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## INTRODUCTION

In recent years, abundant runs of hatchery Chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) have been mixed with depressed runs of wild Chinook salmon in the marine environments of the Strait of Juan de Fuca and Puget Sound. Providing recreational anglers with opportunities to harvest abundant hatchery stocks while simultaneously protecting weaker, wild stocks has proven to be a significant conservation and management challenge. The combination of large-scale hatchery marking (i.e., fin clipping) programs and mark-selective harvest regulations makes it possible for anglers to pursue and harvest hatchery Chinook salmon while minimally impacting wild salmon populations. In such "mark-selective fisheries" (MSFs), anglers are generally allowed to retain adipose-fin clipped ("marked") hatchery fish and are required to release unharmed any unclipped ("unmarked", predominantly wild) salmon encountered ${ }^{1}$.

Since the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented the first marine mark-selective Chinook fishery in Marine Catch Areas 5 and 6 (Strait of Juan de Fuca) in 2003 based on state-tribal agreements (Thiesfeld and Hagen-Breaux 2005a, WDFW 2008a), markselective Chinook salmon fishing regulations have been implemented on a pilot basis in multiple Puget Sound Marine Catch Areas during both the summer and winter seasons. As of the close of the summer 2012 fishing season, pilot summer selective Chinook fisheries have occurred in Areas 5 and 6 for ten years (Thiesfeld and Hagen-Breaux 2005a, Thiesfeld and Hagen-Breaux 2005b, WDFW 2008a, WDFW 2009a, WDFW 2010g, WDFW 2011a, WDFW 2012c) and in Areas 9, 10, 11, and 13 for six years (WDFW 2007a and 2007b, WDFW 2009b and 2009c, WDFW 2010e and 2010f, WDFW 2011a, WDFW 2012c). For the first time, a summer selective Chinook fishery was conducted in Area 12 this year. Additionally, pilot winter selective Chinook fisheries have occurred in Areas 8-1 and 8-2 for seven consecutive seasons beginning in the winter of 2005/2006 (WDFW 2008b, WDFW 2009d, WDFW 2010b, WDFW 2011b, WDFW 2012b, WDFW 2012d), Areas 7, 9 and 10 for five consecutive seasons beginning in the winter of 2007/2008 (WDFW 2009e, WDFW 2010a, WDFW 2010c, WDFW 2010d, WDFW 2011b, WDFW 2012b, WDFW 2012d), and in Areas 11 and 12 for three consecutive seasons beginning in the winter of 2009/2010 (WDFW 2011b, WDFW 2012b, WDFW 2012d).

During the 2012 summer season (May through September), WDFW implemented seven pilot mark-selective Chinook fisheries in Areas 5, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13. The 2012 summer Chinook MSF seasons in each of the areas were as follows:

- Areas 5 and 6 from July 1 through August 15, 2012;
- Areas 9 and 10 from July 16 through August 31, 2012;
- Area 11 from June 1 through September 30, 2012;
- Area 12 from July 1 through September 30, 2012; and
- Area 13 from May 1 through September 30, 2012.

[^0]Consistent with the 2004 (and 2010 update) Puget Sound Chinook Harvest Management Plan (Puget Sound Indian Tribes and WDFW 2004 and 2010), a key goal of implementing each of these mark-selective Chinook fisheries has been to provide meaningful opportunity to the recreational angling public while minimally impacting ESA-listed Puget Sound Chinook salmon.

## Comprehensive Sampling and Monitoring Program

WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) was tasked with implementing a comprehensive sampling and monitoring program in Areas 5, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13 to collect the data needed to evaluate each pilot mark-selective Chinook fishery and its impact on unmarked salmon. As per state-tribal agreement (e.g., WDFW and NWIFC 2011), we developed area-specific sampling plans consisting of several comprehensive and complementary sampling components, including dockside creel sampling, test fishing, on-water or aerial effort surveys, and angler-completed voluntary trip reports (VTRs). We tailored area-specific sampling plans so that we could reliably estimate the following critical parameters needed for evaluating mark-selective fisheries:
i) the mark rate of the targeted Chinook population
ii) the total number of Chinook salmon harvested (by size [legal or sublegal] and markstatus [marked or unmarked] group)
iii) the total number of Chinook salmon released (by size and mark-status group)
iv) the coded-wire tag- (CWT) and/or DNA-based stock composition of marked and unmarked Chinook mortalities ${ }^{2}$
v) the total mortality of marked and unmarked double index tag (DIT) CWT stocks

In addition, we acquired and analyzed relevant data characterizing other aspects of the pilot fisheries, including descriptors of fishing effort, fishing success (catch [landed Chinook] per unit effort), the length and age composition of encountered Chinook, and the overall intensity of our sampling efforts.

## Reporting Efficiencies

In July 2010, technical staffs from the WDFW Puget Sound Sampling Unit, Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission (NWIFC), and Puget Sound Treaty Tribes met to discuss potential reporting efficiencies in WDFW's mark-selective Chinook fishery post-season reports. NWIFC and tribal representatives had initiated the idea for such a meeting, considering that we at WDFW had been submitting a separate post-season report for each area and season (since 2003) to the co-managers, resulting in redundancies between individual reports, particularly in the Methods section. Also, over the years we kept adding sections to the selective fishery annual reports, in response to individual tribal co-manager requests, and sustained those additions in each future report, resulting in ever-lengthening post-season reports. From both the WDFW and tribal technical perspectives, we needed to prioritize the most essential reporting elements and achieve efficiencies to streamline the selective fishery reporting work load.

[^1]Thus, at the July 2010 meeting the WDFW and tribal staffs worked on prioritizing the most essential elements (i.e., tables, figures, and appendices) needed in WDFW's annual post-season selective fishery reports in an effort to define reporting efficiencies. Based on these decisions (details available in a WDFW memo dated August 16, 2010 summarizing the July 2010 meeting), we began implementing reporting efficiencies starting with the 2009-10 winter markselective Chinook fisheries post-season report and continuing thereafter.

At the July 2010 meeting we also agreed that a key efficiency in the annual reporting process would be for WDFW staff to produce a centralized Methods Report. The Methods Report would be a stand-alone document that includes the details of each area's Chinook MSF study design (for both winter and summer fisheries), sampling procedures, data analysis methods, and all equations used to generate estimates and variances. Thus, we refer the reader to our Methods Report (WDFW 2012a) for detailed descriptions of the diverse study designs and protocols used to monitor and evaluate the selective Chinook fisheries in Areas 5, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13 during summer 2012.

In the following pages, we report the results generated through our monitoring activities during the 2012 summer mark-selective Chinook fisheries. We report results based on our more efficient reporting format agreed-to between state and tribal technical representatives, in which we focus on presenting data tables and figures rather than interpretive text (unless text is needed to specify noteworthy in-season adjustments or other circumstances unique to the particular season). We present 2012 summer Chinook MSF results in separate chapters (1 through 7) by area, and within each chapter the data are presented in a series of tables and figures generally according to the following sequence: $i$ ) estimates of fishery characteristics obtained from the dockside creel survey data, including catch and effort total estimates, Chinook length-frequency data, and CWT recovery results; ii) results from our recreational test fishery (where applicable); iii) results from our VTR collection efforts; $i v$ ) total mortality estimates of marked and unmarked DIT CWT stocks by hatchery and brood year; $v$ ) total fishery Chinook encounters and impactsestimated based on creel survey and test fishery or VTR data-which we compare with preseason expectations (based on Fishery Regulation Assessment Model [FRAM] predictions); vi) sample rate information based on dockside sampling of harvested Chinook; and vii) historical Chinook encounters estimates for each area's summer mark-selective Chinook fishery.

## RESULTS

## 1) Marine Area 5 Summer Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a tenth consecutive summer mark-selective Chinook fishery (MSF) in Marine Area 5 from July 1 through August 15, 2012. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented an intensive monitoring program in Area 5 throughout the season in order to collect the data needed to estimate key parameters characterizing the fishery and its impacts on unmarked salmon. Sampling activities included dockside creel sampling and intensive efforts to distribute and collect voluntary trip reports (VTRs) from the angling public. During the summer 2012 mark-selective Chinook fishery in Area 5 we maintained our enhanced VTR program in an effort to improve the return rate of voluntary trip reports, which provide estimates of Chinook encounter rates by size class (legal or sublegal) and mark status (ad-marked or unmarked). An additional WDFW technician was hired to work exclusively on distributing and collecting VTRs from the angling public in Area 5. This technician, along with the dockside samplers, also educated anglers about the VTR program and salmon species identification in a focused effort to increase the sample size of VTR-based encounter data. Table 1.1 summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In this section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 5 summer mark-selective Chinook fishery.

Table 1.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 5 summer markselective fishery monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal <br> Parameter(s) | Secondary Parameter(s) | Sample Unit(s) | Finest Estimation Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside Creel Sampling | Fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish ${ }^{1}$ | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{1}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish, reported fish release | Two weeks | Creel estimates were produced for twoweek estimation periods and stratified into "weekday" (Mon.-Thurs.) and "weekend" (Fri.-Sun.) day-type strata within weeks. For the weekday stratum we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=8$ available weekdays per two-week period. For the weekend stratum we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=3$ available weekend days per week. |
| On-the-water Surveys | Proportion of total angler effort that uses sample-frame sites (i.e., site "size measures") versus out-of-frame sites. | Total on-water boat and angler counts at assumed peak effort time interval (instantaneous count); spatial distribution of recreational fishing boats in the area. | Boats and anglers | Month | We did not conduct new boat surveys during the 2012 Area 5 summer markselective Chinook fishery. We applied the recent three-year average site weights to compute catch and effort estimates. The average site weights were used because in-season observations suggested that sites and effort patterns did not change substantially in 2012 compared to recent past years. |
| Voluntary <br> Trip Reports (VTRs) | Size <br> (legal/sublegal) and mark-status (marked/unmarked) composition of encountered Chinook | Encounter data for non-Chinook species (e.g., coho) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season | We used VTR data to estimate the size/mark-status proportions ( $\mathrm{LM}=$ $33 \%, \mathrm{LU}=24 \%, \mathrm{SM}=18 \%, \mathrm{SU}=$ $25 \%$; Table 1.5) needed to produce encounter and mortality estimates. |
| Overall <br> Fishery <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Total Chinook encounters and mortalities, by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook | N/A | Season | Estimated on a monthly time step but considered at the season-total level. |
| Coded-wire <br> tag (CWT) <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season | The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

${ }^{\text {I }}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.

