629 | 377 | 4 | 913 | 254 | 1,420 |
| CV (\%): |  |  |  | 26\% | 25\% | 34\% | 45\% | 46\% | 31\% | 36\% |
| 95\% CI: |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 633- \\ 1,997 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,272- \\ & 3,740 \end{aligned}$ | 378-1,858 | 1-15 | 202-3,782 | 313-1,307 | 1,144-6,712 |
| Month | Week | Start <br> Date | End Date | Est. Effort |  | Est. Retained Chinook |  | Est. Released Chinook |  | Est. Total Chinook Encounters |
|  |  |  |  | Boats | Anglers | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| Jan - Apr | 3 | Jan-16 | Jan-17 | 329 | 681 | 115 | 0 | 103 | 46 | 264 |
|  | 4 | Jan-18 | Jan-24 | 340 | 686 | 71 | 6 | 63 | 23 | 162 |
|  | 5 | Jan-25 | Jan-31 | 260 | 487 | 40 | 8 | 35 | 8 | 91 |
|  | 6 | Feb-01 | Feb-07 | 260 | 444 | 17 | 6 | 15 | 1 | 40 |
|  | 7 | Feb-08 | Feb-14 | 169 | 277 | 31 | 0 | 27 | 12 | 71 |
|  | 8 | Feb-15 | Feb-21 | 263 | 493 | 35 | 0 | 31 | 14 | 79 |
|  | 9 | Feb-22 | Feb-28 | 183 | 348 | 14 | 0 | 13 | 6 | 32 |
|  | 10 | Mar-01 | Mar-07 | 70 | 100 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 17 |
|  | 11 | Mar-08 | Mar-14 | 74 | 100 | 11 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 24 |
|  | 12 | Mar-15 | Mar-21 | 69 | 107 | 13 | 0 | 11 | 5 | 29 |
|  | 13 | Mar-22 | Mar-28 | 109 | 208 | 15 | 0 | 13 | 6 | 34 |
|  | 14 | Mar-29 | Apr-04 | 36 | 73 | 18 | 0 | 16 | 7 | 42 |
|  | 15 | Apr-05 | Apr-11 | 158 | 275 | 44 | 0 | 39 | 18 | 100 |
|  | 16 | Apr-12 | Apr-15 | 30 | 38 | 9 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 20 |
| Jan. - April Total: |  |  |  | 2,351 | 4,317 | 439 | 19 | 391 | 157 | 1,005 |
| Variance: |  |  |  | 53,655 | 193,236 | 4,002 | 175 | 26,236 | 3,624 | 40,002 |
| Standard Error: |  |  |  | 232 | 440 | 63 | 13 | 162 | 60 | 200 |
| CV (\%): |  |  |  | 10\% | 10\% | 14\% | 69\% | 41\% | 38\% | 20\% |
| 95\% CI: |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,897- \\ & 2,805 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,455- \\ 5,178 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 315-563 | 2-45 | 73-708 | 39-275 | 613-1,397 |
| Season Total: |  |  |  | 3,666 | 6,823 | 1,557 | 27 | 2,382 | 968 | 4,934 |
| Variance: |  |  |  | 174,719 | 589,391 | 146,368 | 188 | 860,408 | 67,902 | 2,057,820 |
| Standard Error: |  |  |  | 418 | 768 | 383 | 14 | 928 | 261 | 1,435 |
| CV (\%): |  |  |  | 11\% | 11\% | 25\% | 50\% | 39\% | 27\% | 29\% |
| 95\% CI: |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2,847- \\ & 4,485 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5,318- \\ & 8,327 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 807-2,306 | 0-54 | 564-4,200 | 457-1,478 | 2,122-7,745 |

Angler-trips


Figure 9-1. Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery from November 1-30, 2009 and January 16-April 15, 2010.


Figure 9-2. Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook per angler trip) during the Areas 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery from November 1-30, 2009 and January 16-April 15, 2010.

## Chinook encounters



Figure 9-3. Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (retained and released) during the Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery from November 1-30, 2009 and January 16-April 15, 2010.

Table 9-3. Summary of total length samples collected from retained Chinook during dockside angler interviews in the winter Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery from November 1-30, 2009 and January 16-April 15, 2010.

| Mark Type | Number Sampled November, 2009 <br> Legal-size |  | Sublegal-size |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marked | 178 | 17 | $\mathbf{1 9 5}$ |  |  |
| Unmarked | 2 | 0 | 2 |  |  |
| Nov. Total | $\mathbf{1 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 7}$ |  |  |
|  | Number Sampled January - April, 2010 <br> Legal-size |  |  |  |  |
| Mark Type | 146 | Sublegal-size | Total |  |  |
| Marked | 1 | 3 | 149 |  |  |
| Unmarked | 147 | 1 | 2 |  |  |
| Jan. - Apr. Total | $\mathbf{3 2 7}$ | 4 | 151 |  |  |
| Season Total |  |  |  |  |  |

Harvested Chinook, Area 9 ( $\mathrm{n}=344$ )


Figure 9-4. Length-frequency distribution for marked Chinook harvested and sampled at dockside during the Area 9 markselective Chinook fishery from November 1-30, 2009 and January 16-April 15, 2010.

Table 9-4. Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the winter 2009-10 Area 9 markselective Chinook fishery. The field "No. DITs" corresponds to the number of tags that belonged to double-index tag groups.

| Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | CWTs Recovered | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { DITs } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| British Columbia-Fraser R. | Chilliwack River | H-Chilliwack River | 1 (3.6\%) | 1 |
| Hood Canal | Finch Creek | Hoodsport Hatchery | 2 (7.1\%) |  |
|  | Ricks Pond (LLTK) | George Adams Hatchry | 1 (3.6\%) |  |
| Puget Sound-Central | Big Soos Creek | Soos Creek Hatchery | 1 (3.6\%) | 1 |
|  | Chambers Creek | Garrison Hatchery | 4 (14.3\%) |  |
|  |  | Lakewood Hatchery | 1 (3.6\%) |  |
|  | Green River | n/a | 1 (3.6\%) |  |
|  | Grovers Creek Hatchery | Grovers Creek Hatchery | 2 (7.1\%) |  |
|  | Minter Creek | Hupp Springs Rearing | 1 (3.6\%) |  |
| Puget Sound-North | Cascade River | Marblemount Hatchery | 3 (10.7\%) | 1 |
|  | Friday Creek | Samish Hatchery | 1 (3.6\%) | 1 |
|  | Nooksack River-North Fork | Kendall Creek Hatchery | 3 (10.7\%) | 3 |
|  | Wallace River | Wallace River Hatchery | 2 (7.1\%) | 2 |
|  |  | n/a | 2 (7.1\%) |  |
|  | Whitehorse Springs | Whitehorse Pond | 1 (3.6\%) |  |
| Puget Sound-South | Kalama Creek | Kalama Creek Hatchery | 2 (7.1\%) |  |
| Grand Total |  |  | 28 | 9 |

Table 9-5. Composition of test fishery Chinook encounters and associated mark-rate and size/mark-status proportion estimates for the winter 2009-10 (November 1-30, 2009 and January 16-April 15, 2010) Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Stat <br> Week | Fishing Effort |  | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Days | Hours Fished | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 4 | 20.0 | 24 | 7 | 22 | 7 | 60 |
| $\mathbf{4 6}$ | 3 | 16.8 | 14 | 4 | 27 | 6 | 51 |
| $\mathbf{4 7}$ | 3 | 6.1 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 12 |
| $\mathbf{4 8}$ | 4 | 15.3 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 5 | 21 |
| Nov. Total | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 4}$ |

Size/mark-status composition: 0.299 (0.0015) 0.076 (0.0005) 0.493 (0.0017) 0.132 (0.0008)
Legal size mark rate: 0.80 (0.0031)
Overall mark rate: 0.79 (0.0012)

| $\mathbf{4}$ | 4 | 20.3 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 5 | 24.6 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 9 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 4 | 21.5 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 5 | 23.5 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 6 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 3 | 16.6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 3 | 10.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 4 | 19.1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 4 | 17.4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 5 | 22.4 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 6 |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | 5 | 25.9 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 2 | 14.8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 4 | 11.1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | 3 | $\mathbf{2 3 3 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| Jan. - Apr. <br> Total | $\mathbf{5 1}$ |  |  | 0 | 0 | 2 |  |

Size/mark-status composition: 0.491 (0.0045) 0.070 (0.0012) 0.333 (0.0040) 0.105 (0.0017)
Legal size mark rate: 0.88 (0.0035)
Overall mark rate: 0.82 (0.0026)

| Season <br> Total | 65 | 291.8 | 71 | 15 | 90 | 25 | 201 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Size/mark-status composition: 0.353 (0.0011) 0.075 (0.0003) 0.448 (0.0012) 0.124 (0.0005)
Legal size mark rate: 0.83 (0.0017)
Overall mark rate: $0.80(0.0008)$

Table 9-6. Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers reporting their catch on voluntary trip reports (VTRs) compared to test fishery results, with estimates of legal, sublegal, and overall mark rates, during the winter 2009-10 (November 1-30, 2009 and January 16-April 15, 2010) Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery. AD = marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions are provided in parentheses.

| Data Source | Angler Trips | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Totals | Total <br> Mark <br> Rate | Legal <br> Mark <br> Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |  |  |
| Charter Boat VTR | 12 1-trip VTRs, 45 Angler Trips | 30 | 6 | 22 | 3 | 61 | 0.85 | 0.83 |
|  | Size/mark-status comp. <br> Variance | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.492 \\ (0.00417) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.098 \\ (0.00148) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.361 \\ (0.00384) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.049 \\ (0.00078) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Private Boat VTR | $\begin{gathered} 28 \text { 1-trip VTRs, } 51 \\ \text { Angler Trips } \end{gathered}$ | 38 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 52 | 0.85 | 0.86 |
|  | Size/mark-status comp. <br> Variance | $\begin{gathered} 0.731 \\ (0.00386) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.115 \\ (0.00200) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.115 \\ (0.00200) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.038 \\ (0.00073) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Test Fishery | 144 trips, 288 Angler Trips | 71 | 15 | 90 | 25 | 201 | 0.80 | 0.83 |
|  | Size/mark-status comp. <br> Variance | $\begin{gathered} 0.353 \\ (0.00114) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.075 \\ (0.00035) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.448 \\ (0.00124) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.124 \\ (0.00054) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Pooled data ${ }^{1 /}$ | 384 Angler Trips | 139 | 27 | 118 | 30 | 314 | 0.82 | 0.84 |
|  | Size/mark-status comp. Variance | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.443 \\ (0.00079) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.086 \\ (0.00025) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.376 \\ (0.00075) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.096 \\ (0.00028) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |

${ }^{1 /}$ Note: We did not use the pooled data (test fishery and VTR) for encounters and impacts estimation; we used test fishing data only for the subsequent impact estimation steps (i.e., see WDFW 2011).

Fisher's Exact test was used to compare the size/mark status proportions of the test fishery data to VTR data from each source. The $\chi^{2}$ statistic was significant ( $\chi^{2}=32.926,6 \mathrm{df} ; P<0.001$ ) indicating a difference among the three samples. Therefore, only the test fishery data were used to estimate the size/mark status proportions needed to produce the estimates.


Figure 9-5. Length-frequency distributions of marked (left panel) and unmarked (right panel) Chinook encountered by test fishers during the winter 2009-10 Area 9 (November 1-30, 2009 and January 16-April 15, 2010) mark-selective Chinook fishery. Note that the vertical dashed line in the upper panel corresponds to the legal size limit ( 22 in or 56 cm ).

Table 9-7. Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the winter 2009-10 (November 1-30, 2009 and January 16-April 15, 2010) Area 9 markselective Chinook fishery. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error.

| $\begin{array}{rr} \text { Total Encounters (E): } & \mathbf{4 , 9 3 4} \\ \operatorname{Var}(\mathbf{E}): & 2,057,763 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size/mark group | Chinook <br> Encounters | No. <br> Retained | No. <br> Rel'd | Rel. Mort. Rate | Rel. <br> Mort. | Total Mortality | Var | SE | 95\% CI | CV (\%) |
| Legal marked | 1,667 | 1,450 | 217 | 0.15 | 33 | 1,483 | 130,518 | 361 | 775-2191 | 24 |
| Legal unmarked | 371 | 18 | 353 | 0.15 | 53 | 71 | 551 | 23 | 25-117 | 33 |
| Sublegal marked | 2,272 | 106 | 2,166 | 0.20 | 433 | 540 | 22,517 | 150 | 245-834 | 28 |
| Sublegal unmarked | 624 | 10 | 615 | 0.20 | 123 | 133 | 2,014 | 45 | 45-221 | 34 |
| All groups combined | 4,934 | 1,584 | 3,350 |  | 642 | 2,225 | 155,601 | 394 | 1452-2999 | 18 |

Table 9-8 Comparison of modeled (i.e., using FRAM, model run 2309) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the winter 2009-10 (November 1-30, 2009 and January 16-April 15, 2010) Area 9 markselective Chinook fishery.

| Data Source | Group | Total <br> Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed <br> Only |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FRAM Encounters | Unmark. | 3,654 | 959 | 2,695 | 58 |
|  | Mark. | 11,699 | 2,859 | 8,840 | 2,487 |
|  | Total | 15,353 | 3,818 | 11,535 | 2,545 |
|  | \% Mark. | 76 | 75 | 77 | 98 |
| Estimated (Creel) Encounters | Unmark. | 995 | 371 | 624 | 27 |
|  | Mark. | 3,939 | 1,667 | 2,272 | 1,557 |
|  | Total | 4,934 | 2,038 | 2,896 | 1,584 |
|  | \% Mark. | 80 | 82 | 78 | 98 |

Table 9-9. Comparison of modeled (i.e., using FRAM, model run 2309) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the winter 2009-10 (November 1-30, 2009 and January 16-April 15, 2010) Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery.

|  | FRAM Chinook Mortalities |  | Estimated Chinook Mortalities |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mortality Category | Unmark. | Mark. | Total | Unmark. | Mark. | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 735 | 4,435 | 5,170 | 203 | 2,022 | 2,225 |
| Released Legal | 138 | 180 | 318 | 53 | 33 | 85 |
| Released Sublegal | 539 | 1,768 | 2,307 | 123 | 433 | 556 |
| Landed Only | 58 | 2,487 | 2,545 | 27 | 1,557 | 1,584 |



Figure 9-6. Comparison of modeled (i.e., using FRAM, model run 2309) and estimated total Chinook encounters (upper panel) and mortalities (lower panel) for the 2009-10 Area 9 winter mark-selective Chinook fishery. Error bars represent approximate $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 9-10. Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery from November 130, 2009 and January 16-April 15, 2010. AD = marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Hatchery | Brood Year | DIT's <br> Obs'd | AD DIT Harvest |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UM DIT } \\ & \text { Enc } \end{aligned}$ | UM DIT Mortality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Est | $\operatorname{var}($ Est.) |  | Est | var(Est.) | SE(est.) |
| H-Chilliwack River | 2007 | 1 | 6.1 | 30.7 | 6.1 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.6 |
| Kendall Cr Hatchery | 2007 | 3 | 13.5 | 58.9 | 13.6 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 1.2 |
| Marblemount Hatchery | 2006 | 1 | 5.7 | 27.0 | 5.4 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| Samish Hatchery | 2007 | 1 | 3.0 | 6.2 | 3.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Soos Creek Hatchery | 2006 | 1 | 5.7 | 27.0 | 5.7 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| Wallace River Hatchery | 2006 | 2 | 11.4 | 53.9 | 11.4 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 1.0 |
| TOTAL |  | 9 | 45.5 | 203.6 | 45.4 | 4.5 | 2.0 | 4.1 |

Table 9-11. Monthly sample rates (Total retained Chinook sampled ${ }^{1 /}$ / Estimated retained Chinook) in the winter Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery from November 1-30, 2009 and January 16-April 15, 2010.

| Time period |  |  | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  |  | Number of Chinook sampled |  |  |  | Sample Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Stat. Weeks | Dates | Marked | Unmark | Unk. | Total | Marked | Unmark | Unk. | Total |  |
| November | 44-49 | Nov 1 - Nov 30 | 1,118 | 8 | 0 | 1,126 | 195 | 2 | 0 | 197 | 17.5\% |
| January | 3-5 | Jan 16 - Jan 31 | 226 | 14 | 0 | 240 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 32.9\% |
| February | 6-9 | Feb 1 - Feb 28 | 97 | 6 | 0 | 103 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 16.5\% |
| March | 10-13 | Mar 1 - Mar 28 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 32.6\% |
| April | 14-16 | Mar 29 - Apr 15 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 57.1\% |
| Season Total |  |  | 1,557 | 28 | 0 | 1,585 | 346 | 0 | 0 | 348 | 21.8\% |

[^3]Table 9-12. Summary of aerial over flight and dockside data used to estimate the fraction of Area 9 effort captured in the four-site sample frame during the winter 2009-10 (November 1-30, 2009 and January 16-April 15, 2010) Area 9 markselective Chinook fishery. See Methods Report (WDFW 2011) for computational details and notation.

| Time Period | Survey <br> Date | Stratum | Aerial Sampling Details |  |  | Dockside Sampling Details |  |  | Sample <br> Fraction, $\qquad$ $f_{i j}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Start <br> Time | End <br> Time | Total Boats, $\boldsymbol{m}_{i j}$ | Total Boats, $\Sigma y_{i j k}$ | Fishing Boats | Active Boats, $X_{i j}$ |  |
| November,2009 2009 | 01-Nov | Weekend | 10:40 | 11:20 | 101 | 146 | 62 | 43 | 0.426 |
|  | 07-Nov | Weekend | 10:28 | 11:01 | 25 | 39 | 14 | 9 | 0.360 |
|  | 14-Nov | Weekend | 10:26 | 11:02 | 100 | 139 | 53 | 38 | 0.380 |
|  | 20-Nov | Weekday | 11:39 | 12:10 | 6 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 0.167 |
|  | 21-Nov | Weekend | 10:12 | 10:46 | 7 | 26 | 11 | 3 | 0.429 |
|  | 28-Nov | Weekend | 10:28 | 11:03 | 22 | 30 | 15 | 11 | 0.500 |
| November Stratum Summary Statistics |  |  | Mean |  | 44 | 65 | 26 | 18 | 0.377 |
|  |  |  | St Dev |  | 45 | 61 | 25 | 18 | 0.114 |
|  |  |  | CV(\%) |  | 103.0\% | 92.7\% | 95.0\% | 104.4\% | 30.2\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { January- } \\ \text { April, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | 16-Jan | Weekend | 11:14 | 11:46 | 168 | 192 | 88 | 77 | 0.458 |
|  | 17-Jan | Weekend | 10:15 | 10:38 | 33 | 42 | 18 | 14 | 0.424 |
|  | 21-Jan | Weekday | 10:25 | 10:40 | 11 | 13 | 12 | 10 | 0.909 |
|  | 23-Jan | Weekend | 9:20 | 9:50 | 114 | 145 | 79 | 62 | 0.544 |
|  | $20-\mathrm{Feb}$ | Weekend | 10:27 | 10:46 | 34 | 65 | 46 | 24 | 0.706 |
|  | 21-Feb | Weekend | 10:25 | 10:55 | 61 | 101 | 38 | 23 | 0.377 |
|  | 05-Mar | Weekday | 10:20 | 10:42 | 11 | 19 | 12 | 7 | 0.636 |
|  | 07-Mar | Weekend | 10:27 | 10:42 | 15 | 27 | 9 | 5 | 0.333 |
|  | 13-Mar | Weekend | 10:17 | 10:42 | 7 | 28 | 4 | 1 | 0.143 |
|  | 16-Mar | Weekday | 10:23 | 10:38 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0.500 |
|  | 21-Mar | Weekend | 10:17 | 10:43 | 12 | 26 | 11 | 5 | 0.417 |
|  | 27-Mar | Weekend | 10:15 | 10:44 | 44 | 66 | 18 | 12 | 0.273 |
|  | $11-\mathrm{Apr}$ | Weekend | 10:10 | 10:32 | 69 | 93 | 35 | 26 | 0.377 |
| January - April Stratum Summary Statistics |  |  | Mean |  | 45 | 63 | 29 | 21 | 0.469 |
|  |  |  | St Dev |  | 49 | 56 | 28 | 23 | 0.198 |
|  |  |  | CV(\%) |  | 108.5\% | 88.9\% | 97.2\% | 113.5\% | 42.2\% |
| Everett Ramp Closure Period, 2010 | 30-Jan | Saturday | 10:30 | 11:07 | 39 | 68 | 21 | 12 | 0.308 |
|  | 31-Jan | Sunday | 10:32 | 11:12 | 40 | 56 | 24 | 17 | 0.425 |
|  | 06-Feb | Saturday | 10:32 | 11:00 | 60 | 92 | 26 | 17 | 0.283 |
|  | $13-\mathrm{Feb}$ | Saturday | 10:19 | 10:49 | 25 | 38 | 6 | 4 | 0.160 |
|  | 18 -Feb | Thursday | 10:20 | 10:45 | 24 | 40 | 5 | 3 | 0.125 |
| Everett Ramp Closure Period Summary Statistics |  |  | Mean |  | 38 | 59 | 16 | 11 | 0.260 |
|  |  |  | St Dev |  | 15 | 22 | 10 | 7 | 0.121 |
|  |  |  | CV(\%) |  | 38.8\% | 37.9\% | 61.7\% | 64.2\% | 46.4\% |

Table 9-13. Fishery-total estimates of retained and released salmon (other than Chinook) in the Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery from November 1-30, 2009 and January 16-April 15, 2010. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Stat Week | Est. Retained Salmon |  |  |  | Est. Released Salmon |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Coho |  |  | Chum | Coho |  |  |  | Chum | Unk. Salmon |
|  | Mark | Unmark | Total |  | Mark | Unmark | Unk. | Total |  |  |
| 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 37 |
| 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 21 |
| 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 102 |
| Grand Total Summary Statistics: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Variance: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 353 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 16 | 6 | 2,003 |
| SE: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 45 |
| CV: | - | - | - | 70.8\% | - | - | 49.6\% | 49.6\% | 63.6\% | 43.7\% |
| 95\% CI: | - | - | - | 5-63 | - | - | 3-16 | 3-16 | 1-9 | 15-190 |

Table 9-14. Season-total estimates of Chinook encounters by size/mark status, and total estimates of angler effort, summarized for all seasons to date of the Area 9 winter mark-selective Chinook fishery.

| Area | Season Dates | Effort <br> (Angler <br> Trips) | Retained Chinook |  |  |  | Released Chinook |  |  |  | Total Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LM | LU | SM | SU | LM | LU | SM | SU |  |
| 9 | January 16 -April 15, 2008 | 6,887 | 1,333 | 3 | 72 | 0 | 195 | 304 | 1,288 | 375 | 3,570 |
| 9 | Nov 1-30, 2008 and January 16 - April 15, 2009 | 7,064 | 871 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 130 | 158 | 3,520 | 2,837 | 7,545 |
| 9 | Nov 1-30, 2009 and January 16 - April 15, 2010 | 6,823 | 1,450 | 18 | 106 | 10 | 217 | 353 | 2,166 | 615 | 4,934 |

## 4) Marine Area 10 Winter Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a mark-selective Chinook fishery (MSF) in Marine Area 10 for the third winter season from October 1, 2009 through January 31, 2010. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented an intensive monitoring program in Area 10 throughout the season in order to collect the data needed to estimate key parameters characterizing the fishery and its impacts on unmarked salmon. Sampling activities included dockside creel sampling, test fishing, on-water effort surveys, and we distributed and collected voluntary trip reports (VTRs) from the angling public.

Table 10-1 summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2011). In the following section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 10 winter selective Chinook fishery from October 1, 2009 through January 31, 2010.

Table 10-1. Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 10 mark-selective fishery monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal <br> Parameter(s) | Secondary <br> Parameter(s) | Sample Unit(s) | Finest Estimation Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside Creel Sampling | Fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish ${ }^{1}$ | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{2}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release. | Two weeks ${ }^{1}$ | Creel estimates were produced for two-week estimation periods and stratified into "weekday" (Mon.Thurs.) and "weekend" (Fri.-Sun.) day-type strata within weeks. For the weekday stratum, we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=8$ available weekdays per two-week period. For the weekend stratum, we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=3$ available weekend days per week ${ }^{1}$. |
| On-the-water Surveys (Boat Surveys) | Proportion of total angler effort accessing fishery via sample-frame sites (i.e., site "size measures") versus out-of-frame sites. Size measures were used to select sites for dockside creel surveys using a probability proportional to size (PPS) site selection process, and to produce total-fishery creel estimates (see WDFW 2011). | Data on spatial distribution of recreational fishing boats in the area. | Boats and anglers | Month | A total of 2 boat surveys per month boat surveys ( $\mathrm{n}=8$ ) were conducted during the four- month fishery. |
| Test Fishing | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status composition (marked, unmarked) of encountered Chinook | Chinook length, age, and DNA-based ${ }^{3}$ stock composition; species composition of nonChinook encounters | Fish encounter | Season | Season-total test fishery data were used in the estimation of total Chinook encounters by size/mark group and associated impacts; $\mathrm{LM}=$ $10.3 \%, \mathrm{LU}=2.1 \%, \mathrm{SM}=64.9 \%, \mathrm{SU}$ $=22.7 \%$ (see Table 10-5). |
| Voluntary Trip Reports (VTRs) | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status composition (marked, unmarked) of encountered Chinook | Encounter data for nonChinook species (e.g., coho) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season | Fisher's Exact test was used to compare the size/mark status proportions of the test fishery data to VTR data. The $\chi 2$ statistic was not significant ( $\chi 2=9.897,6 \mathrm{df} ; P=$ 0.121 ), indicating no differences among the samples. However, only the test fishery data were used to estimate the size/mark status proportions needed to produce the estimates (see Tables 10-5 and 106). |
| Overall Fishery Impacts Estimation | Total Chinook encounters and mortalities, by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook | N/A | Season | Estimated on a monthly time step but considered at the season-total level. |
| Coded-wire tag (CWT) Impacts Estimation | Marked/unmarked doubleindex tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season | The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

${ }^{1}$ To generate weekly catch and effort estimates, the four-day "weekday stratum" estimate was added to the three-day "weekend stratum" estimate for the particular week. The eight-day weekday estimates for each two-week period were split evenly between individual weeks in the two-week block to enable weekly estimates, with variances computed using the $n=2$ days sampled out of $N=8$ available weekdays in the appropriate variance equation (see WDFW 2011).
${ }^{2}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.
${ }^{3}$ Though samples were collected, DNA-based estimates of stock composition are not yet available for this fishery.

Table 10-2. Estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and reported releases) during the winter 2009-
10 (October 1, 2009 - January 31, 2010) Area 10 mark-selective fishery. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.
$\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked, $\mathrm{UNK}=$ unknown mark status.

| Month | Stat <br> Week | Start Date | End <br> Date | Est. Effort |  | Est. Retained Chinook |  | Est. Released Chinook |  | Est. Total Chinook Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Boats | Anglers | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| Oct | 40 | Oct-01 | Oct-04 | 462 | 856 | 3 | 3 | 18 | 4 | 27 |
|  | 41 | Oct-05 | Oct-11 | 571 | 1,054 | 18 | 0 | 117 | 45 | 179 |
|  | 42 | Oct-12 | Oct-18 | 80 | 172 | 4 | 0 | 29 | 11 | 44 |
|  | 43 | Oct-19 | Oct-25 | 149 | 287 | 45 | 0 | 292 | 111 | 449 |
|  | 44 | Oct-26 | Nov-01 | 198 | 314 | 65 | 0 | 425 | 162 | 651 |
| Nov | 45 | Nov-02 | Nov-08 | 146 | 222 | 61 | 0 | 398 | 152 | 611 |
|  | 46 | Nov-09 | Nov-15 | 91 | 183 | 9 | 0 | 57 | 22 | 88 |
|  | 47 | Nov-16 | Nov-22 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 48 | Nov-23 | Nov-29 | 204 | 389 | 29 | 0 | 191 | 73 | 292 |
|  | 49 | Nov-30 | Dec-06 | 138 | 260 | 21 | 0 | 136 | 52 | 209 |
| Dec | 50 | Dec-07 | Dec-13 | 117 | 213 | 39 | 0 | 257 | 98 | 395 |
|  | 51 | Dec-14 | Dec-20 | 129 | 216 | 14 | 0 | 94 | 36 | 144 |
|  | 52 | Dec-21 | Dec-27 | 210 | 386 | 34 | 0 | 225 | 86 | 345 |
|  | 53/1 | Dec-28 | Jan-03 | 116 | 247 | 9 | 0 | 62 | 24 | 95 |
| Jan | 2 | Jan-04 | Jan-10 | 96 | 174 | 20 | 0 | 129 | 49 | 198 |
|  | 3 | Jan-11 | Jan-17 | 78 | 126 | 23 | 0 | 153 | 58 | 234 |
|  | 4 | Jan-18 | Jan-24 | 117 | 239 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 5 | Jan-25 | Jan-31 | 126 | 216 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Season Total: |  |  |  | 3,031 | 5,560 | 395 | 3 | 2,583 | 981 | 3,962 |
| Variance: |  |  |  | 44,823 | 170,457 | 13879 | 4 | 755,582 | 96817 | 1,740,111 |
| Standard Error: |  |  |  | 212 | 413 | 118 | 2 | 869 | 311 | 1,319 |
| CV (\%): |  |  |  | 7.0\% | 7.4\% | 29.8\% | 69.5\% | 33.6\% | 31.7\% | 33.3\% |
| 95\% CI: |  |  |  | 2,616-3,446 | 4,750-6,369 | 164-626 | 1-6 | 880-4,287 | 371-1,591 | 1,377-6,548 |

## Angler-trips



Figure 10-1. Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the Area 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery from October 1, 2009 January 31, 2010.


Figure 10-2. Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook per angler trip) during the Areas 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery from October 1, 2009 - January 31, 2010.


Figure 10-3. Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (retained and released) during the Area 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery from October 1, 2009 - January 31, 2010.

Table 10-3. Summary of total length samples collected from retained Chinook during dockside angler interviews in the winter Area 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery from October 1, 2009 - January 31, 2010.

|  | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mark Type | Legal-size | Sublegal-size | Total |
| Marked | 85 | 10 | $\mathbf{9 5}$ |
| Unmarked | 0 | 1 | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 6}$ |

Harvested Chinook, Area 10 ( $\mathrm{n}=95$ )


Figure 10-4. Length-frequency distribution for marked Chinook harvested and sampled dockside during the Area 10 markselective Chinook fishery from October 1, 2009 - January 31, 2010.