Table 1.2 Estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and releases) during the Area 5 markselective Chinook fishery from July 1 - August 15 , 2012. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Month | Stat Week | Start Date | End Date | Est. Effort |  | Est. Retained Chinook |  | Est. Released Chinook |  | Total Est. Chinook Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Boats | Anglers | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| July | 27 | 1-Jul | 1-Jul | 177 | 432 | 231 | 0 | 160 | 383 | 774 |
|  | 28 | 2-Jul | 8-Jul | 1,218 | 2,967 | 1,070 | 2 | 738 | 1,769 | 3,579 |
|  | 29 | 9-Jul | 15-Jul | 1,213 | 2,909 | 812 | 0 | 560 | 1,344 | 2,716 |
|  | 30 | 16-Jul | 22-Jul | 1,376 | 3,370 | 648 | 9 | 447 | 1,064 | 2,167 |
|  | 31 | 23-Jul | 29-Jul | 1,314 | 3,252 | 911 | 4 | 628 | 1,504 | 3,046 |
| August | 32 | 30-Jul | 5-Aug | 1,195 | 2,886 | 680 | 0 | 469 | 1,125 | 2,274 |
|  | 33 | 6-Aug | 12-Aug | 1,658 | 4,131 | 847 | 2 | 584 | 1,400 | 2,834 |
|  | 34 | 13-Aug | 15-Aug | 505 | 1,126 | 480 | 0 | 331 | 795 | 1,606 |
| Area 5 Season Total: |  |  |  | 8,655 | 21,074 | 5,679 | 17 | 3,917 | 9,382 | 18,996 |
| Variance: |  |  |  | 286,277 | 2,173,572 | 237,244 | 57 | 1,195,287 | 904,875 | 4,575,115 |
| SE:CV (\%): |  |  |  | 535 | 1,474 | 487 | 8 | 1,093 | 951 | 2,139 |
|  |  |  |  | 6\% | 7\% | 9\% | 44\% | 28\% | 10\% | 11\% |
| 95\% CI: |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7,606- \\ & 9,704 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 18,184- \\ & 23,963 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4,724- \\ 6,634 \end{gathered}$ | 2-32 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1,774- \\ 6,060 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7,518- \\ & 11,247 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 14,803- \\ & 23,188 \end{aligned}$ |



Figure 1.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the Area 5 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 1 - August 15, 2012.


Figure 1.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook per angler trip) during the Area 5 markselective Chinook fishery from July 1 - August 15, 2012.


Figure 1.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (retained and released) during the Area 5 markselective Chinook fishery from July 1 - August 15, 2012.


Figure 1.4 Length-frequency distributions of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the Area 5 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 1 - August 15, 2012.

Table 1.3 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the Area 5 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 1 - August 15, 2012.

| Mark Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal-size | Sublegal-size | Total |
| Marked | 1,620 | 72 | 1,692 |
| Unmarked | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 6 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 9 6}$ |

Table 1.4 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the Area 5 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 1 - August 15, 2012. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of tags that belonged to double-index tag groups.

| Release Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs <br> Recovered | Number DITs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| British Columbia (20.4\%) | Fraser River Thompson River (15.3\%) | Harrison River | Chehalis River Hatchery | 6 (3.8\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Shuswap River Low | Shuswap River, Middle | 2 (1.3\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Chilliwack River | Chilliwack River Hatchery | 16 (10.2\%) | 16 |
|  | Georgia Strait (5.1\%) | Cowichan River | Cowichan River Hatchery | 6 (3.8\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Big Qualicum River | Big Qualicum River Hat. | 1 (0.6\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Chemainus River | Seaspring Salmon Farm | 1 (0.6\%) | 0 |
| Washington (48.4\%) | Northern Washington (5.1\%) | Samish River 03.0005 | Samish Hatchery | 2 (1.3\%) | 2 |
|  |  | East Sound Bay (SAN) | Glenwood Springs | 3 (1.9\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Friday Creek 03.0017 | Samish Hatchery | 3 (1.9\%) | 3 |
|  | Strait of Juan De Fuca(1.3\%) | Hoko River @ RM 10 | Hoko Falls Hatchery | 1 (0.6\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Hoko River 19.0148 | Hoko Falls Hatchery | 1 (0.6\%) | 0 |
|  | Hood Canal (17.8\%) | Purdy Creek 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | 21 (13.4\%) | 21 |
|  |  | Finch Creek 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | 7 (4.5\%) | 0 |
|  | Northern Puget Sound (5.7\%) | Whitehorse Springs | Whitehorse Pond | 2 (1.3\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Wallace River 07.0940 | Wallace Hatchery | 6 (3.8\%) | 4 |
|  |  | Tulalip Creek 07.0001 | Bernie Gobin Hatchery | 1 (0.6\%) | 0 |
|  | Skagit River (1.9\%) | Cascade River 03.1411 | Marblemount Hatchery | 3 (1.9\%) | 0 |
|  | Mid Puget Sound (12.1\%) | Gorst Creek 15.0216 | Gorst Creek Rearing Pond | 3 (1.9\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Big Soos Creek 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | 3 (1.9\%) | 3 |
|  |  | Voight Creek Tr 10.0428 | Voights Creek Hatchery | 2 (1.3\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Grovers Creek Hatchery | Grovers Creek Hatchery | 11 (7\%) | 11 |
|  | Southern Puget Sound (4.5\%) | Chambers Creek 12.0007 | Chambers Creek Hatchery | 3 (1.9\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Chambers Creek 12.0007 | Garrison Hatchery | 1 (0.6\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Kalama Creek 11.0017 | Kalama Creek Hatchery | 1 (0.6\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Clear Creek 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | 2 (1.3\%) | 2 |
| Columbia River (28.1\%) | Upper Columbia R (above McNary Dam; excludes Snake River) (6.4\%) | Col. River @ Turtle Rock | Turtle Rock Hatchery | 1 (0.6\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Columbia Near Wells | Wells Hatchery | 3 (1.9\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Col. River @ Priest Rapids | Priest Rapids Hatchery | 1 (0.6\%) | 1 |
|  |  | Wenatchee River 45.0030 | Dryden Pond | 1 (0.6\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Similkamaan River 490325 | Similkameen Hatchery | 3 (1.9\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Chelan River 47.0052 | Chelan River NP | 1 (0.6\%) | 0 |
|  | Central Columbia River (Bonneville Dam to McNary Dam) (4.5\%) | Umatilla River | Umatilla Hatchery | 1 (0.6\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Little White Salmon @ NFH | Little White Salmon NFH | 2 (1.3\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Klickitat Hatchery (YKFP) | Klickitat Hatchery (YKFP) | 1 (0.6\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Spring Creek 29.0159 | Spring Creek NFH | 3 (1.9\%) | 3 |
|  | Snake River (10.2\%) | Big Canyon Accl Pond | Lyons Ferry Hatchery | 2 (1.3\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Snake River @ Pitt. Landing | Lyons Ferry Hatchery | 2 (1.3\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Grand Ronde R35.2192 | Irrigation Hatchery | 1 (0.6\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Captain Johns Pd | Lyons Ferry Hatchery | 4 (2.5\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Snake River (Hells Canyon) | Umatilla Hatchery | 2 (1.3\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Lyons Ferry Rel.Site | Lyons Ferry Hatchery | 3 (1.9\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Snake L.Mon-Ltl Goos | Lyons Ferry Hatchery | 2 (1.3\%) | 0 |


| Release <br> Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs <br> Recovered | Number DITs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Columbia } \\ & \text { River } \\ & (28.1 \%) \end{aligned}$ | Lower Columbia River (mouth to Bonneville Dam) (7\%) | Blind Sl (Lower Col. River) | CEDC Youngs Bay Net | 1 (0.6\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Big Creek (Low Col. River) | Big Creek Hatchery | 1 (0.6\%) | 1 |
|  |  | Cowlitz River 26.0002 | Cowlitz Salmon Hatchery | 2 (1.3\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Washougal River 28.0159 | Washougal Hatchery | 1 (0.6\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Tanner Creek (Bonneville) | Bonneville Hatchery | 2 (1.3\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Deep River 25.0071 | Deep River Net Pens | 2 (1.3\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Cedar Creek 1 (Sandy River) | Sandy Hatchery | 1 (0.6\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Willamette River M FK-1 | Dexter Ponds | 1 (0.6\%) | 0 |
| Oregon$(1.9 \%)$ | Northern Oregon Coast (0.6\%) | Salmon River | Salmon River Hatchery | 1 (0.6\%) | 0 |
|  | Southern Oregon Coast (1.3\%) | Elk River | Elk River Hatchery | 2 (1.3\%) | 0 |
| California(1.2\%) | Klamath River - Trinity River ( $0.6 \%$ ) | Iron Gate Hatchery | Iron Gate Hatchery | 1 (0.6\%) | 0 |
|  | San Joaquin River (0.6\%) | San Joaq Shrm Isl Net Pen | Mok. River Fish Ins | 1 (0.6\%) | 0 |
|  |  |  | Total | 157 | 67 |

Table 1.5 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs) during the Area 5 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 1 - August 15, 2012, with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Data Source | Effort and Sample Size | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Totals | Mark Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Private VTR | 119 1-trip VTRs, 294 Angler Trips | 127 | 94 | 68 | 97 | 386 | 0.51 | 0.57 |
| Test fishery size/mark-status composition: Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.33 \\ (0.0006) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.24 \\ (0.0005) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.18 \\ (0.0004) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.25 \\ (0.0005) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |

As no test fishery was conducted in the Area 5 summer mark-selective fishery, we focused our efforts on increasing the return rate of VTRs and thus, the sample size of fish encountered by recreational fishers. This year we received 119 VTRs, accounting for 294 angler trips during the 1.5 month fishery. We used these data to estimate the size/mark-status proportions needed to produce Chinook encounter and mortality estimates for the Area 5 summer mark-selective fishery.