Table 10-4. Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the winter 2009-10 Area 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery. The field "No. DITs" corresponds to the number of tags that belonged to double-index tag groups.

| Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs <br> Recovered | No. DITs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| British Columbia-Fraser R. | Chilliwack River | H-Chilliwack R | 1 (9.1\%) | 1 |
|  | Harrison River | H-Chehalis R | 1 (9.1\%) |  |
| Hood Canal | Purdy Creek | George Adams Hatchery | 1 (9.1\%) | 1 |
| Lower Columbia River | Big Creek | Big Creek (Lwr Col R) | 1 (9.1\%) | 1 |
|  |  | Big Creek Hatchery | 1 (9.1\%) | 1 |
| Puget Sound-Central | Elliott Bay Tribal Net Pen | Keta Creek Hatchery | 1 (9.1\%) |  |
|  | Voight Creek | Voights Cr Hatchery | 1 (9.1\%) |  |
| Puget Sound-North | Issaquah Creek | Issaquah Hatchery | 1 (9.1\%) |  |
|  | Wallace River | n/a | 1 (9.1\%) |  |
|  | Whitehorse Springs | Whitehorse Pond | 1 (9.1\%) |  |
| Puget Sound-South | Clear Creek | Clear Creek Hatchery | 1 (9.1\%) | 1 |
|  |  | Grand Total | 11 | 5 |

Table 10-5. Composition of test fishery Chinook encounters and associated mark-rate and size/mark-status proportion estimates the Area 10 October 1, 2009 - January 31, 2010 mark-selective Chinook fishery. Variances associated with season-total size/mark status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses. $\mathrm{AD}=$ adipose fin-clipped (marked); $\mathrm{UM}=$ adipose fin intact (unmarked).

| Stat Week | Fishing Effort |  | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Days | Hours Fished | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| 40 | 1 | 6.8 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| 41 | 5 | 23.4 | 3 | 2 | 34 | 10 | 49 |
| 42 | 5 | 25.5 | 1 | 0 | 31 | 7 | 39 |
| 43 | 4 | 22.6 | 3 | 1 | 16 | 10 | 30 |
| 44 | 3 | 12.1 | 3 | 1 | 18 | 12 | 34 |
| 45 | 3 | 17.4 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 13 |
| 46 | 3 | 16.8 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 19 |
| 47 | 1 | 4.0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 48 | 3 | 18.3 | 4 | 0 | 21 | 3 | 28 |
| 49 | 5 | 19.6 | 3 | 1 | 39 | 14 | 57 |
| 50 | 5 | 17.7 | 9 | 1 | 23 | 8 | 41 |
| 51 | 4 | 18.0 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 16 |
| 52 | 3 | 14.5 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 11 |
| 53/1 | 3 | 14.6 | 1 | 0 | 19 | 2 | 22 |
| 2 | 5 | 27.6 | 3 | 1 | 29 | 4 | 37 |
| 3 | 4 | 16.5 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 15 |
| 4 | 2 | 8.7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 5 | 3 | 13.8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | 62 | 297.9 | 43 | 9 | 272 | 95 | 419 |

Size/mark-status composition: 0.103 (0.0002) 0.021 ( 0.0001 ) 0.649 (0.0005) 0.227 (0.0004)
Legal size mark rate: 0.83 (0.0028)
Overall mark rate: 0.75 (0.0004)

Table 10-6. Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by charter and private (non-charter) boat anglers reporting their catch on voluntary trip reports (VTRs) during the Area 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery (October 1, 2009 through January 31, 2010), with estimates of legal-size, sublegal-size, and overall mark rates.

| Data source | Effort \& Sample Size | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Total | Mark Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Charter Boat VTR | 7 1-trip VTRs, 26 Angler Trips | 8 | 5 | 44 | 14 | 71 | 73.2\% | 61.5\% |
|  | Size/mark-status comp.: <br> Variance: | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.113 \\ (0.00143) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.070 \\ (0.00094) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.620 \\ (0.00337) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.197 \\ (0.00226) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Private Boat VTR | 27 1-trip VTRs, 37 Angler Trips | 15 | 12 | 123 | 38 | 188 | 73.4\% | 55.6\% |
|  | Size/mark-status comp.: <br> Variance: | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.080 \\ (0.00039) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.064 \\ (0.00032) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.654 \\ (0.00121) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.202 \\ (0.00086) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Pooled data | 34 1-trip VTRs, 63 Angler Trips | 23 | 17 | 167 | 52 | 259 | 73.4\% | 57.5\% |
|  | Size/mark-status comp.: <br> Variance: | $\begin{gathered} 0.089 \\ (0.00031) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.066 \\ (0.00024) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.645 \\ (0.00089) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.201 \\ (0.00062) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |

Fisher's Exact test was used to compare the size/mark status proportions of the test fishery data to VTR data from each source. The $\chi^{2}$ statistic was not significant ( $\chi^{2}=9.897,6 \mathrm{df} ; P=0.121$ ), indicating no differences among the three samples. However, only the test fishery data were used to estimate the size/mark status proportions needed to produce the estimates.


Figure 10-5. Length-frequency distributions of marked (left panel) and unmarked (right panel) Chinook encountered by test fishers during the Area 10 October 1, 2009 - January 31, 2010 mark-selective Chinook fishery. The dashed vertical line in the marked Chinook plot corresponds to the legal size limit ( 22 in or 56 cm ).

Table 10-7. Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the Area 10 October 1, 2009 - January 31, 2010 markselective Chinook fishery. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error.

| Total  <br> Encounters (E): $\mathbf{3 , 9 6 2}$ <br> V(E): $\mathbf{1 , 7 4 0 , 1 1 1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size/mark group | Chinook <br> Encounters | No. <br> Retained | No. <br> Rel'd | Rel. <br> Mort. <br> Rate | Rel. <br> Mort. | Total Mortality | Var | SE | 95\% CI | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CV } \\ & (\%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Legal marked | 407 | 354 | 53 | 0.15 | 8 | 362 | 11,988 | 109 | 147-576 | 30 |
| Legal unmarked | 85 | 2 | 83 | 0.15 | 12 | 15 | 37 | 6 | 40264 | 41 |
| Sublegal marked | 2,572 | 42 | 2,531 | 0.20 | 506 | 548 | 29,945 | 173 | 209-887 | 32 |
| Sublegal unmarked | 898 | 0 | 898 | 0.20 | 180 | 180 | 3,812 | 62 | 59-301 | 34 |
| All groups combined | 3,962 | 398 | 3,564 |  | 706 | 1,104 | 45,782 | 214 | 685-1524 | 19 |

Table 10-8. Comparison of modeled (i.e., using FRAM, model run 2309) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the Area 10 October 1, 2009 - January 31, 2010 mark-selective Chinook fishery.

| Data Source | Group | Total <br> Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed <br> Only |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FRAM Encounters | Unmark. | 3,943 | 748 | 3,195 | 45 |
|  | Mark. | 9,411 | 1,996 | 7,415 | 1,736 |
|  | Total | 13,354 | 2,744 | 10,610 | 1,781 |
|  | \% Mark. | 71 | 73 | 70 | 98 |
| Estimated (Creel) | Unmark. | 984 | 85 | 898 | 3 |
| Encounters | Mark. | 2,979 | 407 | 2,572 | 395 |
|  | Total | 3,962 | 492 | 3,471 | 398 |
|  | \% Mark. | 75 | 83 | 74 | 99 |

Table 10-9. Comparison of modeled (i.e., using FRAM, model run 2309) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the Area 10 October 1, 2009 - January 31, 2010 mark-selective Chinook fishery.

|  | FRAM Chinook Mortalities |  | Estimated Chinook Mortalities |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mortality Category | Unmark. | Mark. | Total | Unmark. | Mark. | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 791 | 3,345 | 4,136 | 195 | 909 | 1,104 |
| Released Legal | 107 | 126 | 233 | 12 | 8 | 20 |
| Released Sublegal | 639 | 1,483 | 2,122 | 180 | 506 | 686 |
| Landed Only | 45 | 1,736 | 1,781 | 3 | 395 | 398 |

Marked Chinook Encounters


Marked Chinook Mortalities


Unmarked Chinook Encounters


Unmarked Chinook Mortalities


Figure 10-6. Comparison of modeled (i.e., using FRAM, model run 2309) and estimated total Chinook encounters and mortalities for the Area 10 October 1, 2009 - January 31, 2010 mark-selective Chinook fishery. Error bars represent approximate $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 10-10. Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the Area 10 October 1, 2009 - January 31, 2010 markselective Chinook fishery.

| Hatchery | Brood Year | DIT's <br> Obs'd | AD DIT Harvest |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { UM } \\ & \text { DIT } \\ & \text { Enc } \end{aligned}$ | UM DIT Mortality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}$ (Est.) |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}$ (Est.) | SE(est.) |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2007 | 1 | 5.4 | 23.4 | 5.4 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| George Adams Hatchery | 2006 | 1 | 3.6 | 9.6 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Chilliwack River Hatchery | 2007 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| Big Creek Hatchery (Lwr Col R) | 2006 | 2 | 7.3 | 19.1 | 7.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.6 |
| TOTAL |  | 5 | 16.3 | 52.1 | 21.7 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 1.9 |

Table 10-11. Monthly sample rates (Total retained Chinook sampled / Estimated retained Chinook) in the winter Area 10 markselective Chinook fishery from October 1, 2009 through January 31, 2010.

| Time period |  |  | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  |  | Number Retained Chinook Sampled ${ }^{\text {1/ }}$ |  |  |  | Sample <br> Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Stat. Weeks | Dates | Marked | Unmark | Unk. | Total | Marked | Unmark | Unk. | Total |  |
| October | 40-44 | Oct 1 - Nov 1 | 135 | 3 | 0 | 138 | 37 | 1 | 0 | 38 | 27.5\% |
| November | 45-48 | Nov 2 - Nov 29 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 99 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 24.2\% |
| December | 49-53/1 | Nov $30-\mathrm{Jan} 3$ | 118 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 18.6\% |
| January | 2-5 | Jan 4 - Jan 31 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 27.9\% |
| Season Total |  |  | 395 | 3 | 0 | 398 | 95 | 1 | 0 | 96 | 24.1\% |

${ }^{1 /}$ Number of retained Chinook sampled includes all retained Chinook inspected for CWT's, from all sites sampled during the four-month winter Area 10 fishery (i.e., the two selected sites per sampling day for creel [Murthy] estimates, plus the fish sampled as part of baseline [non-Murthy] sampling in the Area).

Table 10-12. Fishery-total estimates of retained and released salmon (other than Chinook) for the Area 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery from October 1, 2009 through January 31, 2010. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Stat Week | Est. Effort |  | Est. Retained Salmon |  |  |  | Est. Released Salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Boats | Anglers | Coho |  |  | Chum | Coho |  |  |  | Pink | Cutthroat Trout | Unk. Salmon |
|  |  |  | Mark | Unmark | Total |  | Mark | Unmark | Unk. | Total |  |  |  |
| 40 | 462 | 856 | 98 | 146 | 244 | 5 | 34 | 4 | 104.8 | 143 | 3 | 0 | 270 |
| 41 | 571 | 1054 | 32 | 41 | 72 | 29 | 4 | 8 | 27 | 39 | 0 | 33 | 643 |
| 42 | 80 | 172 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 43 | 149 | 287 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 158 |
| 44 | 198 | 314 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 118 |
| 45 | 146 | 222 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66 |
| 46 | 91 | 183 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 47 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 48 | 204 | 389 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 49 | 138 | 260 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 50 | 117 | 213 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 51 | 129 | 216 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 52 | 210 | 386 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| 53 | 67 | 141 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 1 | 49 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 96 | 174 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 78 | 126 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 117 | 239 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 126 | 216 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 3,031 | 5,560 | 136 | 190 | 325 | 51 | 48 | 34 | 149 | 230 | 3 | 33 | 1,312 |
| Grand Total Summary Statistics: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Variance: | 224,703 | 1,080,790 | 1,100 | 1,250 | 2,250 | 415 | 318 | 120 | 2,130 | 2,567 | 5 | 996 | 65,048 |
| SE: | 474 | 1,040 | 33 | 35 | 47 | 20 | 18 | 11 | 46 | 51 | 2 | 32 | 255 |
| CV: | 15.6\% | 18.7\% | 24.4\% | 18.7\% | 14.6\% | 39.9\% | 37.2\% | 32.5\% | 31.0\% | 22.0\% | 72.2\% | 95.7\% | 19.4\% |
| 95\% CI: | $\begin{aligned} & 2,102- \\ & 3,960 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,522- \\ & 7,597 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 71-201 | 120-259 | 232-418 | 11-91 | 13-83 | 12-55 | 58-239 | 131-330 | 1-7 | 3-95 | 812-1,811 |

Table 10-13. Summary of the total number of anglers intercepted in Area 10 during on-the-water surveys from October 1, 2009 - January 31, 2010. Grayed cells represent sites included in the dockside sample frame. See WDFW 2011 for detailed methods descriptions on calculating Area 10 site size measures during the Tengu Derby period (Tengu Derby is on Sundays only, extending from the last Sunday in October through last Sunday in December).

| Site Name | Total Anglers (less 'Tengu' Armeni Ramp anglers) | Season Total (unadjusted) Size Measure | Total Anglers (with 'Tengu' Armeni Ramp anglers) | Season Total (unadjusted) Size Measure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st Ave South Ramp | 2 | 0.003 | 3 | 0.004 |
| Armeni Ramp | 106 | 0.176 | 180 | 0.244 |
| Bay Marina (Miller Bay) | 0 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Bayside | 2 | 0.003 | 4 | 0.005 |
| Blake Island | 1 | 0.002 | 1 | 0.001 |
| Bremerton | 2 | 0.003 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Brownsville Marina | 5 | 0.008 | 5 | 0.007 |
| Brownsville Ramp | 27 | 0.045 | 27 | 0.037 |
| Camano Is St Park |  |  | 2 | 0.003 |
| Des Moines Marina | 5 | 0.008 | 5 | 0.007 |
| Eagle Harbor Ramp | 2 | 0.003 | 6 | 0.008 |
| Edmonds Marina | 37 | 0.061 | 37 | 0.050 |
| Edmonds Marina Dry Storage | 45 | 0.075 | 50 | 0.068 |
| Edmonds Sling | 13 | 0.022 | 13 | 0.018 |
| Elliott Bay Marina | 12 | 0.020 | 14 | 0.019 |
| Everett Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Everett Ramp (Norton) | 5 | 0.008 | 5 | 0.007 |
| Harbor Island | 3 | 0.005 | 3 | 0.004 |
| Harper Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Jacobsen's Dry Stack | 2 | 0.003 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Kingston Public | 52 | 0.086 | 55 | 0.075 |
| Kingston Wet | 12 | 0.020 | 12 | 0.016 |
| Lake Union | 3 | 0.005 | 3 | 0.004 |
| Liberty Bay | 0 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Manchester | 51 | 0.085 | 67 | 0.091 |
| Miller Bay | 0 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Mukilteo Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Narrows Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Point Defiance Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Port Madison Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Port Orchard Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Port Orchard Ramp | 5 | 0.008 | 5 | 0.007 |
| Poulsbo Marina | 4 | 0.007 | 4 | 0.005 |
| Private Buoy/moorage | 16 | 0.027 | 18 | 0.024 |
| Redondo ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Sandy Hook (Cultus Bay) | 0 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Seacrest Boat House | 0 | 0.000 | 6 | 0.008 |
| Shilshole Marina | 24 | 0.040 | 24 | 0.033 |
| Shilshole Ramp | 160 | 0.266 | 178 | 0.242 |
| Southpark | 2 | 0.003 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Southworth | 2 | 0.003 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Winslow Ramp | 2 | 0.003 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Yukon Harbor Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Total Anglers | 602 | 1.000 | 737 | 1.000 |

Table 10-14. Season-total estimates of Chinook encounters by size/mark status, and total estimates of angler effort, summarized for all seasons to date of the Area 10 winter mark-selective Chinook fishery.

| Area | Season Dates | Effort (Angler Trips) | Retained Chinook |  |  |  | Released Chinook |  |  |  | Total Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LM | LU | SM | SU | LM | LU | SM | SU |  |
| 10 | December 1, 2007 - January 31, 2008 | 2,544 | 539 | 21 | 96 | 0 | 80 | 163 | 1,860 | 361 | 3,120 |
| 10 | December 1, 2008 - January 31, 2009 | 2,029 | 247 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 37 | 36 | 1,010 | 462 | 1,796 |
| 10 | October 1, 2009 - <br> January 31, 2010 | 5,560 | 354 | 2 | 42 | 0 | 53 | 83 | 2,531 | 898 | 3,962 |

## 5) Marine Area 11 Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a mark-selective Chinook fishery (MSF) in Marine Area 11 for the first time during the winter season from February 1 through April 30, 2010. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented an intensive monitoring program in Area 11 throughout the season in order to collect the data needed to estimate key parameters characterizing the fishery and its impacts on unmarked salmon. Sampling activities included dockside creel sampling, on-the-water effort surveys, and collecting and distributing voluntary trip reports (VTRs) from the angling public. Table 11-1 summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2011). In this section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 11 winter selective Chinook fishery from February 1 through April 30, 2010.

Table 11-1. Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 11 mark-selective fishery monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal <br> Parameter(s) | Secondary <br> Parameter(s) | Sample <br> Unit(s) | Finest Estimation Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside Creel Sampling | Fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish ${ }^{1}$ | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{2}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release | Two weeks ${ }^{1}$ | Creel estimates were produced for two-week estimation periods and stratified into "weekday" (Mon.-Thurs.) and "weekend" (Fri.-Sun.) daytype strata within weeks. For the weekday stratum, we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=8$ available weekdays per twoweek period. For the weekend stratum, we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=3$ available weekend days per week ${ }^{1}$. |
| On-the-water Surveys (Boat Surveys) | Proportion of total angler effort accessing fishery via sample-frame sites (i.e., site "size measures") versus out-of-frame sites. Size measures were used to select sites for dockside creel surveys using a probability proportional to size (PPS) site selection process, and to produce total-fishery creel estimates (see WDFW 2011). | Data on spatial distribution of recreational fishing boats in the area. | Boats and anglers | Month | A total of 2 boat surveys per month ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ) were conducted during the three-month fishery. |
| Voluntary Trip Reports (VTRs) | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status composition (marked, unmarked) of encountered Chinook | Encounter data for nonChinook species (e.g., coho) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season (3 months) | VTR data were used in the estimation of total Area 11 Chinook encounters by size/mark group (LM=62.5\%, $\mathrm{LU}=14.3 \%, \mathrm{SM}=21.4 \%$, $\mathrm{SU}=1.8 \%$ ) and associated impacts (see Table 11-5). |
| Overall Fishery Impacts Estimation | Total Chinook encounters and mortalities, by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook | N/A | Season (3 months) | Estimated on a monthly time step but considered at the season-total level. |
| Coded-wire tag (CWT) Impacts Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season <br> (3 months) | The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

${ }^{1}$ To generate weekly catch and effort estimates, the four-day "weekday stratum" estimate was added to the three-day "weekend stratum" estimate for the particular week. The eight-day weekday estimates for each two-week period were split evenly between individual weeks in the two-week block to enable weekly estimates, with variances computed using the $n=2$ days sampled out of $N=8$ available weekdays in the appropriate variance equation (see WDFW 2011).
${ }^{2}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.

Table 11-2. Estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and reported releases) during the February 1, 2010 April 30, 2010 Area 11 mark-selective Chinook fishery. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. AD = marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked, $\mathrm{UNK}=$ unknown mark status.

| Month | Stat Week | Start <br> Date | End <br> Date | Est. Effort |  | Est. Retained Chinook |  | Est. Released Chinook |  | Est. Total <br> Chinook <br> Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Boats | Anglers | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| Feb | 6 | Feb-01 | Feb-07 | 205 | 341 | 32 | 0 | 16 | 9 | 56 |
|  | 7 | Feb-08 | Feb-14 | 206 | 331 | 49 | 0 | 24 | 14 | 87 |
|  | 8 | Feb-15 | Feb-21 | 213 | 400 | 12 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 22 |
|  | 9 | Feb-22 | Feb-28 | 290 | 183 | 23 | 0 | 11 | 6 | 40 |
| Mar | 10 | Mar-01 | Mar-07 | 157 | 272 | 17 | 0 | 8 | 5 | 30 |
|  | 11 | Mar-08 | Mar-14 | 134 | 227 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 16 |
|  | 12 | Mar-15 | Mar-21 | 124 | 224 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
|  | 13 | Mar-22 | Mar-28 | 102 | 158 | 23 | 0 | 11 | 7 | 41 |
|  | 14 | Mar-29 | Apr-04 | 37 | 72 | 14 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 25 |
| Apr | 15 | Apr-05 | Apr-11 | 87 | 169 | 21 | 0 | 10 | 6 | 37 |
|  | 16 | Apr-12 | Apr-18 | 174 | 261 | 53 | 0 | 26 | 15 | 95 |
|  | 17 | Apr-19 | Apr-25 | 239 | 356 | 56 | 0 | 28 | 16 | 100 |
|  | 18 | Apr-26 | Apr-30 | 67 | 103 | 14 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 24 |
| Season Total: |  |  |  | 2,034 | 3,096 | 326 | 3 | 161 | 90 | 580 |
| Variance: |  |  |  | 35,090 | 70,049 | 2,892 | 3 | 5,970 | 1,095 | 12,948 |
| Standard Error: |  |  |  | 187 | 265 | 54 | 2 | 77 | 33 | 114 |
| CV (\%): |  |  |  | 9.2\% | 8.5\% | 16.5\% | 64.1\% | 48.0\% | 36.6\% | 19.6\% |
| 95\% CI: |  |  |  | 1,667-2,401 | 2,578-3,615 | 220-431 | 1-6 | 9-312 | 26-155 | 357-803 |



Figure 11-1. Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the Area 11 winter mark-selective Chinook fishery from February 1 April 30, 2010.


Figure 11-2. Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook per angler trip) during the Areas 11 mark-selective Chinook fishery from February 1 - April 30, 2010.


Figure 11-3. Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (retained and released) during the Area 11 mark-selective Chinook fishery from February 1 - April 30, 2010.

Table 11-3. Summary of total length samples collected from retained Chinook during dockside angler interviews in the winter Area 11 mark-selective Chinook fishery from February 1 - April 30, 2010.

| Area |  | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mark Type | Legal-size | Sublegal-size | Total |
|  | Marked | 90 | 3 | 93 |
|  | Unmarked | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Undetermined | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{9 0}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{9 3}$ |

Harvested Chinook, Area 11 ( $\mathrm{n}=93$ )


Figure 11-4. Length-frequency distribution for marked Chinook harvested and sampled at dockside during the Area 11 markselective Chinook fishery from February 1 - April 30, 2010.

Table 11-4. Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the winter 2009-10 Area 11 markselective Chinook fishery. The field "No. DITs" corresponds to the number of tags that belonged to double-index tag groups.

| Release Region ${ }^{1 /}$ |  | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs <br> Recovered | No. DITs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Washington | Hood Canal | Purdy Creek | George Adams Hatchery | 1 | 1 |
|  |  | Ricks Pond (LLTK) |  | 1 |  |
|  | Puget Sound-Central | Grovers Creek Hatchery | Grovers Creek Hatchery | 1 |  |
|  | Puget Sound-North | Whitehorse Springs | Whitehorse Pond | 2 |  |
|  | Puget Sound-South | Chambers Creek | Garrison Hatchery | 1 |  |
| Grand Total |  |  |  | 6 | 1 |

${ }^{1 /}$ Unofficial release regions. Puget Sound regions were designated based on the WDFW marine catch area containing the river/stream network where juvenile releases originated (i.e., Areas 11 and $13=$ South; Areas 9 and $10=$ Central; and Areas $7,8-1$, and 8-2 $=$ North).

Table 11-5. Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by charter and private (non-charter) boat anglers reporting their catch on voluntary trip reports (VTRs) during the February 1 - April 30, 2010 Area 11 mark-selective Chinook fishery, with estimates of legal-size and overall mark rates. $\mathrm{AD}=$ Adipose fin-clipped (marked); $\mathrm{UM}=$ Unmarked.

| Data source | Effort \& Sample Size | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Total | Mark Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Private Boat VTR | 38 1-trip VTRs, 63 Angler Trips | 35 | 8 | 12 | 1 | 56 | 83.9\% | 81.4\% |
|  | Size/mark-status composition: | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.625 \\ (0.00426) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.143 \\ (0.00223) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.214 \\ (0.00306) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.018 \\ (0.00032) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |

Table 11-6. Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the Area 11 February 1 - April 30, 2010 mark-selective Chinook fishery. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error.

| Total  <br> Encounters  <br> $(\mathbf{E}):$ $\mathbf{5 8 0}$ <br> $\mathrm{V}(\mathbf{E}):$ $\mathbf{1 2 , 9 4 8}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size/mark group | Encounters | No. <br> Retained | No. <br> Rel'd | Rel. Mort. Rate | Rel. <br> Mort. | Total Mortality | Var | SE | 95\% CI | CV (\%) |
| Legal marked | 362 | 315 | 47 | 0.15 | 7 | 322 | 2,950 | 54 | 216-429 | 17 |
| Legal unmarked | 83 | 3 | 80 | 0.15 | 12 | 15 | 25 | 5 | 40323 | 34 |
| Sublegal marked | 124 | 11 | 114 | 0.20 | 23 | 33 | 103 | 10 | 13-53 | 31 |
| Sublegal unmarked | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0.20 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | -8 | 100 |
| All groups combined | 580 | 329 | 251 |  | 44 | 373 | 3,083 | 56 | 264-481 | 15 |

Table 11-7. Comparison of modeled (i.e., using FRAM, model run 2309) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the Area 11 February 1 - April 30, 2010 mark-selective Chinook fishery.

| Data Source | Group | Total <br> Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed <br> Only |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FRAM Encounters | Unmark. | 578 | 118 | 460 | 7 |
|  | Mark. | 1,804 | 314 | 1,490 | 274 |
|  | Total | 2,382 | 432 | 1,950 | 281 |
|  | \% Mark. | 76 | 73 | 76 | 98 |
| Estimated (Creel) | Unmark. | 93 | 83 | 10 | 3 |
| Encounters | Mark. | 487 | 362 | 124 | 326 |
|  | Total | 580 | 445 | 135 | 329 |
|  | \% Mark. | 84 | 81 | 92 | 99 |

Table 11-8. Comparison of modeled (i.e., using FRAM, model run 2309) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the Area 11 February 1 - April 30, 2010 mark-selective Chinook fishery.

|  | FRAM Chinook Mortalities |  | Estimated Chinook Mortalities |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mortality Category | Unmark. | Mark. | Total | Unmark. | Mark. | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 116 | 592 | 708 | 17 | 356 | 373 |
| Released Legal | 17 | 20 | 37 | 12 | 7 | 19 |
| Released Sublegal | 92 | 298 | 390 | 2 | 23 | 25 |
| Landed Only | 7 | 274 | 281 | 3 | 326 | 329 |



Figure 11-5. Comparison of modeled (i.e., using FRAM, model run 2309) and estimated total Chinook encounters and mortalities for the Area 11 February 1 - April 30, 2010 mark-selective Chinook fishery. Error bars represent approximate $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 11-9. Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the Area 11 February 1 - April 30, 2010 mark-selective Chinook fishery.

| Hatchery | Brood Year | DIT's <br> Obs'd | AD DIT Harvest |  | UM DIT <br> Enc. | UM DIT Mortality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}$ (Est.) |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}($ Est.) | SE(est.) |
| George Adams Hatchery | 2006 | 1 | 4.2 | 13.1 | 4.2 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| TOTAL |  | 1 | 4.2 | 13.1 | 4.2 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.4 |

Table 11-10. Monthly sample rates (Total retained Chinook sampled / Estimated retained Chinook) in the winter Area 11 mark-selective Chinook fishery from February 1 - April 30, 2010.

| Time period |  |  | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  |  | Number of Chinook sampled |  |  |  | Sample <br> Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Stat. Weeks | Dates | Marked | Unmark | Unk | Total | Marked | Unmark | Unk. | Total |  |
| February | 6-9 | Feb 1-Feb 28 | 116 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 33.6\% |
| March | 10-13 | Mar 1 - Mar 28 | 53 | 3 | 0 | 56 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 28.6\% |
| April | 14-18 | Mar 29 - Apr 30 | 158 | 0 | 0 | 158 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 24.1\% |
| Season Total |  |  | 327 | 3 | 0 | 330 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 28.2\% |

Table 11-11 Season-total estimates of Chinook encounters by size/mark status, and total estimates of angler effort, summarized for all seasons to date of the Area 11 winter mark-selective Chinook fishery.

| Area | Season Dates | Effort (Angler Trips) | Retained Chinook |  |  |  | Released Chinook |  |  |  | Total <br> Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LM | LU | SM | SU | LM | LU | SM | SU |  |
| 11 | February 1 - April 30, 2010 | 3,096 | 315 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 47 | 80 | 114 | 10 | 580 |

## 6) Marine Area 12 Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a mark-selective Chinook fishery (MSF) in Marine Area 12 for the first time during the winter season from February 1 through April 30, 2010. Data collection methods used to monitor the Area 12 mark-selective Chinook fishery included dockside angler interviews (with catch sampling) and voluntary trip reports provided by private anglers. From these activities, we were able to estimate catch rates (i.e., CPUE), mark rates (based on VTRs), and landed-catch composition (age, length, and CWT). Additionally, we described relative catch and effort patterns over the three-month (February 1 - April 30, 2010) season based on the assumption that baseline-sampling observations of these parameters are good indicators of associated fishery-wide trends.

WDFW dockside samplers conducted "Baseline Sampling" at selected Area 12 access sites during the 2010 Area 12 winter mark-selective Chinook fishery. Complete details of the Baseline Sampling methods are presented in a separate Methods Report (WDFW 2011). Briefly, Baseline Sampling is opportunistic in nature, with overall sampling effort allocated across space and time in a manner that maximizes the number of angler interviews obtained per sample effort. The Area 12 baseline sample frame included 13 different access sites (Table 12-1), each of which was visited on an average of 16 days during the three-month season. Site visits ranged from short (e.g., "no effort" samples) to full-day ( $8+$ hours) sampling events. When present, samplers interviewed all anglers exiting the Area 12 fishery at the selected access site. The interview and catch-sampling procedures employed in Area 12 were identical to those used in other mark-selective fisheries. Thus, Area 12 samplers acquired information about: 1) angling effort (boat and angler trips, trip length), 2) encounters composition (retained and/or released) by species and mark status (marked vs. unmarked, Chinook and coho salmon only), and 3) landed Chinook size (fork and total length) and age (scales were collected and ultimately read) composition. Samplers also inspected landed Chinook and coho salmon for CWTs using wand detectors and acquired snouts when tags were present; resulting tag data were used to estimate the CWT-based composition (unexpanded) of landed catch.

In contrast to the intensive survey design (i.e., the "Murthy" design) employed in other areas, Area 12 sampling results could not be used to produce fishery-total estimates of effort, encounters (retained catch + releases), and unmarked-DIT Chinook impacts. It should be noted, however, that Area 12 baseline sampling observations will ultimately (one to two years from the close of the fishery) be combined with Catch Record Card (CRC) data to estimate catch and effort at the fishery-total level, by month. Thus, while these descriptors of MSF impacts are not presented in the present document, they will be available at a future time.

In this section we report results from monitoring the Area 12 winter mark-selective Chinook fishery based on our efficient, streamlined reporting format agreed-to between state and tribal technical representatives (in July 2010), which is focused on presenting data tables and figures rather than interpretive text. Results are presented in a series of tables and figures according to the following sequence: $i$ ) the intensity (i.e., spatial and temporal coverage) of sampling efforts is described; and $i i$ ) observed data on fishery characteristics obtained from the dockside baseline sampling efforts are reviewed, including catch and effort observations, Chinook length-frequency data, and CWT recovery results.

Table 12-1. List of sites sampled, with the number of sampling events (site-days) during the Area 12 winter mark-selective Chinook fishery, February 1 through April 30, 2010.

| Location | Number Site-Days Sampled per <br> Month <br> Mar |  |  | Apr | Total Site- <br> Days |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Feb of Total |  |  |  |  |
| Hood Canal Public Ramp (Tacoma Light) | 11 | 12 | 13 | 36 | $17.2 \%$ |
| Kingston Public Ramp | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Misery Point Ramp | 16 | 21 | 17 | 54 | $25.8 \%$ |
| Pleasant Harbor Boat Ramp (WDFW) | 8 | 13 | 14 | 35 | $16.7 \%$ |
| Point Whitney Ramp | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | $0.5 \%$ |
| Quilcene Bay Ramp | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 | $4.3 \%$ |
| Salisbury County Park Ramp | 6 | 13 | 15 | 34 | $16.3 \%$ |
| Tahuya Ramp | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | $1.9 \%$ |
| Termination Point Ramp | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Triton Cove State Park | 3 | 8 | 10 | 21 | $10.0 \%$ |
| Twanoh State Park | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | $1.4 \%$ |
| Tyee Marina/Ramp | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | $0.5 \%$ |
| Union Ramp | 6 | 1 | 0 | 7 | $3.3 \%$ |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{5 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

Table 12-2. Observations of fishing effort, salmon harvest, and reported salmon releases, by week, for the Area 12 February 1-April 30, 2010 mark-selective Chinook fishery. Note: displayed values are sample observations (i.e., summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked, $\mathrm{UK}=$ unknown mark status.

| Month | Stat Week | Start <br> Date | End <br> Date | Effort |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Other } \\ K \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { pecies } \\ & \text { pt } \end{aligned}$ | Released Chinook |  |  | Other Species Released |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Boats | Anglers | AD | UM | $\begin{gathered} \text { AD } \\ \text { Coho } \end{gathered}$ | UM <br> Coho | AD | UM | UK | Ad <br> Coho | $\begin{gathered} \text { UM } \\ \text { Coho } \end{gathered}$ | UK Coho | Cutthroat Trout | Unknown Salmon |
| Feb | 6 | 1-Feb | 7-Feb | 60 | 113 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 5 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 7 | 8-Feb | 14-Feb | 92 | 214 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 14 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
|  | 8 | 15-Feb | 21-Feb | 16 | 34 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 9 | 22-Feb | 28-Feb | 36 | 70 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mar | 10 | 1-Mar | 7-Mar | 91 | 201 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 5 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 11 | 8-Mar | 14-Mar | 14 | 27 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 12 | 15-Mar | 21-Mar | 20 | 36 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 13 | 22-Mar | 28-Mar | 11 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Apr | 14 | 29-Mar | 4-Apr | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 15 | 5-Apr | 11-Apr | 5 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 16 | 12-Apr | 18-Apr | 17 | 27 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 17 | 19-Apr | 25-Apr | 9 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 18 | 26-Apr | 30-Apr | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
| Season Total: |  |  |  | 375 | 768 | 122 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 39 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 21 | 4 |

Angler-trips


Figure 12-1. Temporal patterns in fishing effort by week during the Area 12 mark-selective Chinook fishery from February 1 - April 30, 2010. Note: displayed values are sample observations (i.e., summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 12-2. Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook per angler trip) during the Area 12 mark-selective Chinook fishery from February 1 - April 30, 2010. Note: displayed values are sample observations (i.e., summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.