Table 1.6 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the Area 5 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 1, 2012 - August 15, 2012. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | 95\% CI | CV <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 6,250 | 5,437 | 812 | 122 | 5,559 | 238,905 | 489 | $4601-6517$ | 9 |
| Legal UM | 4,626 | 9 | 4,617 | 693 | 701 | 9,975 | 100 | $8-35$ | 14 |
| Sublegal AD | 3,346 | 242 | 3,105 | 621 | 863 | 12,300 | 111 | $645-1080$ | 13 |
| Sublegal UM | 4,774 | 9 | 4,765 | 953 | 962 | 18,556 | 136 | $695-1229$ | 14 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 8 , 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 6 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 3 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 3 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 0 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 9 , 7 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 4 8 - 9 1 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |

Table 1.7 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1512) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the Area 5 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 1, 2012 - August 15, 2012. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Data Source | Group | Total Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | 5,081 | 3,486 | 1,595 | 35 |
|  | AD | 11,428 | 5,453 | 5,975 | 4,744 |
|  | Total | 16,509 | 8,939 | 7,570 | 4,779 |
|  | \% Marked | 69 | 61 | 79 | 99 |
| Estimated (Creel) <br> Encounters | UM | 9,399 | 4,626 | 4,774 | 17 |
|  | AD | 9,596 | 6,250 | 3,346 | 5,679 |
|  | Total | 18,996 | 10,876 | 8,120 | 5,696 |
|  | \% Marked | 51 | 58 | 41 | 100 |

Table 1.8 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1512) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the Area 5 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 1, 2012 - August 15, 2012. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook Mortalities |  |  | Estimated Chinook Mortalities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | AD | Total | UM | AD | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 873 | 6,283 | 7,156 | 1,663 | 6,422 | 8,085 |
| Released Legal | 519 | 344 | 863 | 693 | 122 | 814 |
| Released Sublegal | 319 | 1,195 | 1,514 | 953 | 621 | 1,574 |
| Landed Only | 35 | 4,744 | 4,779 | 17 | 5,679 | 5,696 |



Figure 1.5 Comparison of modeled (using FRAM, model run 1512) and estimated total Chinook encounters and mortalities for the Area 5 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 1 - August 15, 2012. Error bars represent approximate $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 1.9 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the Area 5 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 1 - August, 2012. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Hatchery | Brood <br> Year | DITs <br> Obs'd | AD DIT Harvest |  | UM DIT | UM DIT Mortality |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Enc. | Est. |  | SE(Est.) |  |  |
| Big Creek Hatchery | 2009 | 1 | 3.4 | 7.9 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2008 | 2 | 6.7 | 15.8 | 6.7 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.6 |
| George Adams Hatchery | 2007 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 7.9 | 2.8 |
| George Adams Hatchery | 2008 | 4 | 13.4 | 31.7 | 13.4 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 1.1 |
| George Adams Hatchery | 2009 | 16 | 53.7 | 126.7 | 53.8 | 5.4 | 1.3 | 4.5 |
| Grovers Creek Hatchery | 2008 | 3 | 10.1 | 23.8 | 11.2 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.9 |
| Grovers Creek Hatchery | 2009 | 8 | 26.9 | 63.4 | 25.9 | 2.6 | 0.6 | 2.2 |
| Chilliwack River Hatchery | 2008 | 1 | 3.4 | 7.9 | 3.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Chilliwack River Hatchery | 2009 | 14 | 47 | 110.9 | 24.2 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 2 |
| Chilliwack River Hatchery | 2010 | 1 | 3.4 | 7.9 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 0.019 | 0.1 |
| Priest Rapids Hatchery | 2009 | 1 | 3.4 | 7.9 | 5.6 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| Samish Hatchery | 2008 | 3 | 10.1 | 23.8 | 10.1 | 1 | 0.2 | 0.8 |
| Samish Hatchery | 2009 | 2 | 6.7 | 15.8 | 6.8 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.6 |
| Soos Creek Hatchery | 2009 | 3 | 10.1 | 23.8 | 10.6 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.9 |
| Spring Creek NFH | 2009 | 3 | 10.1 | 23.8 | 10.1 | 1 | 0.2 | 0.8 |
| Wallace Hatchery | 2008 | 4 | 13.4 | 31.7 | 13.5 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 1.1 |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{6 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 1 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 2 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 6}$ |

Table 1.10 Monthly sample rates (Total retained Chinook sampled ${ }^{1}$ / Estimated retained Chinook) in the Area 5 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 1 - August 15, 2012. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Time period |  |  | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook sampled |  |  | Sample <br> Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Stat Weeks | Dates | AD | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total |  |
| July | 27-31 | 1 Jul - 29 Jul | 3672 | 15 | 3687 | 1145 | 4 | 1149 | 31.2\% |
| August | 32-34 | 30 Jul - 15 Aug | 2007 | 2 | 2010 | 547 | 0 | 547 | 27.2\% |
| Season Total |  |  | 5679 | 17 | 5696 | 1692 | 4 | 1696 | 29.8\% |

${ }^{1 /}$ Number of retained Chinook sampled includes all retained Chinook inspected for CWT's, from all sites sampled during the summer 2012 Area 5 mark-selective Chinook fishery (creel estimates and fish sampled as part of baseline sampling).

Table 1.11 Fishery-total estimates of retained and released salmon (other than Chinook) for the Area 5 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 1 - August 15, 2012. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Stat Week | Start <br> Date | End Date | Retained Salmon |  |  |  | Released Salmon |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coho } \\ \text { AD } \end{gathered}$ | Coho UM | Pink | Sockeye | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coho } \\ \text { AD } \end{gathered}$ | Coho <br> UM | Coho UK | Pink | Steelhead | $\begin{gathered} \text { Unk } \\ \text { Salmon } \end{gathered}$ |
| 27 | 1-Jul | 1-Jul | 128 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 146 | 123 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| 28 | 2-Jul | 8-Jul | 945 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 1406 | 471 | 0 | 3 | 217 |
| 29 | 9-Jul | 15-Jul | 469 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 702 | 293 | 0 | 0 | 95 |
| 30 | 16-Jul | 22-Jul | 1356 | 15 | 0 | 9 | 123 | 3480 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 75 |
| 31 | 23-Jul | 29-Jul | 649 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 53 | 1579 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 92 |
| 32 | 30-Jul | 5-Aug | 717 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 59 | 1535 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 117 |
| 33 | 6-Aug | 12-Aug | 1383 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 3199 | 150 | 4 | 0 | 125 |
| 34 | 13-Aug | 15-Aug | 143 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 292 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 81 |
| Area 10 Season Total: |  |  | 5,791 | 58 | 2 | 18 | 505 | 12,338 | 1,268 | 4 | 3 | 823 |
| Variance: <br> Standard Error: CV (\%): <br> 95\% CI: |  |  | 579,183 | 436 | 3 | 243 | 7,670 | 1,376,149 | 123,514 | 14 | 7 | 21,658 |
|  |  |  | 761 | 21 | 2 | 16 | 88 | 1,173 | 351 | 4 | 3 | 147 |
|  |  |  | 13\% | 36\% | 74\% | 87\% | 17\% | 10\% | 28\% | 87\% | 82\% | 18\% |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4,299- \\ & 7,282 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 17-99 | 0-6 | 0-48 | 333-677 | $\begin{aligned} & 10,039- \\ & 14,637 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 579- \\ 1,957 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0-12 | 0-8 | $\begin{gathered} 535- \\ 1,112 \end{gathered}$ |

In-season observations suggested that sites and effort patterns did not change substantially in 2012 compared to recent past years. Therefore, we did not conduct new boat surveys during the 2012 Area 5 summer mark-selective Chinook fishery. Sites in the summer 2012 sample frame remained the same and included: Olson's East Docks, Olson's West Docks, Olson's Ramp \& Docks, Van Riper's North, Van Riper's South and Curley's Resort. We used the average of the previous three years' site weights (same values that were used for the 2011 Area 5 summer mark-selective Chinook fishery) to determine site selections and to compute catch and effort estimates. All sites in the sample frame were sampled at some point during the fishery, with the exception of Curley's Resort, likely due to its small site weight.

Table 1.12 Season-total estimates of Chinook encounters by size/mark-status and total estimates of angler effort, summarized for all seasons to date of the Area 5 summer mark-selective Chinook fishery. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Season Dates | Effort <br> Angler- <br> trips) | Retained Chinook |  |  |  | Released Chinook |  |  |  | Total <br> Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2,251 | LU | SM | SU | LM | LU | SM | SU |  |
| 0 |  | 336 | 3,435 | 1,656 | 5,174 | 13,131 |  |  |  |  |
| Jul 1 - Aug 10, 2004 |  | 2,706 | 0 | 194 | 0 | 404 | 4,017 | 1,167 | 2,462 | 10,950 |
| Jul 1 - Aug 10, 2005 | 30,115 | 1,520 | 23 | 100 | 26 | 227 | 1,418 | 1,210 | 1,459 | 5,984 |
| Jul 1 - Aug 14, 18-21, <br> 2006 | 23,177 | 3,105 | 10 | 196 | 7 | 464 | 3,125 | 1,010 | 2,212 | 10,129 |
| Jul 1 - Aug 9, 2007 | 18,830 | 2,969 | 23 | 280 | 94 | 444 | 2,509 | 1,371 | 1,118 | 8,808 |
| Jul 1 - Aug 10, 2008 | 13,004 | 2,773 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 414 | 1,869 | 65 | 330 | 5,496 |
| Jul 1 - Aug 6, 2009 | 23,662 | 4,843 | 78 | 1,115 | 362 | 724 | 6,210 | 9,823 | 14,309 | 37,463 |
| Jul 1 - Aug 15, 2010 | 16,806 | 5,461 | 14 | 242 | 0 | 816 | 4,961 | 3,163 | 4,140 | 18,796 |
| Jul 1 - Aug 15, 2011 | 24,848 | 4,259 | 70 | 276 | 22 | 636 | 9,275 | 1,593 | 5,319 | 21,450 |
| Jul 1 - Aug 15, 2012 | 21,074 | 5,437 | 9 | 242 | 9 | 812 | 4,617 | 3,105 | 4,765 | 18,996 |

## 2) Marine Area 6 Summer Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a tenth consecutive summer mark-selective Chinook fishery (MSF) in Marine Area 6 from July 1 through August 15 2012. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented a comprehensive sampling program consisting of dockside angler interviews with catch sampling ("Baseline Sampling" approach; see WDFW 2012a for details) along with intensive efforts to distribute and collect voluntary trip reports (VTRs) from the angling public. We maintained our enhanced VTR program in an effort to improve the return rate of voluntary trip reports, which provide estimates of Chinook encounter rates by size class (legal or sublegal) and mark status (ad-marked or unmarked). An additional WDFW technician was hired to work exclusively on distributing and collecting VTRs from the angling public in Area 6. This technician, along with the dockside samplers, also educated anglers about the VTR program and salmon species identification in a focused effort to increase the sample size of VTR-based encounter data.

Table 2.1 summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In this section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 6 summer markselective Chinook fishery from July 1 through August 15, 2012. Note that the Baseline Sampling approach does not provide a means for generating in-season or immediate post-season estimates of fishery total catch and effort. We will generate estimates of fishery-total Chinook encounters and mortalities by size/mark-status at a later date, when post-season CRC-based retained Chinook estimates become available (approximately one year after the fishery). We will then apply the proportion of legal-marked Chinook obtained from VTRs in the 2012 Area 6 Chinook MSF to the CRC-based retained Chinook estimate (pending further State-Tribal technical work evaluating methods for estimating encounters based on CRCs and on-water data) to generate estimates of total Chinook encounters and associated mortalities based on Conrad and McHugh's (2008) bias-corrected method.