## Chinook encounters



Figure 12-3. Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (retained and released) during the Area 12 mark-selective Chinook fishery from February 1 - April 30, 2010. Note: displayed values are sample observations (i.e., summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 12-4. Length-frequency distribution for marked Chinook harvested and sampled at dockside during the Area 12 mark-selective Chinook fishery from February 1 - April 30, 2010.

Table 12-3. Summary of total length samples collected from retained Chinook during dockside angler interviews in the winter Area 12 mark-selective Chinook fishery from February 1 - April 30, 2010.

| Area | Number Sampled |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Legal-size | Sublegal-size | Total |
|  |  | 117 | 4 | 121 |
|  | Unmarked | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{1 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 1}$ |

Table 12-4. Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the winter 2009-10 Area 12 mark-selective Chinook fishery. The field "No. DITs" corresponds to the number of tags that belonged to double-index tag groups.

| Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | CWTs <br> Recovered | No. <br> DITs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Hood Canal | Finch Creek | Hoodsport Hatchery | $4(18.2 \%)$ |  |
|  | John Creek | Regional Fisheries <br> Enhancement Group 6-Hood <br> Canal | $1(4.5 \%)$ |  |
|  | Purdy Creek | George Adams Hatchery | $6(27.3 \%)$ | 6 |
|  | Ricks Pond (LLTK) | George Adams Hatchery | $3(13.6 \%)$ |  |
| Puget Sound-North | Friday Creek | Samish Hatchery | $4(18.2 \%)$ | 4 |
|  | Whitehorse Springs | Whitehorse Pond | $1(4.5 \%)$ |  |
| Puget Sound-South | Clear Creek | Clear Creek Hatchery | $2(9.1 \%)$ | 2 |
| Idaho-Snake River | Snake River Hills Canyon Dam | Oxbow Hatchery | $1(4.5 \%)$ |  |
|  |  | Grand Total |  | $\mathbf{2 2}$ |

Table 12-5. Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by charter and private (non-charter) boat anglers reporting their catch on voluntary trip reports (VTRs) during the Area 12 mark-selective Chinook fishery (February 1 - April 30, 2010), with estimates of legal-size and overall mark rates.

|  |  |  |  |  | gal |  | Mark | Rates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Da | E | AD | UM | AD | UM | Total | Overall | Legal |
| Private Boat VTR | 9 1-trip VTRs, 15 Angler Trips | 2 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 20 | 50.0\% | 25.0\% |
| Size/mark-status composition: |  | 0.100 | 0.300 | 0.400 | 0.200 |  |  |  |
| Variance: |  | 0.00474 | (0.01105) (0.01263) |  | (0.00842) |  |  |  |
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## APPENDICES

Appendix A-1. Size measures by sample date, for sites sampled during dockside creel surveys in the Area 8-1 mark-selective Chinook fishery from November 1, 2009 through April 30, 2010.

| SAMPLEDATE | WEEK | SITESIZE | LOCATION | SAMPLEDATE | WEEK | SITESIZE | LOCATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11/1/2009 | 44 | 0.3188 | Camano Is St Park Ramp | 1/30/2010 | 5 | 0.0615 | Oak Harbor Marina And Ramp |
| 11/1/2009 | 44 | 0.2242 | Oak Harbor Marina And Ramp | 1/31/2010 | 5 | 0.5538 | Camano Is St Park Ramp |
| 11/4/2009 | 45 | 0.3188 | Camano Is St Park Ramp | 1/31/2010 | 5 | 0.0615 | Oak Harbor Marina And Ramp |
| 11/4/2009 | 45 | 0.1131 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 2/1/2010 | 6 | 0.5538 | Camano Is St Park Ramp |
| 11/7/2009 | 45 | 0.3188 | Camano Is St Park Ramp | 2/1/2010 | 6 | 0.3077 | Maple Grove Ramp |
| 11/7/2009 | 45 | 0.2242 | Oak Harbor Marina And Ramp | 2/6/2010 | 6 | 0.5538 | Camano Is St Park Ramp |
| 11/8/2009 | 45 | 0.3188 | Camano Is St Park Ramp | 2/6/2010 | 6 | 0.0615 | Oak Harbor Marina And Ramp |
| 11/8/2009 | 45 | 0.2662 | Maple Grove Ramp | 2/7/2010 | 6 | 0.5538 | Camano Is St Park Ramp |
| 11/9/2009 | 46 | 0.3188 | Camano Is St Park Ramp | 2/7/2010 | 6 | 0.0909 | Utsalady Ramp |
| 11/9/2009 | 46 | 0.1131 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 2/10/2010 | 7 | 0.5538 | Camano Is St Park Ramp |
| 11/14/2009 | 46 | 0.3188 | Camano Is St Park Ramp | 2/10/2010 | 7 | 0.3077 | Maple Grove Ramp |
| 11/14/2009 | 46 | 0.2242 | Oak Harbor Marina And Ramp | 2/13/2010 | 7 | 0.5538 | Camano Is St Park Ramp |
| 11/15/2009 | 46 | 0.3188 | Camano Is St Park Ramp | 2/13/2010 | 7 | 0.0615 | Oak Harbor Marina And Ramp |
| 11/15/2009 | 46 | 0.2662 | Maple Grove Ramp | 2/14/2010 | 7 | 0.5538 | Camano Is St Park Ramp |
| 11/19/2009 | 47 | 0.3188 | Camano Is St Park Ramp | 2/14/2010 | 7 | 0.3077 | Maple Grove Ramp |
| 11/19/2009 | 47 | 0.2662 | Maple Grove Ramp | 2/18/2010 | 8 | 0.5538 | Camano Is St Park Ramp |
| 11/20/2009 | 47 | 0.3188 | Camano Is St Park Ramp | 2/18/2010 | 8 | 0.3077 | Maple Grove Ramp |
| 11/20/2009 | 47 | 0.0319 | Utsalady Ramp | 2/20/2010 | 8 | 0.4149 | Camano Is St Park Ramp |
| 11/21/2009 | 47 | 0.3188 | Camano Is St Park Ramp | 2/20/2010 | 8 | 0.092 | Oak Harbor Marina And Ramp |
| 11/21/2009 | 47 | 0.2242 | Oak Harbor Marina And Ramp | 2/21/2010 | 8 | 0.4149 | Camano Is St Park Ramp |
| 11/24/2009 | 48 | 0.3188 | Camano Is St Park Ramp | 2/21/2010 | 8 | 0.1105 | Everett (Norton) Ramp |
| 11/24/2009 | 48 | 0.1131 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 2/22/2010 | 9 | 0.4149 | Camano Is St Park Ramp |
| 11/28/2009 | 48 | 0.3188 | Camano Is St Park Ramp | 2/22/2010 | 9 | 0.182 | Maple Grove Ramp |
| 11/28/2009 | 48 | 0.2242 | Oak Harbor Marina And Ramp | 2/27/2010 | 9 | 0.4149 | Camano Is St Park Ramp |
| 11/29/2009 | 48 | 0.3188 | Camano Is St Park Ramp | 2/27/2010 | 9 | 0.092 | Oak Harbor Marina And Ramp |
| 11/29/2009 | 48 | 0.2662 | Maple Grove Ramp | 2/28/2010 | 9 | 0.4149 | Camano Is St Park Ramp |
| 12/1/2009 | 49 | 0.1524 | Camano Is St Park Ramp | 2/28/2010 | 9 | 0.182 | Maple Grove Ramp |
| 12/1/2009 | 49 | 0.1827 | Maple Grove Ramp | 3/2/2010 | 10 | 0.4237 | Camano Is St Park Ramp |
| 12/5/2009 | 49 | 0.1524 | Camano Is St Park Ramp | 3/2/2010 | 10 | 0.1629 | Everett (Norton) Ramp |
| 12/5/2009 | 49 | 0.2447 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 3/5/2010 | 10 | 0.4237 | Camano Is St Park Ramp |
| 12/6/2009 | 49 | 0.1524 | Camano Is St Park Ramp | 3/5/2010 | 10 | 0.0124 | Utsalady Ramp |
| 12/6/2009 | 49 | 0.2722 | Oak Harbor Marina And Ramp | 3/7/2010 | 10 | 0.4237 | Camano Is St Park Ramp |


| SAMPLEDATE | WEEK | SITESIZE | LOCATION | SAMPLEDATE | WEEK | SITESIZE | LOCATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/10/2009 | 50 | 0.1524 | Camano Is St Park Ramp | 3/7/2010 | 10 | 0.092 | Oak Harbor Marina And Ramp |
| 12/10/2009 | 50 | 0.2722 | Oak Harbor Marina And Ramp | 3/8/2010 | 11 | 0.4237 | Camano Is St Park Ramp |
| 12/12/2009 | 50 | 0.1524 | Camano Is St Park Ramp | 3/8/2010 | 11 | 0.1629 | Everett (Norton) Ramp |
| 12/12/2009 | 50 | 0.2447 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 3/13/2010 | 11 | 0.4237 | Camano Is St Park Ramp |
| 12/13/2009 | 50 | 0.1524 | Camano Is St Park Ramp | 3/13/2010 | 11 | 0.092 | Oak Harbor Marina And Ramp |
| 12/13/2009 | 50 | 0.2722 | Oak Harbor Marina And Ramp | 3/14/2010 | 11 | 0.4237 | Camano Is St Park Ramp |
| 12/17/2009 | 51 | 0.1524 | Camano Is St Park Ramp | 3/14/2010 | 11 | 0.272 | Maple Grove Ramp |
| 12/17/2009 | 51 | 0.1827 | Maple Grove Ramp | 3/16/2010 | 12 | 0.4237 | Camano Is St Park Ramp |
| 12/18/2009 | 51 | 0.1524 | Camano Is St Park Ramp | 3/16/2010 | 12 | 0.092 | Oak Harbor Marina And Ramp |
| 12/18/2009 | 51 | 0.2447 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 3/19/2010 | 12 | 0.4237 | Camano Is St Park Ramp |
| 12/19/2009 | 51 | 0.1524 | Camano Is St Park Ramp | 3/19/2010 | 12 | 0.1629 | Everett (Norton) Ramp |
| 12/19/2009 | 51 | 0.2722 | Oak Harbor Marina And Ramp | 3/21/2010 | 12 | 0.4237 | Camano Is St Park Ramp |
| 12/21/2009 | 52 | 0.1524 | Camano Is St Park Ramp | 3/21/2010 | 12 | 0.272 | Maple Grove Ramp |
| 12/21/2009 | 52 | 0.2447 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 3/22/2010 | 13 | 0.4237 | Camano Is St Park Ramp |
| 12/26/2009 | 52 | 0.1524 | Camano Is St Park Ramp | 3/22/2010 | 13 | 0.1629 | Everett (Norton) Ramp |
| 12/26/2009 | 52 | 0.2722 | Oak Harbor Marina And Ramp | 3/27/2010 | 13 | 0.4237 | Camano Is St Park Ramp |
| 12/27/2009 | 52 | 0.1524 | Camano Is St Park Ramp | 3/27/2010 | 13 | 0.092 | Oak Harbor Marina And Ramp |
| 12/27/2009 | 52 | 0.1031 | Coupeville Public Ramp | 3/28/2010 | 14 | 0.4237 | Camano Is St Park Ramp |
| 12/29/2009 | 53 | 0.3518 | Camano Is St Park Ramp | 3/28/2010 | 14 | 0.272 | Maple Grove Ramp |
| 12/29/2009 | 53 | 0.1761 | Oak Harbor Marina And Ramp | 4/1/2010 | 14 | 0.4237 | Camano Is St Park Ramp |
| 1/2/2010 | 1 | 0.3518 | Camano Is St Park Ramp | 4/1/2010 | 14 | 0.272 | Maple Grove Ramp |
| 1/2/2010 | 1 | 0.1761 | Oak Harbor Marina And Ramp | 4/2/2010 | 14 | 0.4237 | Camano Is St Park Ramp |
| 1/3/2010 | 1 | 0.3518 | Camano Is St Park Ramp | 4/2/2010 | 14 | 0.092 | Oak Harbor Marina And Ramp |
| 1/3/2010 | 1 | 0.0883 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 4/4/2010 | 14 | 0.4237 | Camano Is St Park Ramp |
| 1/6/2010 | 2 | 0.3518 | Camano Is St Park Ramp | 4/4/2010 | 14 | 0.1629 | Everett (Norton) Ramp |
| 1/6/2010 | 2 | 0.23 | Maple Grove Ramp | 4/8/2010 | 15 | 0.4237 | Camano Is St Park Ramp |
| 1/9/2010 | 2 | 0.3518 | Camano Is St Park Ramp | 4/8/2010 | 15 | 0.092 | Oak Harbor Marina And Ramp |
| 1/9/2010 | 2 | 0.0883 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 4/9/2010 | 15 | 0.4237 | Camano Is St Park Ramp |
| 1/10/2010 | 2 | 0.3518 | Camano Is St Park Ramp | 4/9/2010 | 15 | 0.272 | Maple Grove Ramp |
| 1/10/2010 | 2 | 0.1761 | Oak Harbor Marina And Ramp | 4/11/2010 | 15 | 0.4237 | Camano Is St Park Ramp |
| 1/13/2010 | 3 | 0.3518 | Camano Is St Park Ramp | 4/11/2010 | 15 | 0.092 | Oak Harbor Marina And Ramp |
| 1/13/2010 | 3 | 0.23 | Maple Grove Ramp | 4/13/2010 | 16 | 0.4237 | Camano Is St Park Ramp |
| 1/16/2010 | 3 | 0.3518 | Camano Is St Park Ramp | 4/13/2010 | 16 | 0.092 | Oak Harbor Marina And Ramp |
| 1/16/2010 | 3 | 0.0883 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 4/16/2010 | 16 | 0.4237 | Camano Is St Park Ramp |


| SAMPLEDATE | WEEK | SITESIZE | LOCATION | SAMPLEDATE | WEEK | SITESIZE | LOCATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $1 / 17 / 2010$ | 3 | 0.3518 | Camano Is St Park Ramp | $4 / 16 / 2010$ | 16 | 0.1629 | Everett (Norton) Ramp |
| $1 / 17 / 2010$ | 3 | 0.1761 | Oak Harbor Marina And <br> Ramp | $4 / 17 / 2010$ | 16 | 0.4237 | Camano Is St Park Ramp |
| $1 / 21 / 2010$ | 4 | 0.3518 | Camano Is St Park Ramp | $4 / 17 / 2010$ | 16 | 0.272 | Maple Grove Ramp |
| $1 / 21 / 2010$ | 4 | 0.0883 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | $4 / 19 / 2010$ | 17 | 0.4237 | Camano Is St Park Ramp |
| $1 / 23 / 2010$ | 4 | 0.3518 | Camano Is St Park Ramp | $4 / 19 / 2010$ | 17 | 0.092 | Oak Harbor Marina And <br> Ramp |
| $1 / 23 / 2010$ | 4 | 0.1761 | Oak Harbor Marina And <br> Ramp | $4 / 24 / 2010$ | 17 | 0.4237 | Camano Is St Park Ramp |
| $1 / 24 / 2010$ | 4 | 0.3518 | Camano Is St Park Ramp | $4 / 24 / 2010$ | 17 | 0.272 | Maple Grove Ramp |
| $1 / 24 / 2010$ | 4 | 0.088 | Utsalady Ramp | $4 / 25 / 2010$ | 17 | 0.4237 | Camano Is St Park Ramp |
| $1 / 26 / 2010$ | 5 | 0.5538 | Camano Is St Park Ramp | $4 / 25 / 2010$ | 17 | 0.1629 | Everett (Norton) Ramp |
| $1 / 26 / 2010$ | 5 | 0.3077 | Maple Grove Ramp | $4 / 28 / 2010$ | 18 | 0.4237 | Camano Is St Park Ramp |
| $1 / 30 / 2010$ | 5 | 0.5538 | Camano Is St Park Ramp | $4 / 28 / 2010$ | 18 | 0.092 | Oak Harbor Marina And <br> Ramp |