Table 2.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 6 summer markselective fishery monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal <br> Parameter(s) | Secondary Parameter(s) | Sample Unit(s) | Finest Estimation Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside Angler Interviews (Baseline Sampling) | Observed (insample) fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish. | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{1}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release | Week | Observed catch per angler trip and species composition data obtained from baseline sampling will ultimately be combined with Catch Record Card (CRC) data to produce fishery-total estimates at a later time (approximately one year following the fishery). |
| Voluntary <br> Trip Reports (VTRs) | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status composition (marked, unmarked) of encountered Chinook | Encounter data for non-Chinook species (e.g., coho) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season | VTR data may be used in the estimation of total Chinook encounters by size/mark group $(\mathrm{LM}=69 \%, \mathrm{LU}=16 \%, \mathrm{SM}=$ $7 \%, \mathrm{SU}=7 \%$; Table 2.5) and associated impacts, once CRCbased retained Chinook estimates become available, using Conrad and McHugh's (2008) biascorrected method. |
| Overall <br> Fishery <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Total Chinook encounters and mortalities, by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook | N/A | Season | Will be estimated at a later date using the CRC-based retained Chinook estimate, when it becomes available. |
| Coded-wire tag (CWT) Impacts Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season | Will be estimated at a later date using the CRC-based retained Chinook estimate, when it becomes available. The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

[^2]Table 2.2 Observations of fishing effort, salmon harvest, and reported salmon releases, by week, for the Area 6 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 1 August 15, 2012. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates. AD = marked (adiposeclipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked, UK = unknown mark status.

| Month | Stat Week | Effort |  | Retained Fish |  |  |  | Released Fish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Boats | Anglers | Chinook |  | Coho |  | Chinook |  |  | Coho |  |  | Chum | Pink | Sockeye | Unknown Salmon |
|  |  |  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM | AD | UM | UK | AD | UM | UK |  |  |  |  |
| July | 27 | 97 | 236 | 128 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 28 | 271 | 692 | 270 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 57 | 179 | 25 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 29 | 224 | 549 | 125 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 37 | 109 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 17 |
|  | 30 | 299 | 732 | 111 | 3 | 20 | 0 | 19 | 95 | 21 | 5 | 44 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 31 | 302 | 761 | 223 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 18 | 86 | 18 | 1 | 43 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| August | 32 | 240 | 597 | 139 | 0 | 73 | 2 | 66 | 130 | 25 | 7 | 123 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
|  | 33 | 350 | 885 | 139 | 1 | 66 | 2 | 75 | 173 | 127 | 22 | 109 | 31 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 27 |
|  | 34 | 168 | 415 | 80 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 179 | 85 | 75 | 6 | 18 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Area 6 Season Total: |  | 1951 | 4867 | 1215 | 5 | 202 | 6 | 462 | 904 | 311 | 43 | 348 | 99 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 63 |



Figure 2.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the Area 6 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 1 - August 15, 2012. Note: displayed values are sample observations (i.e., summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 2.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook per angler trip) during the Area 6 markselective Chinook fishery from July 1 - August 15, 2012. Note: displayed values are sample observations (i.e., summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 2.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (retained and released) during the Area 6 markselective Chinook fishery from July 1 - August 15, 2012. Note: displayed values are sample observations (i.e., summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 2.4 Length-frequency distributions of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the Area 6 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 1 - August 15, 2012.

Table 2.3 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the Area 6 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 1 - August 15, 2012.

| Mark Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal-size | Sublegal-size | Total |
| Marked | 1701 | 13 | 1714 |
| Unmarked | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 7 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 1 8}$ |

Table 2.4 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the Area 6 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 1 - August 15, 2012. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of tags that belonged to double-index tag groups.

| Release Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs <br> Recovered | Number DITs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| British Columbia (5.6\%) | Fraser River Thompson River (1.9\%) | Chilliwack River | Chilliwack River Hatch | 1 (0.9\%) | 1 |
|  |  | Harrison River | Chehalis River Hatchery | 1 (0.9\%) | 0 |
|  | Georgia Strait (3.7\%) | Cowichan River | Cowichan River Hatch | 3 (2.8\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Chemainus River | Seaspring Salmon Farm | 1 (0.9\%) | 0 |
| Washington (76.6\%) | Northern Washington (10.3\%) | Friday Creek 03.0017 | Samish Hatchery | 1 (0.9\%) | 1 |
|  |  | East Sound Bay (SAN) | Glenwood Springs | 4 (3.7\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Samish River 03.0005 | Samish Hatchery | 6 (5.6\%) | 6 |
|  | Hood Canal (32.7\%) | Finch Creek 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | 16 (15\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Purdy Creek 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | 19 (17.8\%) | 19 |
|  | N. Puget Sound (2.8\%) | Wallace River 07.0940 | Wallace River Hatchery | 3 (2.8\%) | 2 |
|  | Skagit River (3.7\%) | Baker River 03.0435 | Marblemount Hatchery | 3 (2.8\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Cascade River 03.1411 | Marblemount Hatchery | 1 (0.9\%) | 0 |
|  | Mid Puget Sound (16.8\%) | Icy Creek 09.0125 | Icy Creek Hatchery | 1 (0.9\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Grovers Creek Hatchery | Grovers Creek Hatchery | 10 (9.3\%) | 10 |
|  |  | Big Soos Creek 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | 2 (1.9\%) | 2 |
|  |  | Voight Creek Tr 10.0428 | Voights Creek Hatchery | 1 (0.9\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Gorst Creek 15.0216 | Gorst Creek Rearing Pnd | 4 (3.7\%) | 0 |
|  | Southern Puget Sound (10.3\%) | Chambers Creek 12.0007 | Chambers Creek Hatch | 4 (3.7\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Clear Creek 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | 3 (2.8\%) | 3 |
|  |  | Lakewood Hatchery | Lakewood Hatchery | 1 (0.9\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Kalama Creek 11.0017 | Kalama Creek Hatchery | 3 (2.8\%) | 0 |
| Columbia River (16.9\%) | Upper Columbia River (2.8\%) | Hanford Reach (36) | NA | 1 (0.9\%) | 1 |
|  |  | Col. River @ Turtle Rock | Turtle Rock Hatchery | 2 (1.9\%) | 0 |
|  | Central Columbia River (7.5\%) | Spring Creek 29.0159 | Spring Creek NFH | 6 (5.6\%) | 6 |
|  |  | Klickitat Hatchery (YKFP) | Klickitat Hatchery | 2 (1.9\%) | 0 |
|  | Lower Columbia River(4.7\%) | Big Creek (Lower Col) | Big Creek Hatchery | 4 (3.7\%) | 3 |
|  |  | Mckenzie River 1 | Mckenzie Hatchery | 1 (0.9\%) | 1 |
|  | Snake River (1.9\%) | Snake R-1 (Hells Canyon) | Umatilla Hatchery | 2 (1.9\%) | 0 |
| CA (0.9\%) | Central CA (0.9\%) | San Pablo Bay Net Pens | Feather R Hatchery | 1 (0.9\%) | 0 |
|  |  |  | Total | 107 | 55 |

Table 2.5 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs) during the Area 6 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 1 - August 15, 2012, with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Data Source | Effort and Sample Size | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Totals | Mark Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Private VTR | 62 1-trip VTRs, 119 Angler Trips | 126 | 30 | 13 | 13 | 182 | 0.76 | 0.81 |
| Combined size/mark-status composition: <br> Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.69 \\ (0.0012) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.16 \\ (0.0008) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.07 \\ (0.0004) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.07 \\ (0.0004) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |

Table 2.6 List of sites sampled with the number of sampling events (site-days) during the Area 6 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 1 - August 15, 2012.

| Location | Site-Days Sampled per Month |  | Total Site- <br> Days | \% of Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | July (1-31) | August (1-15) |  | 21 |
| Coronet Bay Public Ramp | 13 | 8 | 18 | $23.6 \%$ |
| Freshwater Bay Ramp | 12 | 6 | 1 | $1.1 \%$ |
| John Wayne Marina | 1 | 0 | 40 | $44.9 \%$ |
| Ediz Hook Port Angeles Public Ramp | 27 | 13 | 9 | $10.1 \%$ |
| Port Angeles West Ramp | 7 | 2 | $\mathbf{8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 9}$ |  |  |

## 3) Marine Area 9 Summer Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a sixth consecutive summer mark-selective Chinook fishery (MSF) in Marine Area 9 from July 16 through August 19, 2012. Originally scheduled to close on August 31, 2012, the fishery was closed early on August 20, based on a joint decision between WDFW and the tribes, due to in-season total Chinook encounters estimates exceeding preseason modeled expectations. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented an intensive monitoring program in Area 9 throughout the season in order to collect the data needed to estimate key parameters characterizing the fishery and its impacts on unmarked salmon. Sampling activities included intensive dockside creel sampling, on-the-water effort surveys, test fishing and collection of voluntary trip reports (VTRs) from the angling public. Table 3.1 summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In this section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 9 summer mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 16 through August 19, 2012.

Table 3.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 9 summer markselective fishery monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal Parameter(s) | Secondary Parameter(s) | Sample Unit(s) | Finest Estimation Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside Creel Sampling | Fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{1}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release | One week | Within weeks, estimates were produced by daytype strata (weekday/weekend). Each week we sampled every Friday, Saturday and Sunday, and we randomly selected $n=2$ out of $N=4$ weekdays (Monday-Thursday) for sampling. |
| On-thewater Surveys | Proportion of total angler effort that uses sample-frame sites (i.e., site "size measures") versus out-of-frame sites. | Total on-water boat and angler counts at assumed peak effort time interval (instantaneous count); spatial distribution of recreational fishing boats in the area. | Boats and anglers | Month | A total of 6 boat surveys ( 2 weekday and 4 weekend) were conducted during the 1.5 month fishery. |
| Test Fishing | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status (marked/unmarked) composition of encountered Chinook | Chinook length, age, and DNA-based ${ }^{2}$ stock composition; species composition of non-Chinook encounters | Fish encounter | Season | Given sufficient sample size ( $\mathrm{n}=112$ ) of fish caught in the test fishery, we used the test fishery data only to estimate the size/markstatus proportions ( $\mathrm{LM}=39 \%, \mathrm{LU}=12 \%$, SM $=26 \%, \mathrm{SU}=23 \%$; Table 3.5) needed to produce encounter and mortality estimates. |
| Voluntary <br> Trip <br> Reports <br> (VTRs) | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status (marked/unmarked) composition of encountered Chinook | Encounter data for non-Chinook species (e.g., coho) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season | The size/mark-status proportions of VTR data $(\mathrm{LM}=40 \%, \mathrm{LU}=12.5 \%, \mathrm{SM}=27.5 \%, \mathrm{SU}=$ 20\%; Table 3.6) were very similar to those of the test fishery data. However, VTR data were not used in impact estimation due to the assumed higher data quality and sufficient sample sizes of the test fishery data. |
| Overall <br> Fishery <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Total Chinook encounters and mortalities, by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook | N/A | Season | Estimated on a monthly time step but considered at the season-total level. |
| Coded-wire tag (CWT) Impacts Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season | The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

${ }^{1}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.
${ }^{2}$ Though samples were collected, DNA-based estimates of stock composition are not yet available for this fishery.

Table 3.2 Estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and releases) during the Area 9 markselective Chinook fishery from July 16 - August 19, 2012. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Month | Stat Week | Start <br> Date | End Date | Estimated Effort |  | Est. Retained Chinook |  | Est. Released Chinook |  | Total Est. Chinook Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Boats | Anglers | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| Jul | 30 | 16-Jul | 22-Jul | 3,309 | 7,219 | 2,418 | 0 | 2,127 | 2,428 | 6,972 |
|  | 31 | 23-Jul | 29-Jul | 2,422 | 5,046 | 1,298 | 3 | 1,142 | 1,301 | 3,744 |
| Aug | 32 | 30-Jul | 5-Aug | 2,255 | 4,599 | 1,771 | 5 | 1,558 | 1,774 | 5,108 |
|  | 33 | 6-Aug | 12-Aug | 2,059 | 4,179 | 826 | 0 | 727 | 830 | 2,383 |
|  | 34 | 13-Aug | 19-Aug | 1,854 | 3,818 | 742 | 7 | 653 | 739 | 2,141 |
| Private Fleet Estimates: |  |  |  | 11,898 | 24,861 | 7,056 | 14 | 6,207 | 7,071 | 20,348 |
| Charter Fleet: |  |  |  | 6 | 25 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| Area 9 Season Total Estimates: |  |  |  | 11,904 | 24,886 | 7,073 | 15 | 6,207 | 7,071 | 20,366 |
| Variance: |  |  |  | 461,737 | 2,228,475 | 1,491,907 | 40 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7,010,31 \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,226,21 \\ 7 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 18,178,409 |
| Standard Error |  |  |  | 680 | 1,493 | 1,221 | 6 | 2,648 | 1,492 | 4,264 |
| CV (\%) : |  |  |  | 6\% | 6\% | 17\% | 44\% | 43\% | 21\% | 21\% |
| 95\% CI: |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 10,572- \\ 13,236 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 21,960- \\ 27,812 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,678- \\ & 9,467 \end{aligned}$ | 3-28 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1,018- \\ & 11,397 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4,147- } \\ & 9,995 \end{aligned}$ | 12,010-28,723 |



Figure 3.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 16 - August 19, 2012.


Figure 3.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook per angler trip) during the Area 9 markselective Chinook fishery from July 16 - August 19, 2012.


Figure 3.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (retained and released) during the Area 9 markselective Chinook fishery from July 16 - August 19, 2012.


Figure 3.4 Length-frequency distribution of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 16 - August 19, 2012.

Table 3.3 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 16 - August 19, 2012.

| Mark Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal-size | Sublegal-size | Total |
| Marked | 1242 | 18 | 1260 |
| Unmarked | 5 | 1 | 6 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 6 6}$ |

Table 3.4 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 16 - August 19, 2012. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of recovered CWTs that belonged to double-index tag groups.


Table 3.5 Composition of test fishery Chinook encounters and associated mark-rate and size/mark-status proportion estimates for the Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 16 - August 19, 2012. AD = marked (adiposeclipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Stat <br> Week | Fishing Effort |  | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Days | Hours Fished | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 4 | 27.5 | 12 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 17 |
| $\mathbf{3 1}$ | 4 | 25.5 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 10 |
| $\mathbf{3 2}$ | 4 | 28.0 | 9 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 19 |
| $\mathbf{3 3}$ | 5 | 25.0 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 12 | 27 |
| $\mathbf{3 4}$ | 5 | 29.7 | 8 | 1 | 20 | 10 | 39 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 5 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ |
| Size/mark-status composition: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Legal size mark rate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall mark rate: | $0.39(0.0021)$ | $0.77(0.0031)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0.65(0.0020)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 3.5 Length-frequency distributions of marked (left panel) and unmarked (right panel) Chinook encountered by test fishers during the Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 16 - August 19, 2012. The vertical dashed line in the left panel corresponds to the legal size limit ( 22 in or 56 cm ).

Table 3.6 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat and charter boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs), with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates during the Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 16 - August 19, 2012. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

|  | Effort and Sample |  |  | Sub | gal | Totals | Mar | ate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Size | AD | UM | AD | UM | Totals | Overall | Legal |
| Charter Boat VTR | 6 1-trip VTRs, 25 Angler Trips | 17 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Private Boat VTR | 25 1-trip VTRs, 53 Angler Trips | 16 | 5 | 11 | 8 | 40 | 0.66 | 0.76 |
| Pooled VTR | 31 Days, 78 Angler Trips | 33 | 6 | 11 | 8 | 58 | 0.76 | 0.85 |
| Size/mark-status composition: <br> Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.57 \\ (\mathbf{0 . 0 0 4 3}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.10 \\ (0.0016) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.19 \\ (\mathbf{0 . 0 0 2 7 )} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.14 \\ (0.0021) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |

While size and mark-status proportions differed between Charter and Private boat VTR data, they were very similar between Private boat VTR and test fishery data $\left(\chi^{2}=0.04, \mathrm{df}=3\right.$, p value $=0.979$ ). However, based on sufficient sample size and assumed higher data quality, we used only test fishery data to estimate the size/mark-status proportions needed to produce Chinook encounter and mortality estimates for the Area 9 summer mark-selective fishery.

Table 3.7 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 16 - August 19, 2012. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ CI | CV <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 8,011 | 6,972 | 1,039 | 156 | 7,128 | $1,565,019$ | 1251 | $4676-9580$ | 18 |
| Legal UM | 2,363 | 12 | 2,351 | 353 | 365 | 13,777 | 117 | $6-58$ | 32 |
| Sublegal AD | 5,269 | 101 | 5,168 | 1,034 | 1,134 | 77,002 | 277 | $590-1678$ | 24 |
| Sublegal UM | 4,724 | 2 | 4,721 | 944 | 947 | 64,620 | 254 | $448-1445$ | 27 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 0 , 3 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 0 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 2 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 4 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 5 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 2 0 , 4 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 0 3 - 1 2 1 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ |

Table 3.8 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1512) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 16 - August 19, 2012. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped) and $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Data Source | Group | Total Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | 2,765 | 1,050 | 1,715 | 21 |
|  | AD | 13,635 | 5,100 | 8,535 | 4,437 |
|  | Total | 16,400 | 6,150 | 10,250 | 4,458 |
|  | \% Marked | 83 | 83 | 83 | 100 |
| Estimated (Creel) <br> Encounters | UM | 7,087 | 2,363 | 4,724 | 14 |
|  | AD | 13,280 | 8,011 | 5,269 | 7,073 |
|  | Total | 20,366 | 10,374 | 9,992 | 7,087 |
|  | \% Marked | 65 | 77 | 53 | 100 |

Table 3.9 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1512) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 16 - August 19, 2012. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped) and $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook Mortalities |  |  | Estimated Chinook Mortalities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | AD | Total | UM | AD | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 519 | 6,465 | 6,984 | 1,311 | 8,262 | 9,573 |
| Released Legal | 155 | 321 | 476 | 353 | 156 | 508 |
| Released Sublegal | 343 | 1,707 | 2,050 | 944 | 1,034 | 1,978 |
| Landed Only | 21 | 4,437 | 4,458 | 14 | 7,073 | 7,087 |



Figure 3.6 Comparison of modeled (using FRAM, model run 1512) and estimated total Chinook encounters and mortalities for the Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 16 - August 19, 2012. Error bars represent approximate $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 3.10 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 16 - August 19, 2012. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Hatchery | Brood Year | DITs <br> Obs'd | AD DIT Harvest |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { UM } \\ & \text { DIT } \\ & \text { Enc. } \end{aligned}$ | UM DIT Mortality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}$ (Est.) |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}$ (Est.) | SE(Est.) |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2008 | 1 | 5.6 | 25.6 | 5.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2009 | 2 | 11.2 | 51.2 | 11.2 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 1 |
| George Adams Hatchery | 2009 | 16 | 89.4 | 409.8 | 89.5 | 9 | 4.1 | 8.1 |
| Grovers Creek Hatchery | 2008 | 2 | 11.2 | 51.2 | 12.4 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 1.1 |
| Grovers Creek Hatchery | 2009 | 12 | 67 | 307.3 | 64.7 | 6.5 | 2.9 | 5.9 |
| Chilliwack River Hatchery | 2009 | 1 | 5.6 | 25.6 | 2.9 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Chilliwack River Hatchery | 2010 | 1 | 5.6 | 25.6 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Marblemount Hatchery | 2008 | 2 | 11.2 | 51.2 | 11 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 1 |
| Samish Hatchery | 2009 | 3 | 16.8 | 76.8 | 17 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 1.5 |
| Soos Creek Hatchery | 2008 | 1 | 5.6 | 25.6 | 5.9 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| Soos Creek Hatchery | 2009 | 4 | 16.8 | 76.8 | 23.1 | 7.3 | 26.5 | 6.7 |
| Spring Creek NFH | 2009 | 1 | 5.6 | 25.6 | 5.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| Wallace Hatchery | 2008 | 1 | 5.6 | 25.6 | 5.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| Total |  | 47 | 256.9 | 1178.1 | 257.3 | 30.8 | 37.1 | 27.9 |

Table 3.11 Monthly sample rates (Total retained Chinook sampled ${ }^{1}$ / Estimated retained Chinook) in the Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 16 - August 19, 2012.

| Time period |  |  | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook sampled |  |  | Sample <br> Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Stat Weeks | Dates | AD | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total |  |
| July | 30-31 | 16 Jul - 29 Jul | 3,716 | 3 | 3,719 | 691 | 2 | 693 | 18.6\% |
| August | 32-34 | 30 Jul-19 Aug | 3,340 | 12 | 3,352 | 569 | 4 | 573 | 17.1\% |
| Season Total |  |  | 7,056 | 15 | 7,071 | 1,260 | 6 | 1,266 | 17.9\% |

[^3]Table 3.12 Fishery-total estimates of retained and released salmon (other than Chinook) in the Area 9 markselective Chinook fishery from July 16 - August 19, 2012. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked, $\mathrm{UK}=$ unknown mark-status.