Appendix A-2. Size measures by sample date, for sites sampled during dockside creel surveys in the Area 8-2 mark-selective Chinook fishery from November 1, 2009 through April 30, 2010.

| SAMPLEDATE | WEEK | SITESIZE | LOCATION | SAMPLEDATE | WEEK | SITESIZE | LOCATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11/1/2009 | 44 | 0.2343 | Camano Is St Park | 1/30/2010 | 5 | 0.3469 | Mukilteo Lighthouse Ramp |
| 11/1/2009 | 44 | 0.6459 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 1/31/2010 | 5 | 0.2245 | Camano Is St Park |
| 11/4/2009 | 45 | 0.0136 | Mukilteo Lighthouse Ramp | 1/31/2010 | 5 | 0.3469 | Mukilteo Lighthouse Ramp |
| 11/4/2009 | 45 | 0.6459 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 2/1/2010 | 6 | 0.2245 | Camano Is St Park |
| 11/7/2009 | 45 | 0.0711 | Dagmar's Landing (Forklift Launch) | 2/1/2010 | 6 | 0.3469 | Mukilteo Lighthouse Ramp |
| 11/7/2009 | 45 | 0.6459 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 2/6/2010 | 6 | 0.3469 | Mukilteo Lighthouse Ramp |
| 11/8/2009 | 45 | 0.2343 | Camano Is St Park | 2/6/2010 | 6 | 0.0816 | Marysville Public Ramp |
| 11/8/2009 | 45 | 0.6459 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 2/7/2010 | 6 | 0.2245 | Camano Is St Park |
| 11/9/2009 | 46 | 0.2343 | Camano Is St Park | 2/7/2010 | 6 | 0.3469 | Mukilteo Lighthouse Ramp |
| 11/9/2009 | 46 | 0.6459 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 2/10/2010 | 7 | 0.2245 | Camano Is St Park |
| 11/14/2009 | 46 | 0.2343 | Camano Is St Park | 2/10/2010 | 7 | 0.3469 | Mukilteo Lighthouse Ramp |
| 11/14/2009 | 46 | 0.6459 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 2/13/2010 | 7 | 0.3469 | Mukilteo Lighthouse Ramp |
| 11/15/2009 | 46 | 0.6459 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 2/13/2010 | 7 | 0.0816 | Marysville Public Ramp |
| 11/15/2009 | 46 | 0.0267 | Bayside Marina Drystack | 2/14/2010 | 7 | 0.1633 | Kayak St Pk Ramp |
| 11/19/2009 | 47 | 0.2343 | Camano Is St Park | 2/14/2010 | 7 | 0.3469 | Mukilteo Lighthouse Ramp |
| 11/19/2009 | 47 | 0.6459 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 2/18/2010 | 8 | 0.2245 | Camano Is St Park |
| 11/20/2009 | 47 | 0.0711 | Dagmar's Landing (Forklift Launch) | 2/18/2010 | 8 | 0.3469 | Mukilteo Lighthouse Ramp |
| 11/20/2009 | 47 | 0.6459 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 2/20/2010 | 8 | 0.0709 | Dagmar's Landing (Forklift Launch) |
| 11/21/2009 | 47 | 0.2343 | Camano Is St Park | 2/20/2010 | 8 | 0.6557 | Everett (Norton) Ramp |
| 11/21/2009 | 47 | 0.6459 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 2/21/2010 | 8 | 0.1697 | Camano Is St Park |
| 11/24/2009 | 48 | 0.2343 | Camano Is St Park | 2/21/2010 | 8 | 0.6557 | Everett (Norton) Ramp |
| 11/24/2009 | 48 | 0.6459 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 2/22/2010 | 9 | 0.1697 | Camano Is St Park |
| 11/28/2009 | 48 | 0.0136 | Mukilteo Lighthouse Ramp | 2/22/2010 | 9 | 0.6557 | Everett (Norton) Ramp |
| 11/28/2009 | 48 | 0.6459 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 2/27/2010 | 9 | 0.1697 | Camano Is St Park |
| 11/29/2009 | 48 | 0.2343 | Camano Is St Park | 2/27/2010 | 9 | 0.6557 | Everett (Norton) Ramp |
| 11/29/2009 | 48 | 0.6459 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 2/28/2010 | 9 | 0.0709 | Dagmar's Landing (Forklift Launch) |
| 12/1/2009 | 49 | 0.1696 | Camano Is St Park | 2/28/2010 | 9 | 0.6557 | Everett (Norton) Ramp |
| 12/1/2009 | 49 | 0.6604 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 3/2/2010 | 10 | 0.2352 | Camano Is St Park |
| 12/5/2009 | 49 | 0.1696 | Camano Is St Park | 3/2/2010 | 10 | 0.6123 | Everett (Norton) Ramp |
| 12/5/2009 | 49 | 0.6604 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 3/5/2010 | 10 | 0.6123 | Everett (Norton) Ramp |
| 12/6/2009 | 49 | 0.1458 | Dagmar's Landing (Forklift Launch) | 3/5/2010 | 10 | 0.046 | Bayside Marina Drystack |
| 12/6/2009 | 49 | 0.6604 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 3/7/2010 | 10 | 0.2352 | Camano Is St Park |
| 12/10/2009 | 50 | 0.1696 | Camano Is St Park | 3/7/2010 | 10 | 0.6123 | Everett (Norton) Ramp |
| 12/10/2009 | 50 | 0.6604 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 3/8/2010 | 11 | 0.2352 | Camano Is St Park |

Revised Draft, 3/31/11

| SAMPLEDATE | WEEK | SITESIZE | LOCATION | SAMPLEDATE | WEEK | SITESIZE | LOCATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/12/2009 | 50 | 0.1696 | Camano Is St Park | 3/8/2010 | 11 | 0.6123 | Everett (Norton) Ramp |
| 12/12/2009 | 50 | 0.6604 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 3/13/2010 | 11 | 0.0606 | Dagmar's Landing (Forklift Launch) |
| 12/13/2009 | 50 | 0.1458 | Dagmar's Landing (Forklift Launch) | 3/13/2010 | 11 | 0.6123 | Everett (Norton) Ramp |
| 12/13/2009 | 50 | 0.6604 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 3/14/2010 | 11 | 0.2352 | Camano Is St Park |
| 12/17/2009 | 51 | 0.1696 | Camano Is St Park | 3/14/2010 | 11 | 0.6123 | Everett (Norton) Ramp |
| 12/17/2009 | 51 | 0.6604 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 3/16/2010 | 12 | 0.6123 | Everett (Norton) Ramp |
| 12/18/2009 | 51 | 0.1696 | Camano Is St Park | 3/16/2010 | 12 | 0.0106 | Edmonds Marina Dry Storage |
| 12/18/2009 | 51 | 0.6604 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 3/19/2010 | 12 | 0.2352 | Camano Is St Park |
| 12/19/2009 | 51 | 0.6604 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 3/19/2010 | 12 | 0.6123 | Everett (Norton) Ramp |
| 12/19/2009 | 51 | 0.0267 | Bayside Marina Drystack | 3/21/2010 | 12 | 0.2352 | Camano Is St Park |
| 12/21/2009 | 52 | 0.1696 | Camano Is St Park | 3/21/2010 | 12 | 0.6123 | Everett (Norton) Ramp |
| 12/21/2009 | 52 | 0.6604 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 3/22/2010 | 13 | 0.2352 | Camano Is St Park |
| 12/26/2009 | 52 | 0.1696 | Camano Is St Park | 3/22/2010 | 13 | 0.6123 | Everett (Norton) Ramp |
| 12/26/2009 | 52 | 0.6604 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 3/27/2010 | 13 | 0.2352 | Camano Is St Park |
| 12/27/2009 | 52 | 0.1458 | Dagmar's Landing (Forklift Launch) | 3/27/2010 | 13 | 0.6123 | Everett (Norton) Ramp |
| 12/27/2009 | 52 | 0.6604 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 3/28/2010 | 13 | 0.0228 | Mukilteo Lighthouse Ramp |
| 12/29/2009 | 53 | 0.2424 | Camano Is St Park | 3/28/2010 | 13 | 0.6123 | Everett (Norton) Ramp |
| 12/29/2009 | 53 | 0.6418 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 4/1/2010 | 14 | 0.0606 | Dagmar's Landing (Forklift Launch) |
| 1/2/2010 | 1 | 0.6418 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 4/1/2010 | 14 | 0.6123 | Everett (Norton) Ramp |
| 1/2/2010 | 1 | 0.0317 | Bayside Marina Drystack | 4/2/2010 | 14 | 0.2352 | Camano Is St Park |
| 1/3/2010 | 1 | 0.2424 | Camano Is St Park | 4/2/2010 | 14 | 0.6123 | Everett (Norton) Ramp |
| 1/3/2010 | 1 | 0.6418 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 4/4/2010 | 14 | 0.2352 | Camano Is St Park |
| 1/6/2010 | 2 | 0.6418 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 4/4/2010 | 14 | 0.6123 | Everett (Norton) Ramp |
| 1/6/2010 | 2 | 0.011 | Edmonds Marina Dry Storage | 4/8/2010 | 15 | 0.0606 | Dagmar's Landing (Forklift Launch) |
| 1/9/2010 | 2 | 0.2424 | Camano Is St Park | 4/8/2010 | 15 | 0.6123 | Everett (Norton) Ramp |
| 1/9/2010 | 2 | 0.6418 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 4/9/2010 | 15 | 0.2352 | Camano Is St Park |
| 1/10/2010 | 2 | 0.2424 | Camano Is St Park | 4/9/2010 | 15 | 0.6123 | Everett (Norton) Ramp |
| 1/10/2010 | 2 | 0.6418 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 4/11/2010 | 15 | 0.2352 | Camano Is St Park |
| 1/13/2010 | 3 | 0.2424 | Camano Is St Park | 4/11/2010 | 15 | 0.6123 | Everett (Norton) Ramp |
| 1/13/2010 | 3 | 0.6418 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 4/13/2010 | 16 | 0.2352 | Camano Is St Park |
| 1/16/2010 | 3 | 0.059 | Dagmar's Landing (Forklift Launch) | 4/13/2010 | 16 | 0.6123 | Everett (Norton) Ramp |
| 1/16/2010 | 3 | 0.642 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 4/16/2010 | 16 | 0.2352 | Camano Is St Park |
| 1/17/2010 | 3 | 0.242 | Camano Is St Park | 4/16/2010 | 16 | 0.6123 | Everett (Norton) Ramp |
| 1/17/2010 | 3 | 0.642 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 4/17/2010 | 16 | 0.6123 | Everett (Norton) Ramp |
| 1/21/2010 | 4 | 0.2424 | Camano Is St Park | 4/17/2010 | 16 | 0.046 | Bayside Marina Drystack |
| 1/21/2010 | 4 | 0.6418 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | 4/19/2010 | 17 | 0.2352 | Camano Is St Park |

Revised Draft, 3/31/11

| SAMPLEDATE | WEEK | SITESIZE | LOCATION | SAMPLEDATE | WEEK | SITESIZE | LOCATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $1 / 23 / 2010$ | 4 | 0.6418 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | $4 / 19 / 2010$ | 17 | 0.6123 | Everett (Norton) Ramp |
| $1 / 23 / 2010$ | 4 | 0.0317 | Bayside Marina Drystack | $4 / 24 / 2010$ | 17 | 0.0606 | Dagmar's Landing (Forklift <br> Launch) |
| $1 / 24 / 2010$ | 4 | 0.2424 | Camano Is St Park | $4 / 24 / 2010$ | 17 | 0.6123 | Everett (Norton) Ramp |
| $1 / 24 / 2010$ | 4 | 0.6418 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | $4 / 25 / 2010$ | 17 | 0.2352 | Camano Is St Park |
| $1 / 26 / 2010$ | 5 | 0.2424 | Camano Is St Park | $4 / 25 / 2010$ | 17 | 0.6123 | Everett (Norton) Ramp |
| $1 / 26 / 2010$ | 5 | 0.6418 | Everett (Norton) Ramp | $4 / 28 / 2010$ | 18 | 0.2352 | Camano Is St Park |
| $1 / 30 / 2010$ | 5 | 0.0612 | Dagmar's Landing (Forklift <br> Launch) | $4 / 28 / 2010$ | 18 | 0.6123 | Everett (Norton) Ramp |

Appendix A-3. Size measures by sample date, for sites sampled during dockside creel surveys in the Area 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery from October 1, 2009 through January 31, 2010.

| SAMPLEDATE | WEEK | SITESIZE | LOCATION | SAMPLEDATE | WEEK | SITESIZE | LOCATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/1/2009 | 40 | 0.283 | Armeni Public Ramp | 12/1/2009 | 49 | 0.277 | Armeni Public Ramp |
| 10/1/2009 | 40 | 0.434 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 12/1/2009 | 49 | 0.398 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 10/2/2009 | 40 | 0.434 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 12/5/2009 | 49 | 0.108 | Manchester Public Ramp |
| 10/2/2009 | 40 | 0.136 | Kingston Public Ramp | 12/5/2009 | 49 | 0.398 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 10/3/2009 | 40 | 0.283 | Armeni Public Ramp | 12/6/2009 | 49 | 0.78 | Armeni Public Ramp |
| 10/3/2009 | 40 | 0.434 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 12/6/2009 | 49 | 0.136 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 10/7/2009 | 41 | 0.283 | Armeni Public Ramp | 12/10/2009 | 50 | 0.398 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 10/7/2009 | 41 | 0.434 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 12/10/2009 | 50 | 0.102 | Edmonds Marina Dry Stack |
| 10/9/2009 | 41 | 0.048 | Manchester Public Ramp | 12/12/2009 | 50 | 0.398 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 10/9/2009 | 41 | 0.434 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 12/12/2009 | 50 | 0.115 | Kingston Public Ramp |
| 10/10/2009 | 41 | 0.283 | Armeni Public Ramp | 12/13/2009 | 50 | 0.78 | Armeni Public Ramp |
| 10/10/2009 | 41 | 0.434 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 12/13/2009 | 50 | 0.136 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 10/13/2009 | 42 | 0.283 | Armeni Public Ramp | 12/17/2009 | 51 | 0.28 | Armeni Public Ramp |
| 10/13/2009 | 42 | 0.434 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 12/17/2009 | 51 | 0.39 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 10/16/2009 | 42 | 0.283 | Armeni Public Ramp | 12/18/2009 | 51 | 0.15 | Manchester Public Ramp |
| 10/16/2009 | 42 | 0.434 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 12/18/2009 | 51 | 0.39 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 10/18/2009 | 42 | 0.434 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 12/19/2009 | 51 | 0.28 | Armeni Public Ramp |
| 10/18/2009 | 42 | 0.136 | Kingston Public Ramp | 12/19/2009 | 51 | 0.39 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 10/19/2009 | 43 | 0.283 | Armeni Public Ramp | 12/21/2009 | 52 | 0.39 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 10/19/2009 | 43 | 0.434 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 12/21/2009 | 52 | 0.08 | Edmonds Marina Dry Stack |
| 10/24/2009 | 43 | 0.434 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 12/26/2009 | 52 | 0.39 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 10/24/2009 | 43 | 0.136 | Kingston Public Ramp | 12/26/2009 | 52 | 0.1 | Kingston Public Ramp |
| 10/25/2009 | 43 | 0.78 | Armeni Public Ramp | 12/27/2009 | 52 | 0.638 | Armeni Public Ramp |
| 10/25/2009 | 43 | 0.136 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 12/27/2009 | 52 | 0.155 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 10/27/2009 | 44 | 0.283 | Armeni Public Ramp | 12/29/2009 | 53 | 0.216 | Armeni Public Ramp |
| 10/27/2009 | 44 | 0.434 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 12/29/2009 | 53 | 0.333 | Shilshole Public Ramp |