| Week | Start Date | End Date | Retained Salmon |  |  |  | Released Salmon |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coho } \\ \text { AD } \end{gathered}$ | Coho UM | Coho UK | Chum | Coho AD | Coho UM | Coho UK | Cutthroat Trout | Unk Salmon |
| 30 | 16-Jul | 22-Jul | 42 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 16 | 310 | 0 | 530 |
| 31 | 23-Jul | 29-Jul | 17 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 12 | 37 | 0 | 514 |
| 32 | 30-Jul | 5-Aug | 17 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 20 | 69 | 2 | 471 |
| 33 | 6-Aug | 12-Aug | 94 | 48 | 0 | 8 | 190 | 42 | 114 | 0 | 1044 |
| 34 | 13-Aug | 19-Aug | 185 | 183 | 29 | 0 | 85 | 46 | 126 | 0 | 783 |
| Area 9 Season Total: |  |  | 356 | 287 | 29 | 8 | 459 | 137 | 656 | 2 | 3,342 |
| Variance: <br> Standard Error: <br> CV (\%): <br> 95\% CI: |  |  | 2,294 | 2,187 | 665 | 44 | 15,380 | 1,026 | 14,201 | 1 | 201,185 |
|  |  |  | 48 | 47 | 26 | 7 | 124 | 32 | 119 | 1 | 449 |
|  |  |  | 13\% | 16\% | 89\% | 87\% | 27\% | 23\% | 18\% | 46\% | 13\% |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 262- \\ 449 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 195- \\ 379 \end{gathered}$ | 0-79 | 0-21 | $\begin{gathered} 216- \\ 702 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74- \\ & 200 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 423- \\ 890 \end{gathered}$ | 0-5 | $\begin{gathered} 2,462- \\ 4,221 \end{gathered}$ |

Table 3.13 Summary of the total number of anglers intercepted in Area 9 during on-the-water surveys conducted from July 16 - August 19, 2012. Sites in bold represent those included in the dockside sample frame.

| Site Name | Weekday <br> Anglers | Season Total <br> (unadjusted) <br> Size Measure | Weekend <br> Anglers | Season Total <br> (unadjusted) <br> Size Measure |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Armeni Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 4 | 0.004 |
| Bayside Marina/Drystack | 6 | 0.012 | 5 | 0.005 |
| Becket Point Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.002 |
| Brownsville Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.002 |
| Bush Point Ramp | 2 | 0.004 | 7 | 0.007 |
| Camano Isl State Park | 5 | 0.010 | 2 | 0.002 |
| Cavalaro County Park | 0 | 0.000 | 3 | 0.003 |
| Cornet Bay Public Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.002 |
| Coupville Public Ramp | 13 | 0.010 | 8 | 0.008 |
| Dagmars Landing | 27 | 0.026 | 12 | 0.012 |
| Driftwood Key Marina | $\mathbf{7}$ | 0.055 | 18 | 0.017 |
| Edmonds Dry Storage | 1 | 0.014 | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 7}$ |
| Edmonds Guest Moorage | 27 | 0.002 | 4 | 0.004 |
| Edmonds Marina | 7 | 0.014 | 46 | 0.044 |
| Edmonds Sling | 5 | 0.010 | 47 | 0.045 |
| Eglon Ramp | 37 | 0.075 | 13 | 0.013 |
| Everett Marina | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 0}$ | 0.041 |
| Everett Ramp | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 3 2}$ |
| Fort Casey Ramp/Keystone | 1 | 0.002 | 7 | 0.007 |
| Fort Flagler | 24 | 0.049 | 35 | 0.034 |
| Fort Warden | 2 | 0.004 | 2 | 0.002 |
| Hat Island Marina | 4 | 0.008 | 0 | 0.000 |
| John Wayne Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 3 | 0.003 |
| Keyport Ramp |  |  |  |  |


| Site Name | Weekday <br> Anglers | Season Total (unadjusted) Size Measure | Weekend Anglers | Season Total (unadjusted) Size Measure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kingston Marina | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Kingston Ramp | 27 | 0.055 | 50 | 0.048 |
| Lagoon Point Moorage | 5 | 0.010 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Lagoon Point Ramp | 8 | 0.016 | 8 | 0.008 |
| Marysville Public Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 5 | 0.005 |
| Mats Mats | 4 | 0.008 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Max Welton Ramp | 4 | 0.008 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Max Welton Ramp Moored | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.002 |
| Mukilteo Ramp | 26 | 0.053 | 102 | 0.099 |
| Mutiny Bay Public Ramp | 3 | 0.006 | 8 | 0.008 |
| Mystery Bay Dock | 3 | 0.006 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Orcas Island | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.001 |
| Point Andsen Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 3 | 0.003 |
| Port Hadlock | 11 | 0.022 | 8 | 0.008 |
| Port Hadlock Moorage | 4 | 0.008 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Port Ludlow | 5 | 0.010 | 7 | 0.007 |
| Port of Everett | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.002 |
| Port Townsend Boat Haven (Dock) | 4 | 0.008 | 2 | 0.002 |
| Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp | 51 | 0.103 | 86 | 0.083 |
| Port Townsend Salmon Club Ramp | 2 | 0.004 | 5 | 0.005 |
| Possession Park | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.001 |
| Possession Point | 11 | 0.022 | 2 | 0.002 |
| Possession Pt Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.001 |
| Private | 9 | 0.018 | 29 | 0.028 |
| Private - Browns Point | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.002 |
| Private - Driftwood Keys | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.002 |
| Salsbury County Park Ramp | 20 | 0.040 | 57 | 0.055 |
| Sandy Hook Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.001 |
| Shilshole Marina | 10 | 0.020 | 3 | 0.003 |
| Shilshole Ramp | 13 | 0.026 | 8 | 0.008 |
| Skyline Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.002 |
| Tulalip Marina \& Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.002 |
| Area 9 Total Anglers | 494 | 1.000 | 1034 | 1.000 |

Table 3.14 Season-total estimates of Chinook encounters by size/mark-status and total estimates of angler effort, summarized for all seasons to date of the Area 9 summer mark-selective Chinook fishery. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Season Dates | Effort(Angler-trips) | Retained Chinook |  |  |  | Released Chinook |  |  |  | Total Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LM | LU | SM | SU | LM | LU | SM | SU |  |
| Jul 16 - Jul 31, 2007 | 18,160 | 5,094 | 13 | 146 | 20 | 711 | 1,111 | 1,286 | 317 | 8,697 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 15, 2008 | 20,399 | 4,035 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 597 | 1,608 | 3,212 | 3,826 | 13,290 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 31, 2009 | 42,219 | 3,090 | 20 | 139 | 0 | 462 | 1,272 | 8,256 | 2,905 | 16,143 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 31, 2010 | 31,200 | 5,282 | 33 | 10 | 6 | 740 | 2,125 | 750 | 249 | 9,194 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 31, 2011 | 37,862 | 2,285 | 19 | 78 | 6 | 339 | 1,142 | 2,150 | 1,070 | 7,090 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 19, 2012 | 24,886 | 6,972 | 12 | 101 | 2 | 1,039 | 2,351 | 5,168 | 4,721 | 20,366 |

## 4) Marine Area 10 Summer Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a sixth consecutive summer mark-selective Chinook fishery (MSF) in Marine Area 10 from July 16 through August 19, 2012. Originally scheduled to close on August 31, 2012, the fishery was closed early on August 20, based on a joint decision between WDFW and the tribes, due to in-season total Chinook encounters estimates exceeding preseason modeled expectations. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented an intensive monitoring program in Area 10 throughout the season in order to collect the data needed to estimate key parameters characterizing the fishery and its impacts on unmarked salmon. Sampling activities included intensive dockside creel sampling, on-the-water effort surveys, test fishing and collection of voluntary trip reports (VTRs) from the angling public. Table 4.1 summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In this section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 10 summer mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 16 through August 19, 2012.

Table 4.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 10 summer markselective fishery monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal Parameter(s) | Secondary Parameter(s) | Sample <br> Unit(s) | Finest Estimation Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside Creel Sampling | Fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{1}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release. | One week | Within weeks, estimates were produced by day-type strata (weekday/weekend). Each week we sampled every Friday, Saturday and Sunday, and we randomly selected $n=2$ out of $N=4$ weekdays (MondayThursday) for sampling. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { On-the- } \\ & \text { water } \\ & \text { Surveys } \end{aligned}$ | Proportion of total angler effort that uses sample-frame sites (i.e., site "size measures") versus out-of-frame sites. | Total on-water boat and angler counts at assumed peak effort time interval (instantaneous count); spatial distribution of recreational fishing boats in the area. | Boats and anglers | Month | A total of 5 boat surveys ( 1 weekday and 4 weekend) were conducted during the 1.5 month fishery. |
| Test Fishing | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status composition (marked, unmarked) of encountered Chinook | Chinook length, age, and DNA-based ${ }^{2}$ stock composition; species composition of nonChinook encounters | Fish encounter | Season | Given sufficient sample size ( $\mathrm{n}=94$ ) of fish caught in the test fishery, we used the test fishery data only to estimate the size/mark-status proportions ( $\mathrm{LM}=28 \%$, $\mathrm{LU}=3 \%$, SM $=53 \%, \mathrm{SU}=16 \%$; Table 4.5) needed to produce encounter and mortality estimates. |
| Voluntary Trip Reports (VTRs) | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status composition (marked, unmarked) of encountered Chinook | Encounter data for nonChinook species (e.g., coho) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season | VTR data were not included in the proportions used to produce encounter and mortality estimates, as data quality is assumed to be higher and sample size was sufficient in test fishery data. |
| Overall <br> Fishery <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Total Chinook encounters and mortalities, by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook | N/A | Season | Estimated on a monthly time step but considered at the season-total level. |
| Coded-wire tag (CWT) Impacts Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season | The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

${ }^{1}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.
${ }^{2}$ Though samples were collected, DNA-based estimates of stock composition are not yet available for this fishery.

Table 4.2 Estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and releases) during the Area 10 markselective Chinook fishery from July 16 - August 19,2012 . Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Month | Stat Week | Start <br> Date | End Date | Estimated Effort |  | Est. Retained Chinook |  | Est. Released Chinook |  | Total Est. Chinook Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Boats | Anglers | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| Jul | 30 | 16-Jul | 22-Jul | 1,374 | 2,835 | 260 | 7 | 577 | 193 | 1,037 |
|  | 31 | 23-Jul | 29-Jul | 1,496 | 2,994 | 335 | 22 | 744 | 236 | 1,338 |
| Aug | 32 | 30-Jul | 5-Aug | 1,668 | 3,452 | 553 | 0 | 1,229 | 428 | 2,210 |
|  | 33 | 6-Aug | 12-Aug | 1,948 | 3,934 | 1,174 | 0 | 2,607 | 908 | 4,689 |
|  | 34 | 13-Aug | 19-Aug | 2,394 | 4,573 | 731 | 4 | 1,623 | 561 | 2,918 |
| Private Fleet Estimates: |  |  |  | 8,881 | 17,789 | 3,054 | 34 | 6,779 | 2,326 | 12,193 |
| Charter Fleet: |  |  |  | 7 | 34 | 10 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 19 |
| Area 10 Season Total Estimates: |  |  |  | 8,888 | 17,823 | 3,064 | 35 | 6,786 | 2,327 | 12,212 |
| Variance: Standard Error: CV (\%):$95 \% \text { CI: }$ |  |  |  | 98,698 | 375,588 | 38,163 | 103 | 2,440,866 | 389,784 | 4,776,918 |
|  |  |  |  | 314 | 613 | 195 | 10 | 1,562 | 624 | 2,186 |
|  |  |  |  | 4\% | 3\% | 6\% | 30\% | 23\% | 27\% | 18\% |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8,272- \\ & 9,504 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16,621- \\ 19,024 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2,681- \\ 3,447 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15- \\ 55 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,724- \\ 9,848 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,103- \\ & 3,550 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 7,928-16,495 |



Figure 4.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the Area 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 16 - August 19, 2012.