| SAMPLEDATE | WEEK | SITESIZE | LOCATION | SAMPLEDATE | WEEK | SITESIZE | LOCATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/30/2009 | 44 | 0.048 | Manchester Public Ramp | 1/2/2010 | 1 | 0.226 | Manchester Public Ramp |
| 10/30/2009 | 44 | 0.434 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 1/2/2010 | 1 | 0.333 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 11/1/2009 | 44 | 0.78 | Armeni Public Ramp | 1/3/2010 | 1 | 0.226 | Armeni Public Ramp |
| 11/1/2009 | 44 | 0.136 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 1/3/2010 | 1 | 0.333 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 11/4/2009 | 45 | 0.434 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 1/6/2010 | 2 | 0.333 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 11/4/2009 | 45 | 0.1 | Edmonds Marina Dry Stack | 1/6/2010 | 2 | 0.098 | Edmonds Marina Dry Stack |
| 11/7/2009 | 45 | 0.283 | Armeni Public Ramp | 1/9/2010 | 2 | 0.216 | Armeni Public Ramp |
| 11/7/2009 | 45 | 0.434 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 1/9/2010 | 2 | 0.333 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 11/8/2009 | 45 | 0.78 | Armeni Public Ramp | 1/10/2010 | 2 | 0.333 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 11/8/2009 | 45 | 0.136 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 1/10/2010 | 2 | 0.128 | Kingston Public Ramp |
| 11/9/2009 | 46 | 0.434 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 1/13/2010 | 3 | 0.17 | Armeni Public Ramp |
| 11/9/2009 | 46 | 0.136 | Kingston Public Ramp | 1/13/2010 | 3 | 0.321 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 11/14/2009 | 46 | 0.434 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 1/16/2010 | 3 | 0.245 | Manchester Public Ramp |
| 11/14/2009 | 46 | 0.1 | Edmonds Marina Dry Stack | 1/16/2010 | 3 | 0.321 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 11/15/2009 | 46 | 0.78 | Armeni Public Ramp | 1/17/2010 | 3 | 0.321 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 11/15/2009 | 46 | 0.136 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 1/17/2010 | 3 | 0.123 | Edmonds Marina Dry Stack |
| 11/19/2009 | 47 | 0.225 | Armeni Public Ramp | 1/21/2010 | 4 | 0.17 | Armeni Public Ramp |
| 11/19/2009 | 47 | 0.408 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 1/21/2010 | 4 | 0.321 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 11/20/2009 | 47 | 0.092 | Manchester Public Ramp | 1/23/2010 | 4 | 0.321 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 11/20/2009 | 47 | 0.408 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 1/23/2010 | 4 | 0.142 | Kingston Public Ramp |
| 11/21/2009 | 47 | 0.408 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 1/24/2010 | 4 | 0.245 | Manchester Public Ramp |
| 11/21/2009 | 47 | 0.142 | Kingston Public Ramp | 1/24/2010 | 4 | 0.321 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 11/24/2009 | 48 | 0.408 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 1/26/2010 | 5 | 0.137 | Armeni Public Ramp |
| 11/24/2009 | 48 | 0.133 | Edmonds Marina Dry Stack | 1/26/2010 | 5 | 0.291 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 11/28/2009 | 48 | 0.092 | Manchester Public Ramp | 1/30/2010 | 5 | 0.291 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 11/28/2009 | 48 | 0.408 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 1/30/2010 | 5 | 0.137 | Kingston Public Ramp |
| 11/29/2009 | 48 | 0.78 | Armeni Public Ramp | 1/31/2010 | 5 | 0.137 | Armeni Public Ramp |
| 11/29/2009 | 48 | 0.136 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 1/31/2010 | 5 | 0.291 | Shilshole Public Ramp |

Appendix A-4. Size measures by sample date, for sites sampled during dockside creel surveys in the Area 11 mark-selective Chinook fishery from February 1, 2010 through April 30, 2010.

| SAMPLEDATE | WEEK | SITESIZE | LOCATIONCODE | SAMPLEDATE | WEEK | SITESIZE | LOCATIONCODE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2/1/2010 | 6 | 0.194 | Point Defiance Boat House | 3/16/2010 | 12 | 0.6349 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 2/1/2010 | 6 | 0.7015 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 3/19/2010 | 12 | 0.0794 | Gig Harbor Ramp |
| 2/6/2010 | 6 | 0.194 | Point Defiance Boat House | 3/19/2010 | 12 | 0.6349 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 2/6/2010 | 6 | 0.7015 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 3/21/2010 | 12 | 0.2222 | Point Defiance Boat House |
| 2/7/2010 | 6 | 0.194 | Point Defiance Boat House | 3/21/2010 | 12 | 0.6349 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 2/7/2010 | 6 | 0.7015 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 3/22/2010 | 13 | 0.2222 | Point Defiance Boat House |
| 2/10/2010 | 7 | 0.194 | Point Defiance Boat House | 3/22/2010 | 13 | 0.6349 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 2/10/2010 | 7 | 0.7015 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 3/27/2010 | 13 | 0.0794 | Gig Harbor Ramp |
| 2/13/2010 | 7 | 0.194 | Point Defiance Boat House | 3/27/2010 | 13 | 0.6349 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 2/13/2010 | 7 | 0.7015 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 3/28/2010 | 13 | 0.2222 | Point Defiance Boat House |
| 2/14/2010 | 7 | 0.194 | Point Defiance Boat House | 3/28/2010 | 13 | 0.6349 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 2/14/2010 | 7 | 0.7015 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 4/1/2010 | 14 | 0.0794 | Gig Harbor Ramp |
| 2/18/2010 | 8 | 0.194 | Point Defiance Boat House | 4/1/2010 | 14 | 0.6349 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 2/18/2010 | 8 | 0.7015 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 4/2/2010 | 14 | 0.2222 | Point Defiance Boat House |
| 2/20/2010 | 8 | 0.194 | Point Defiance Boat House | 4/2/2010 | 14 | 0.6349 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 2/20/2010 | 8 | 0.7015 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 4/4/2010 | 14 | 0.2222 | Point Defiance Boat House |
| 2/21/2010 | 8 | 0.194 | Point Defiance Boat House | 4/4/2010 | 14 | 0.6349 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 2/21/2010 | 8 | 0.7015 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 4/8/2010 | 15 | 0.2439 | Point Defiance Boat House |
| 2/22/2010 | 9 | 0.194 | Point Defiance Boat House | 4/8/2010 | 15 | 0.561 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 2/22/2010 | 9 | 0.7015 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 4/9/2010 | 15 | 0.0976 | Gig Harbor Ramp |
| 2/27/2010 | 9 | 0.194 | Point Defiance Boat House | 4/9/2010 | 15 | 0.561 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 2/27/2010 | 9 | 0.7015 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 4/11/2010 | 15 | 0.2439 | Point Defiance Boat House |
| 2/28/2010 | 9 | 0.194 | Point Defiance Boat House | 4/11/2010 | 15 | 0.561 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 2/28/2010 | 9 | 0.7015 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 4/13/2010 | 16 | 0.2439 | Point Defiance Boat House |
| 3/2/2010 | 10 | 0.2222 | Point Defiance Boat House | 4/13/2010 | 16 | 0.561 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 3/2/2010 | 10 | 0.6349 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 4/16/2010 | 16 | 0.065 | Armeni Public Ramp |
| 3/6/2010 | 10 | 0.2222 | Point Defiance Boat House | 4/16/2010 | 16 | 0.561 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 3/6/2010 | 10 | 0.6349 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 4/17/2010 | 16 | 0.2439 | Point Defiance Boat House |
| 3/7/2010 | 10 | 0.2222 | Point Defiance Boat House | 4/17/2010 | 16 | 0.561 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 3/7/2010 | 10 | 0.6349 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 4/19/2010 | 17 | 0.25 | Point Defiance Boat House |
| 3/8/2010 | 11 | 0.2222 | Point Defiance Boat House | 4/19/2010 | 17 | 0.55 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 3/8/2010 | 11 | 0.6349 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 4/24/2010 | 17 | 0.25 | Point Defiance Boat House |
| 3/13/2010 | 11 | 0.0794 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 4/24/2010 | 17 | 0.55 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 3/13/2010 | 11 | 0.6349 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 4/25/2010 | 17 | 0.25 | Point Defiance Boat House |
| 3/14/2010 | 11 | 0.2222 | Point Defiance Boat House | 4/25/2010 | 17 | 0.55 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |


| SAMPLEDATE | WEEK | SITESIZE | LOCATIONCODE | SAMPLEDATE | WEEK | SITESIZE | LOCATIONCODE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3 / 14 / 2010$ | 11 | 0.6349 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | $4 / 28 / 2010$ | 18 | 0.25 | Point Defiance Boat House |
| $3 / 16 / 2010$ | 12 | 0.2222 | Point Defiance Boat House | $4 / 28 / 2010$ | 18 | 0.55 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |

Appendix B-1. Coded Wire Tag (CWT) recoveries in the Area 7 winter mark-selective Chinook fishery, December 1, 2009 - April 30, 2010.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release Agency | DIT Code | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { FKL } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{array}$ | Label | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 12/4/2009 | 210735 | 2006 | County Line Cr3.2363 |  | WDFW |  | 72 | 62060 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 3/27/2010 | 633486 | 2006 | Cascade R 03.1411 | Marblemount Hatchery | WDFW | 633487 | 76 | 42884 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 12/27/2009 | 633867 | 2006 | Cascade R 03.1411 | Marblemount Hatchery | WDFW |  | 76 | 62062 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 2/6/2010 | 633967 | 2006 | Green R 09.0001 |  | WDFW |  | 70 | 42938 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 2/27/2010 | 633966 | 2006 | Wallace R 07.0940 |  | WDFW |  | 71 | 42939 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 3/27/2010 | 210721 | 2006 | Crisp Cr 09.0113 | Keta Creek Hatchery | MUCK |  | 62 | 42888 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 4/16/2010 | 634271 | 2007 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchry | WDFW | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 634270, \\ & 634272 \end{aligned}$ | 64 | 62098 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 12/4/2009 | 210571 | 2005 | Tulalip Cr 07.0001 | Bernie Gobin Hatch | TULA |  | 76 | 42871 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 1/3/2010 | 634271 | 2007 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchry | WDFW | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 634270, \\ & 634272 \end{aligned}$ | 66 | 60256 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 1/21/2010 | 210790 | 2007 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | Grovers Cr Hatchery | SUQ |  | 59 | 42936 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 3/6/2010 | 633391 | 2006 | Clear Cr 11.0013 c | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ |  | 66 | 42880 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 1/8/2010 | 633389 | 2006 | Friday Cr 03.0017 | Samish Hatchery | WDFW | 633390 | 69 | 42913 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 1/31/2010 | 633889 | 2006 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 66 | 42915 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 2/4/2010 | 633867 | 2006 | Cascade R 03.1411 | Marblemount Hatchery | WDFW |  | 75 | 62071 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 2/5/2010 | 185357 | 2007 | R-Cowichan R | H-Cowichan R | CDFO |  | 62 | 42918 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 2/6/2010 | 210741 | 2007 | Whitehorse Springs | Whitehorse Pond | STIL |  | 64 | 42919 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 2/6/2010 | 185357 | 2007 | R-Cowichan R | H-Cowichan R | CDFO |  | 65 | 42921 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 3/22/2010 | 634080 | 2006 | East Sound Bay (San) | Glenwood Springs | WDFW |  | 78 | 42895 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 3/27/2010 | 186242 | 2007 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack R | CDFO | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 186240, \\ & 186241 \end{aligned}$ | 64 | 42883 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 3/27/2010 | 210777 | 2007 | Tulalip Cr 07.0001 | Bernie Gobin Hatch | TULA |  | 59 | 42886 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 3/27/2010 | 634284 | 2007 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 57 | 42889 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 3/27/2010 | 633869 | 2007 | Cascade R 03.1411 | Marblemount Hatchery | WDFW |  | 52 | 42890 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 3/27/2010 | 185359 | 2007 | R-Cowichan R | H-Cowichan R | CDFO |  | 70 | 42891 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 3/27/2010 | 633887 | 2006 | Wallace R 07.0940 | Wallace R Hatchery | WDFW | 633888 | 71 | 42892 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 3/27/2010 | 633381 | 2005 | Wallace R 07.0940 | Wallace R Hatchery | WDFW | 633380 | 82 | 42893 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 3/27/2010 | 186219 | 2007 | R-Cowichan R | H-Cowichan R | CDFO |  | 72 | 42894 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 3/27/2010 | 634271 | 2007 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchry | WDFW | $\begin{aligned} & 634270, \\ & 634272 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 56 | 42896 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 3/28/2010 | 210720 | 2006 | Elliott Bay Tribal Np | Keta Creek Hatchery | MUCK |  | 65 | 42898 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 3/28/2010 | 185358 | 2007 | R-Cowichan R | H-Cowichan R | CDFO |  | 69 | 42899 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 4/18/2010 | 633486 | 2006 | Cascade R 03.1411 | Marblemount Hatchery | WDFW | 633487 | 78 | 42867 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 1/6/2010 | 633967 | 2006 | Green R 09.0001 |  | WDFW |  | 70 | 42934 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 1/9/2010 | 634272 | 2007 | Friday $\mathrm{Cr} \quad 03.0017$ | Samish Hatchery | WDFW | $\begin{aligned} & 634270, \\ & 634271 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 56 | 42935 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 1/23/2010 | 633966 | 2006 | Wallace R 07.0940 |  | WDFW |  | 59 | 42937 | AD Fin Clp |

Revised Draft, 3/31/11

| Area | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Recovery } \\ & \text { Date } \end{aligned}$ | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release Agency | DIT Code | FKL <br> (cm) | Label | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 3/14/2010 | 633387 | 2006 | Nooksack R -NF 01.0120 | Kendall Cr Hatchery | WDFW | 633888 | 84 | 62052 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 12/19/2009 | 633869 | 2007 | Cascade R 03.1411 | Marblemount Hatchery | WDFW |  | 57 | 60253 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 12/19/2009 | 634272 | 2007 | Friday Cr 03.0017 | Samish Hatchery | WDFW | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 634270, \\ & 634271 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 56 | 60254 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 12/26/2009 | 633875 | 2006 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchry | WDFW | 633876 | 71 | 42933 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 12/26/2009 | 634272 | 2007 | Friday Cr 03.0017 | Samish Hatchery | WDFW | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 634270, \\ & 634271 \end{aligned}$ | 59 | 60255 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 1/3/2010 | 210745 | 2006 | Baker R 03.0435 |  | WDFW |  | 73 | 42912 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 3/27/2010 | 633966 | 2006 | Wallace R 07.0940 |  | WDFW |  | 67 | 42897 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 4/11/2010 | 210777 | 2007 | Tulalip Cr 07.0001 | Bernie Gobin Hatch | TULA |  | 55 | 32731 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 12/4/2009 | 633968 | 2006 | Chambers Cr 12.0007 | Garrison Hatchery | WDFW |  | 71 | 32969 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 2/6/2010 | 633887 | 2006 | Wallace R 07.0940 | Wallace R Hatchery | WDFW | 633888 | 73 | 42920 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 1/3/2010 | 633364 | 2005 | Cascade R 03.1411 | Marblemount Hatchery | WDFW |  | 83 | 62069 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 1/16/2010 | 633966 | 2006 | Wallace R 07.0940 |  | WDFW |  | 67 | 62066 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 4/18/2010 | 210733 | 2006 | Whitehorse Springs | Whitehorse Pond | COOP |  | 91 | 62072 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 4/19/2010 | 634274 | 2007 | Nooksack R -NF 01.0120 | Kendall Cr Hatchery | WDFW | 634275 | 75 | 62074 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 12/5/2009 | 633375 | 2005 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 69 | 32970 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 4/23/2010 | 634274 | 2007 | Nooksack R -NF 01.0120 | Kendall Cr Hatchery | WDFW | 634275 | 69 | 62075 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 12/27/2009 | 633889 | 2006 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 68 | 62063 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 12/1/2009 | 633592 | 2005 | Wenatchee R 45.0030 | Dryden Pond | WDFW |  | 68 | 62059 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 2/7/2010 | 633468 | 2005 | Wallace R 07.0940 | Wallace R Hatchery | WDFW |  | 85 | 60257 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 2/14/2010 | 634283 | 2007 | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | WDFW |  | 53 | 42916 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 3/5/2010 | 634583 | 2007 | East Sound Bay (San) | Glenwood Springs | WDFW |  | 67 | 62050 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 12/11/2009 | 633968 | 2006 | Chambers Cr 12.0007 | Garrison Hatchery | WDFW |  | 62 | 62061 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 1/10/2010 | 633869 | 2007 | Cascade R 03.1411 | Marblemount Hatchery | WDFW |  | 55 | 62064 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 1/10/2010 | 633889 | 2006 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 70 | 62065 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 3/27/2010 | 633971 | 2006 | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | WDFW |  | 79 | 42887 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 2/5/2010 | 634184 | 2006 | Wenatchee R 45.0030 |  | WDFW |  | 63 | 42917 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 2/6/2010 | 210733 | 2006 | Whitehorse Springs | Whitehorse Pond | COOP |  | 74 | 62070 | AD Fin Clp |
| 7 | 4/18/2010 | 54274 | 2007 | Spring Cr 29.0159 | Spring Cr NFH | FWS | $\begin{gathered} 542750 \\ 542760 \\ 54200 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 76 | 62073 | AD Fin Clp |

Appendix B-2. Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the winter Areas 8-1\& 8-2 mark-selective Chinook fisheries, November 1, 2009 - April 30, 2010.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release Agency | DIT Code | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { FKL } \\ & (\mathrm{cm}) \end{aligned}$ | Label | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 81 | 11/7/2009 | 634271 | 2007 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchry | WDFW | 634270634272 | 66 | 54641 | AD Fin Clp |
| 81 | 2/21/2010 | 634271 | 2007 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchry | WDFW | 634270634272 | 59 | 42805 | AD Fin Clp |
| 81 | 4/17/2010 | 633967 | 2006 | Green R 09.0001 |  | WDFW |  | 82 | 42808 | AD Fin Clp |
| 81 | 4/25/2010 | 633488 | 2006 | Cascade R 03.1411 | Marblemount Hatchery | WDFW | $\begin{gathered} \hline 633489,633490, \\ 633000 \end{gathered}$ | 64 | 42809 | AD Fin Clp |
| 81 | 11/7/2009 | 210777 | 2007 | Tulalip Cr 07.0001 | Bernie Gobin Hatch | TULA |  | 57 | 42870 | AD Fin Clp |
| 81 | 11/14/2009 | 633966 | 2006 | Wallace R 07.0940 |  | WDFW |  | 58 | 58213 | AD Fin Clp |
| 81 | 12/26/2009 | 633966 | 2006 | Wallace R 07.0940 |  | WDFW |  | 69 | 32972 | AD Fin Clp |
| 81 | 12/26/2009 | 633966 | 2006 | Wallace R 07.0940 |  | WDFW |  | 69 | 32971 | AD Fin Clp |
| 81 | 3/20/2010 | 633966 | 2006 | Wallace R 07.0940 |  | WDFW |  | 80 | 54728 | AD Fin Clp |
| 82 | 11/22/2009 | 633967 | 2006 | Green R 09.0001 |  | WDFW |  | 66 | 58255 | AD Fin Clp |
| 82 | 11/1/2009 | 633875 | 2006 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchry | WDFW | 633876 | 64 | 58207 | AD Fin Clp |
| 82 | 11/1/2009 | 633867 | 2006 | Cascade R 03.1411 | Marblemount Hatchery | WDFW |  | 74 | 58208 | AD Fin Clp |
| 82 | 11/1/2009 | 633889 | 2006 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 66 | 58479 | AD Fin Clp |
| 82 | 11/14/2009 | 633966 | 2006 | Wallace R 07.0940 |  | WDFW |  | 70 | 54644 | AD Fin Clp |
| 82 | 3/6/2010 | 633967 | 2006 | Green R 09.0001 |  | WDFW |  | 56 | 58220 | AD Fin Clp |
| 82 | 11/29/2009 | 633887 | 2006 | Wallace R 07.0940 | Wallace R Hatchery | WDFW | 633888 | 69 | 58215 | AD Fin Clp |
| 82 | 12/19/2009 | 210790 | 2007 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | Grovers Cr Hatchery | SUQ |  | 54 | 42873 | AD Fin Clp |
| 82 | 12/19/2009 | 633486 | 2006 | Cascade R 03.1411 | Marblemount Hatchery | WDFW | 633487 | 62 | 54721 | AD Fin Clp |
| 82 | 12/27/2009 | 633967 | 2006 | Green R 09.0001 |  | WDFW |  | 70 | 32973 | AD Fin Clp |
| 82 | 11/14/2009 | 186242 | 2007 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack R | CDFO | 186240,186241 | 56 | 54645 | AD Fin Clp |
| 82 | 1/23/2010 | 633486 | 2006 | Cascade R 03.1411 | Marblemount Hatchery | WDFW | 633487 | 76 | 54724 | AD Fin Clp |
| 82 | 1/30/2010 | 633967 | 2006 | Green R 09.0001 |  | WDFW |  | 55 | 54725 | AD Fin Clp |
| 82 | 3/27/2010 | 210788 | 2007 | Clear Cr 11.0013c | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ | 634277 | 56 | 58219 | AD Fin Clp |
| 82 | 4/4/2010 | 210788 | 2007 | Clear Cr 11.0013c | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ | 634277 | 63 | 54729 | AD Fin Clp |
| 82 | 4/14/2010 | 633466 | 2007 | Chambers Cr 12.0007 | Garrison Hatchery | WDFW |  | 55 | 54730 | AD Fin Clp |
| 82 | 4/23/2010 | 634297 | 2007 | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | WDFW |  | 55 | 54731 | AD Fin Clp |
| 82 | 1/23/2010 | 633971 | 2006 | Finch $\mathrm{Cr} \quad 16.0222$ | Hoodsport Hatchery | WDFW |  | 69 | 58217 | AD Fin Clp |
| 82 | 2/28/2010 | 210777 | 2007 | Tulalip Cr 07.0001 | Bernie Gobin Hatch | TULA |  | 63 | 54727 | AD Fin Clp |
| 82 | 12/13/2009 | 210777 | 2007 | Tulalip Cr 07.0001 | Bernie Gobin Hatch | TULA |  | 56 | 54650 | AD Fin Clp |
| 82 | 12/19/2009 | 633968 | 2006 | Chambers Cr 12.0007 | Garrison Hatchery | WDFW |  | 69 | 42874 | AD Fin Clp |
| 82 | 12/19/2009 | 633867 | 2006 | Cascade R 03.1411 | Marblemount Hatchery | WDFW |  | 68 | 42875 | AD Fin Clp |
| 82 | 3/18/2010 | 210790 | 2007 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | Grovers Cr Hatchery | SUQ |  | 71 | 42807 | AD Fin Clp |
| 82 | 11/8/2009 | 633387 | 2006 | Nooksack R -Nf 01.0120 | Kendall Cr Hatchery | WDFW | 633388 | 70 | 58212 | AD Fin Clp |
| 82 | 11/28/2009 | 633579 | 2006 | Grovers Cr 15.0299 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | SUQ | 210737 | 65 | 54646 | AD Fin Clp |
| 82 | 12/12/2009 | 210788 | 2007 | Clear Cr 11.0013c | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ | 634277 | 55 | 54649 | AD Fin Clp |

Appendix B-3. Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the winter Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery, November 1-30, 2009 and January 16 - April 15, 2010.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release Agency | DIT Code | FKL <br> (cm) | Label | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 1/22/2010 | 633969 | 2006 | Chambers Cr 12.0007 | Lakewood Hatchery | WDFW |  | 69 | 54723 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 11/4/2009 | 633887 | 2006 | Wallace R 07.0940 | Wallace R Hatchery | WDFW | 633888 | 62 | 58326 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 11/8/2009 | 633975 | 2006 | Minter Cr 15.0048 | Hupp Springs Rearing | WDFW |  | 58 | 58302 | Unmarked |
| 9 | 11/8/2009 | 633967 | 2006 | Green R 09.0001 |  | WDFW |  | 60 | 58303 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 2/14/2010 | 633968 | 2006 | Chambers Cr 12.0007 | Garrison Hatchery | WDFW |  | 72 | 56769 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 11/8/2009 | 210744 | 2006 | Kalama Cr 11.0017 | Kalama Cr Hatchery | NISQ |  | 64 | 54642 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 11/4/2009 | 633968 | 2006 | Chambers Cr 12.0007 | Garrison Hatchery | WDFW |  | 69 | 50774 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 1/23/2010 | 634272 | 2007 | Friday Cr 03.0017 | Samish Hatchery | WDFW | $\begin{aligned} & 634270, \\ & 634271 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 50 | 49781 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 4/10/2010 | 634274 | 2007 | Nooksack R -NF 01.0120 | Kendall Cr Hatchery | WDFW | 634275 | 77 | 49512 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 3/27/2010 | 210790 | 2007 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | Grovers Cr Hatchery | SUQ |  | 58 | 56774 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 11/28/2009 | 633886 | 2006 | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | WDFW |  | 62 | 54647 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 2/20/2010 | 186240 | 2007 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack R | CDFO | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 186241, \\ & 186242 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 60 | 56770 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 2/21/2010 | 634274 | 2007 | Nooksack R -NF 01.0120 | Kendall Cr Hatchery | WDFW | 634275 | 63 | 56771 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 3/17/2010 | 210787 | 2007 | Whitehorse Springs | Whitehorse Pond | STIL |  | 69 | 58306 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 3/19/2010 | 633965 | 2006 | Ricks Pd (Lltk) | George Adams Hatchry | WDFW |  | 75 | 58218 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 11/1/2009 | 633869 | 2007 | Cascade R 03.1411 | Marblemount Hatchery | WDFW |  | 53 | 58084 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 11/29/2009 | 633966 | 2006 | Wallace R 07.0940 |  | WDFW |  | 59 | 54648 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 11/1/2009 | 633968 | 2006 | Chambers Cr 12.0007 | Garrison Hatchery | WDFW |  | 59 | 58203 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 11/1/2009 | 633867 | 2006 | Cascade R 03.1411 | Marblemount Hatchery | WDFW |  | 70 | 58204 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 11/1/2009 | 633882 | 2006 | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | WDFW | 633883 | 63 | 58205 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 11/1/2009 | 633887 | 2006 | Wallace R 07.0940 | Wallace R Hatchery | WDFW | 633888 | 57 | 58206 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 11/1/2009 | 633971 | 2006 | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | WDFW |  | 65 | 58209 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 11/1/2009 | 634274 | 2007 | Nooksack R -Nf 01.0120 | Kendall Cr Hatchery | WDFW | 634275 | 55 | 58480 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 11/14/2009 | 633487 | 2006 | Cascade R 03.1411 | Marblemount Hatchery | WDFW | 633486 | 71 | 54643 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 11/14/2009 | 210801 | 2007 | Kalama Cr 11.0017 | Kalama Cr Hatchery | NISQ |  | 52 | 58214 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 11/14/2009 | 633964 | 2006 | Chambers Cr 12.0007 | Garrison Hatchery | WDFW |  | 67 | 58304 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 1/31/2010 | 633966 | 2006 | Wallace R 07.0940 |  | WDFW |  | 73 | 54726 | AD Fin Clp |
| 9 | 1/17/2010 | 210790 | 2007 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | Grovers Cr Hatchery | SUQ |  | 60 | 58257 | AD Fin Clp |

Appendix B-4. Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the winter Area 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery, October 1, 2009 - January 31, 2010.

| Area | Recovery <br> Date | Tag Code | Brood <br> Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release <br> Agency | DIT Code | FKL <br> $(\mathbf{c m})$ | Label | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10 | $12 / 4 / 2009$ | 186241 | 2007 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack R | CDFO | 186240,186242, <br> 186000 | 57 | 58216 | Unmarked |
| 10 | $10 / 9 / 2009$ | 185558 | 2007 | R-Harrison R | H-Chehalis R | CDFO |  | 53 | 58200 | AD Fin Clp |
| 10 | $10 / 10 / 2009$ | 210720 | 2006 | Elliott Bay Tribal Np | Keta Creek Hatchery | MUCK |  |  | 64 | 58201 |
| 10 | $10 / 11 / 2009$ | 633875 | 2006 | Purdy Cr Fin Clp 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchry | WDFW | 633876 | 69 | 58254 | AD Fin Clp |
| 10 | $11 / 1 / 2009$ | 633966 | 2006 | Wallace R 07.0940 |  | WDFW |  | 61 | 58301 | AD Fin Clp |
| 10 | $12 / 29 / 2009$ | 210788 | 2007 | Clear Cr 11.0013c | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ | 634277 | 56 | 58305 | AD Fin Clp |
| 10 | $11 / 24 / 2009$ | 633885 | 2006 | Issaquah Cr 08.0178 | Issaquah Hatchery | WDFW |  |  | 73 | 58256 |
| 10 | $11 / 28 / 2009$ | 210787 | 2007 | Whitehorse Springs | Whitehorse Pond | STIL |  | 63 | 57350 | AD Fin Clp |
| 10 | $10 / 4 / 2009$ | 94646 | 2007 | Big Cr (Lwr Col R) | Big Cr (Lwr Col R) | ODFW | 94662 | 56 | 58197 | AD Fin Clp |
| 10 | $10 / 4 / 2009$ | 94646 | 2007 | Big Cr (Lwr Col R) | Big Cr Hatchery | ODFW | 94662 | 56 | 58197 | AD Fin Clp |
| 10 | $10 / 5 / 2009$ | 633889 | 2006 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 65 | 58324 | AD Fin Clp |

Appendix B-5. Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the winter Area 11 mark-selective Chinook fishery, February 1 - April 30, 2010.

| Area | Recovery <br> Date | Tag Code | Brood <br> Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release <br> Agency | DIT Code | FKL <br> (cm) | Label | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | $3 / 6 / 2010$ | 210790 | 2007 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | Grovers Cr Hatchery | SUQ |  | 69 | 62603 | AD Fin Clp |
| 11 | $2 / 21 / 2010$ | 210787 | 2007 | Whitehorse Springs | Whitehorse Pond | STIL |  | 57 | 58258 | AD Fin Clp |
| 11 | $2 / 1 / 2010$ | 210787 | 2007 | Whitehorse Springs | Whitehorse Pond | STIL |  | 52 | 51667 | AD Fin Clp |
| 11 | $2 / 1 / 2010$ | 633965 | 2006 | Ricks Pd (LLTK) | George Adams Hatchry | WDFW |  | 59 | 51683 | AD Fin Clp |
| 11 | $4 / 17 / 2010$ | 634271 | 2007 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchry | WDFW | 634270, <br> 634272 | 74 | 51700 | AD Fin Clp |
| 11 | $4 / 25 / 2010$ | 633466 | 2007 | Chambers Cr 12.0007 | Garrison Hatchery | WDFW |  | 68 | 54045 | AD Fin Clp |

Appendix B-6. Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the winter Area 12 mark-selective Chinook fishery, February 1 - April 30, 2010.



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The regulations specific to winter mark-selective fisheries in Puget Sound Marine Catch Areas allowed for the retention of up to two legal-sized ( $\geq 22$ inches [ 56 cm ]) marked Chinook salmon per day and required the immediate release of all unmarked or sublegal Chinook. Additionally, anglers were: $i$ ) required to use single-point, barbless hooks while fishing for salmon, $i i$ ) held to a combined (all salmon species) two-fish daily limit, and iii) held to a handling rule that prevented them from bringing unmarked and/or sublegal Chinook aboard their vessels.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Though the necessary tissue samples have been collected, DNA-based estimates of stock composition are presently unavailable for Puget Sound/Strait of Juan de Fuca mark-selective fisheries. In the present report, methods for producing CWT-based (unexpanded) estimates of the stock composition of marked Chinook harvest are provided.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1 /}$ Number of retained Chinook sampled includes all retained Chinook inspected for CWT's, from all sites sampled during the winter 2009-10 Areas 8-1 and 8-2 selective Chinook fishery. (i.e., the sample-frame sites included in the creel estimates and the fish sampled as part of baseline sampling in the Area).
    ${ }^{2 /}$ For the March sampling period (Mar 1-Mar 28) in Area 8-2, there were 2 additional salmon sampled (1 Ad and 1 UM), during 'baseline' sampling.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1 /}$ Number of retained Chinook sampled includes all retained Chinook inspected for CWT's, from all sites sampled during the winter 2009-10 Area 9 selective Chinook fishery (i.e., the sample-frame sites included in the creel estimates and the fish sampled as part of baseline sampling in the area).