Figure 4.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook per angler trip) during the Area 10 markselective Chinook fishery from July 16 - August 19, 2012.


Figure 4.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (retained and released) during the Area 10 markselective Chinook fishery from July 16 - August 19, 2012.


Figure 4.4 Length-frequency distribution of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the Area 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 16 - August 19, 2012.

Table 4.3 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the Area 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 16 - August 19, 2012.

| Mark Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal-size | Sublegal-size | Total |
| Marked | 943 | 28 | 971 |
| Unmarked | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 7}$ |

Table 4.4 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the Area 10 markselective Chinook fishery from July 16 - August 19, 2012. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of recovered CWTs that belonged to double-index tag

| Release Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs <br> Recovered | Number DITs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| British Columbia$(5.7 \%)$ | Fraser River - <br> Thompson River (5.7\%) | Harrison River | Chehalis River Hatchery | 1 (1.4\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Chilliwack River | Chilliwack River Hatch | 3 (4.3\%) | 3 |
| Washington (94.3\%) | Hood Canal (11.4\%) | Purdy Creek 16.0005 | George Adams Hatch | 4 (5.7\%) | 4 |
|  |  | Finch Creek 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | 4 (5.7\%) | 0 |
|  | N Puget Sound (5.7\%) | Wallace River 07.0940 | Wallace Hatchery | 4 (5.7\%) | 1 |
|  | Skagit River (1.4\%) | Cascade River 03.1411 | Marblemount Hatchery | 1 (1.4\%) | 0 |
|  | Mid Puget Sound (50\%) | Gorst Creek 15.0216 | Gorst Cr Rearing Pond | 8 (11.4\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Voight Creek Tr 10.0428 | Voights Creek Hatchery | 7 (10\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Grovers Creek Hatchery | Grovers Creek Hatchery | 16 (22.9\%) | 16 |
|  |  | Big Soos Creek 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | 4 (5.7\%) | 4 |
|  | S Puget Sound (25.7\%) | Clear Creek 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | 8 (11.4\%) | 8 |
|  |  | Chambers Creek 12.0007 | Chambers Creek Hatchery | 2 (2.9\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Kalama Creek 11.0017 | Kalama Creek Hatchery | 2 (2.9\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Lakewood Hatchery | Lakewood Hatchery | 5 (7.1\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Minter Creek 15.0048 | Minter Creek Hatchery | 1 (1.4\%) | 0 |
|  |  |  | Total | 70 | 36 |

Table 4.5 Composition of test fishery Chinook encounters and associated mark-rate and size/mark-status proportion estimates for the Area 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 16 - August 19, 2012. AD = marked (adiposeclipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Stat <br> Week | Fishing Effort |  | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Days | Hours Fished | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 4 | 22.3 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 11 |
| $\mathbf{3 1}$ | 4 | 25.0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 10 |
| $\mathbf{3 2}$ | 5 | 30.2 | 7 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 21 |
| $\mathbf{3 3}$ | 4 | 25.1 | 6 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 18 |
| $\mathbf{3 4}$ | 5 | 29.6 | 3 | 1 | 23 | 6 | 33 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 3}$ |
| Size/mark-status composition: |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0.28(0.0022)$ |
| Legal size mark rate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall mark rate: | $0.90(0.0033)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0.81(0.0017)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 4.5 Length-frequency distributions of marked (left panel) and unmarked (right panel) Chinook encountered by test fishers during the Area 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 16 - August 19, 2012. The vertical dashed line in the left panel corresponds to the legal size limit ( 22 in or 56 cm ).

Table 4.6 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat and charter boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs) compared to test fishing encounter data, with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates during the Area 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 16-August 19, 2012. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Data Source | Effort and Sample Size | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Totals | Mark Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Charter VTR | 7 1-trip VTRs, 34 Angler Trips | 10 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 19 | 0.89 | 0.91 |
| Private VTR | 70 1-trip VTRs, 113 Angler Trips | 52 | 16 | 45 | 31 | 144 | 0.67 | 0.76 |
| Pooled VTR | $\begin{aligned} & 77 \text { Days, } 147 \\ & \text { Angler Trips } \end{aligned}$ | 62 | 17 | 52 | 32 | 163 | 0.70 | 0.78 |
| Size/mark-status composition: <br> Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.38 \\ (0.0015) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.10 \\ (0.0006) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.32 \\ (0.0013) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.20 \\ (0.0010) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |

In comparing size/mark-status proportions between Charter and Private VTR data, no significant differences were detected (Fisher Exact test; $p=0.249$ ), allowing the combination of the two datasets. Proportions from the resulting pooled VTR data were compared to test fishery proportions using a chi-square analysis. Results indicated a significant difference between the two data sets $\left(\chi^{2}=12.56, \mathrm{df}=3, p=0.006\right)$. Based on these results, and assuming higher data quality in test fishery data and sufficient sample size, we elected to use test fishery data only to estimate the size/mark-status proportions needed to produce Chinook encounter and mortality estimates for the Area 10 summer mark-selective fishery.

Table 4.7 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the Area 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 16 - August 19,2012 . Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | 95\% CI | CV <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 3,419 | 2,976 | 443 | 66 | 3,042 | 52,566 | 229 | $2593-3491$ | 8 |
| Legal UM | 394 | 17 | 377 | 57 | 74 | 1,291 | 36 | $6-58$ | 49 |
| Sublegal AD | 6,431 | 88 | 6,343 | 1,269 | 1,357 | 68,950 | 263 | $842-1871$ | 19 |
| Sublegal UM | 1,968 | 17 | 1,950 | 390 | 407 | 13,515 | 116 | $179-635$ | 29 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 , 2 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 0 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 1 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 8 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 6 , 3 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 5 6 - 5 6 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |

Table 4.8 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1512) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the Area 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 16 - August 19, 2012. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped) and $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Data Source | Group | Total Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | 3,066 | 1,171 | 1,895 | 82 |
|  | AD | 8,677 | 2,792 | 5,885 | 2,429 |
|  | Total | 11,743 | 3,963 | 7,780 | 2,511 |
|  | \% Marked | 74 | 71 | 76 | 97 |
| Estimated (Creel) <br> Encounters | UM | 2,362 | 394 | 1,968 | 34 |
|  | AD | 9,850 | 3,419 | 6,431 | 3,064 |
|  | Total | 12,212 | 3,813 | 8,399 | 3,098 |
|  | \% Marked | 81 | 90 | 77 | 99 |

Table 4.9 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1512) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the Area 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 16 - August 19, 2012. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped) and $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook Mortalities |  | Estimated Chinook Mortalities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | AD | Total | UM | AD | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 629 | 3,782 | 4,411 | 481 | 4,399 | 4,879 |
| Released Legal | 168 | 176 | 344 | 57 | 66 | 123 |
| Released Sublegal | 379 | 1,177 | 1,556 | 390 | 1,269 | 1,659 |
| Landed Only | 82 | 2,429 | 2,511 | 34 | 3,064 | 3,098 |



Figure 4.6 Comparison of modeled (using FRAM model run 1512) and estimated total Chinook encounters and mortalities for the Area 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 16 - August 19, 2012. Error bars represent approximate $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 4.10 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the Area 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 16 - August 19,2012 . $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Hatchery | Brood <br> Year | DITs <br> Obs'd | AD DIT Harvest |  | UM DIT <br> Enc. | UM DIT Mortality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}$ (Est.) |  | Est. | var(Est.) | SE(Est.) |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2007 | 2 | 6.3 | 13.7 | 6.3 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2008 | 1 | 3.2 | 6.8 | 3.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2009 | 5 | 15.8 | 34.1 | 15.9 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 1.3 |
| George Adams Hatchery | 2008 | 1 | 3.2 | 6.8 | 3.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| George Adams Hatchery | 2009 | 3 | 9.5 | 20.5 | 9.5 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.8 |
| Grovers Creek Hatchery | 2007 | 1 | 3.2 | 6.8 | 3.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Grovers Creek Hatchery | 2008 | 4 | 12.6 | 27.3 | 14.1 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 1.2 |
| Grovers Creek Hatchery | 2009 | 11 | 34.8 | 75.1 | 33.6 | 3.4 | 0.7 | 2.8 |
| Chilliwack River Hatchery | 2009 | 1 | 3.2 | 6.8 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Chilliwack River Hatchery | 2010 | 2 | 6.3 | 13.7 | 3.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Soos Creek Hatchery | 2008 | 1 | 3.2 | 6.8 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Soos Creek Hatchery | 2009 | 3 | 9.5 | 20.5 | 9.9 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.8 |
| Wallace River Hatchery | 2009 | 1 | 3.2 | 6.8 | 3.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Total |  | 36 | 113.8 | 245.8 | 109.9 | 11.0 | 2.3 | 9.1 |

Table 4.11 Monthly sample rates (Total retained Chinook sampled ${ }^{1}$ / Estimated retained Chinook) in the Area 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 16 - August 19, 2012.

| Time period |  |  | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook sampled |  |  | Sample Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Stat Weeks | Dates | AD | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total |  |
| July | 30-31 | 16 Jul - 29 Jul | 595 | 30 | 625 | 199 | 5 | 204 | 32.6\% |
| August | 32-34 | 30 Jul-19 Aug | 2459 | 4 | 2463 | 772 | 1 | 773 | 31.4\% |
| Season Total |  |  | 3054 | 34 | 3088 | 971 | 6 | 977 | 31.6\% |

[^4]Table 4.12 Fishery-total estimates of retained and released salmon (other than Chinook) in the Area 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 16 - August 19, 2012. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked, UK = unknown mark-status.

| Stat <br> Week | Start <br> Date | End <br> Date | Retained Salmon |  |  |  | Released Salmon |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coho } \\ \text { AD } \end{gathered}$ | Coho UM | Chum | Sockeye | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coho } \\ \text { AD } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coho } \\ \text { UM } \end{gathered}$ | Coho UK | Pink | Cutthroat Trout | Unk Salmon |
| 30 | 16-Jul | 22-Jul | 102 | 90 | 9 | 4 | 75 | 65 | 102 | 0 | 0 | 217 |
| 31 | 23-Jul | 29-Jul | 83 | 72 | 3 | 0 | 35 | 35 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 453 |
| 32 | 30-Jul | 5-Aug | 130 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 24 | 125 | 4 | 4 | 537 |
| 33 | 6-Aug | 12-Aug | 145 | 123 | 2 | 0 | 89 | 113 | 354 | 0 | 0 | 2603 |
| 34 | 13-Aug | 19-Aug | 232 | 250 | 4 | 0 | 80 | 78 | 229 | 0 | 2 | 2443 |
| Area 10 Season Total: |  |  | 692 | 594 | 18 | 4 | 305 | 314 | 842 | 4 | 6 | 6,253 |
| Variance: Standard Error: CV (\%) :95\% CI: |  |  | 3,001 | 1,687 | 56 | 13 | 2,138 | 1914 | 11281 | 11 | 11 | 328,612 |
|  |  |  | 55 | 41 | 7 | 4 | 46 | 44 | 106 | 3 | 3 | 573 |
|  |  |  | 8\% | 7\% | 41\% | 80\% | 15\% | 14\% | 13\% | 79\% | 52\% | 9\% |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 585- \\ 800 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 513- \\ 674 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 3-33 | 0-11 | 214-396 | 229-400 | $\begin{gathered} 634- \\ 1,051 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0-11 | 0-13 | $\begin{aligned} & 5,129- \\ & 7,377 \end{aligned}$ |

Table 4.13 Summary of the total number of anglers intercepted in Area 10 during on-the-water surveys conducted from July 16 - August 19, 2012. Sites in bold represent those included in the dockside sample frame.

| Site Name | Weekday Anglers | Season Total (unadjusted) Size Measure | Weekend Anglers | Season Total (unadjusted) Size Measure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Armeni Ramp | 36 | 0.222 | 120 | 0.152 |
| Ballard | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.001 |
| Bayside Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Blake Island Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Breakwater Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Brownsville | 2 | 0.012 | 42 | 0.053 |
| Canal Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 3 | 0.004 |
| Des Moines Marina | 2 | 0.012 | 9 | 0.011 |
| Eagle Harbor | 2 | 0.012 | 8 | 0.010 |
| Edmonds Dry Storage | 4 | 0.025 | 38 | 0.048 |
| Edmonds Guest Moorage | 2 | 0.012 | 12 | 0.015 |
| Edmonds Marina | 8 | 0.049 | 43 | 0.054 |
| Edmonds Sling | 0 | 0.000 | 36 | 0.046 |
| Elliot Bay Marine | 4 | 0.025 | 12 | 0.015 |
| Everett Ramp | 7 | 0.043 | 16 | 0.020 |
| Gasworks Park Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 4 | 0.005 |
| Gig Harbor Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Goldentides Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Harbor Island Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 4 | 0.005 |
| Harper Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 4 | 0.005 |
| Keyport | 3 | 0.019 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Kingston Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 15 | 0.019 |
| Kingston Moorage | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Kingston Ramp | 3 | 0.019 | 69 | 0.087 |
| Liberty Bay Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Manchester | 5 | 0.031 | 25 | 0.032 |
| Mukilteo Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 3 | 0.004 |
| Port Orchard Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Possession Park | 0 | 0.000 | 3 | 0.004 |
| Private | 13 | 0.080 | 15 | 0.019 |
| Redondo | 0 | 0.000 | 10 | 0.013 |
| Salmon Bay Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 3 | 0.004 |
| Shilshole Marina | 4 | 0.025 | 22 | 0.028 |
| Shilshole Ramp | 67 | 0.414 | 239 | 0.303 |
| Simpson Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.003 |
| South Park Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Suitser Spit | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Winslow Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 10 | 0.013 |
| Area 10 Total Anglers | 162 | 1.000 | 790 | 1.000 |

Table 4.14 Season-total estimates of Chinook encounters by size/mark-status and total estimates of angler effort, summarized for all seasons to date of the Area 10 summer mark-selective Chinook fishery. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Season Dates | Effort (Anglertrips) | Retained Chinook |  |  |  | Released Chinook |  |  |  | Total Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LM | LU | SM | SU | LM | LU | SM | SU |  |
| Jul 16 - Jul 28, 2007 | 8,374 | 1,469 | 30 | 70 | 8 | 209 | 497 | 3,101 | 723 | 6,107 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 15, 2008 | 13,808 | 1,027 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 128 | 510 | 189 | 385 | 2,246 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 31, 2009 | 23,179 | 1,505 | 22 | 116 | 0 | 220 | 82 | 2,488 | 1,017 | 5,450 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 31, 2010 | 21,636 | 2,950 | 33 | 37 | 9 | 432 | 1,026 | 1,024 | 1,665 | 7,178 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 31, 2011 | 27,753 | 2,548 | 14 | 94 | 14 | 372 | 1,872 | 964 | 694 | 6,573 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 19, 2012 | 17,823 | 2,976 | 17 | 88 | 17 | 443 | 377 | 6,343 | 1,950 | 12,212 |

## 5) Marine Area 11 Summer Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a sixth consecutive summer mark-selective Chinook fishery (MSF) in Marine Area 11 from June 1 through September 30, 2012. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented an intensive monitoring program in Area 11 throughout the season in order to collect the data needed to estimate key parameters characterizing the fishery and its impacts on unmarked salmon. Sampling activities included dockside creel sampling and collection of voluntary trip reports (VTRs) from the angling public. Table $\mathbf{5 . 1}$ summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In this section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 11 summer mark-selective Chinook fishery.

Table 5.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 11 winter markselective fishery monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal <br> Parameter(s) | Secondary <br> Parameter(s) | Sample <br> Unit(s) | Finest <br> Estimation <br> Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside <br> Creel <br> Sampling | Fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{1}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release | Two weeks | Creel estimates were produced for twoweek estimation periods and stratified into "weekday" (Mon.-Thurs.) and "weekend" (Fri.-Sun.) day-type strata within weeks. For the weekday stratum, we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=8$ available weekdays per two-week period. For the weekend stratum, we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=3$ available weekend days per week. |
| On-thewater Surveys | Proportion of total angler effort that uses sample-frame sites (i.e., site "size measures") versus out-of-frame sites. | Total on-water boat and angler counts at assumed peak effort time interval (instantaneous count); spatial distribution of recreational fishing boats in the area. | Boats and anglers | Month | A total of 8 boat surveys ( 5 weekday and 3 weekend) were conducted during the four month fishery. |
| Voluntary Trip Reports (VTRs) | Size <br> (legal/sublegal) and mark-status (marked/unmarked) composition of encountered Chinook | Encounter data for non-Chinook species (e.g., coho) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season | We used VTR data to estimate the size/mark-status proportions ( $\mathrm{LM}=46 \%$, $\mathrm{LU}=22 \%, \mathrm{SM}=22 \%, \mathrm{SU}=10 \%$; <br> Table 5.5) needed to produce encounter and mortality estimates. |
| Overall <br> Fishery <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Total Chinook encounters and mortalities, by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook | N/A | Season | Estimated on a monthly time step but considered at the season-total level. |
| Coded-wire tag (CWT) Impacts Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season | The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

${ }^{1}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.

Table 5.2 Estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and releases) during the Area 11 markselective Chinook fishery from June 1 - September 30, 2012. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. AD $=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Month | Stat Week | Start Date | End Date | Est. Effort |  | Est. Retained Chinook |  | Est. Released Chinook |  | Est. Total <br> Chinook <br> Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Boats | Anglers | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| Jun | 23 | 1-Jun | 3-Jun | 545 | 893 | 25 | 0 | 17 | 19 | 61 |
|  | 24 | 4-Jun | 10-Jun | 641 | 1,126 | 54 | 0 | 37 | 42 | 133 |
|  | 25 | 11-Jun | 17-Jun | 751 | 1,246 | 93 | 0 | 63 | 73 | 229 |
|  | 26 | 18-Jun | 24-Jun | 1,039 | 1,648 | 226 | 0 | 154 | 177 | 558 |
|  | 27 | 25-Jun | 1-Jul | 1,179 | 2,257 | 181 | 0 | 123 | 142 | 445 |
| Jul | 28 | 2-Jul | 8-Jul | 1,824 | 3,081 | 254 | 4 | 173 | 195 | 626 |
|  | 29 | 9-Jul | 15-Jul | 1,857 | 3,096 | 303 | 0 | 206 | 237 | 746 |
|  | 30 | 16-Jul | 22-Jul | 1,386 | 2,459 | 114 | 11 | 78 | 78 | 282 |
|  | 31 | 23-Jul | 29-Jul | 1,425 | 2,673 | 120 | 11 | 81 | 82 | 295 |
| Aug | 32 | 30-Jul | 5-Aug | 1,737 | 3,417 | 230 | 0 | 157 | 181 | 568 |
|  | 33 | 6-Aug | 12-Aug | 2,261 | 4,175 | 748 | 0 | 509 | 587 | 1,845 |
|  | 34 | 13-Aug | 19-Aug | 2,934 | 5,424 | 867 | 20 | 590 | 660 | 2,137 |
|  | 35 | 20-Aug | 26-Aug | 3,041 | 5,386 | 1,040 | 25 | 708 | 791 | 2,563 |
| Sept | 36 | 27-Aug | 2-Sep | 2,812 | 5,327 | 465 | 0 | 316 | 364 | 1,145 |
|  | 37 | 3-Sep | 9-Sep | 1,720 | 2,885 | 170 | 0 | 116 | 134 | 420 |
|  | 38 | 10-Sep | 16-Sep | 2,125 | 4,369 | 31 | 0 | 21 | 25 | 77 |
|  | 39 | 17-Sep | 23-Sep | 2,038 | 4,095 | 35 | 0 | 24 | 28 | 87 |
|  | 40 | 24-Sep | 30-Sep | 1,323 | 2,509 | 11 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 26 |
| Area 11 Season Total: |  |  |  | 30,638 | 56,065 | 4,966 | 72 | 3,381 | 3,822 | 12,240 |
| Variance: |  |  |  | 1,891,620 | 5,065,258 | 232,800 | 1,943 | 742,004 | 150,376 | 1,653,746 |
| SE:CV (\%): |  |  |  | 1,375 | 2,251 | 482 | 44 | 861 | 388 | 1,286 |
|  |  |  |  | 4\% | 4\% | 10\% | 62\% | 25\% | 10\% | 11\% |
| 95\% CI: |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 27,943- \\ 33,334 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 51,654- \\ 60,476 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,021- \\ 5,912 \end{gathered}$ | 0-158 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1,692- \\ & 5,069 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3,061- \\ & 4,582 \end{aligned}$ | 9,720-14,761 |



Figure 5.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the Area 11 mark-selective Chinook fishery from June 1 - September 30, 2012.


Figure 5.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook per angler trip) during the Area 11 markselective Chinook fishery from June 1 - September 30, 2012.


Figure 5.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (retained and released) during the Area 11 markselective Chinook fishery from June 1 - September 30, 2012.


Figure 5.4 Length-frequency distributions of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the Area 11 mark-selective Chinook fishery from June 1 - September 30, 2012.

Table 5.3 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the Area 11 mark-selective Chinook fishery from June 1 - September 30, 2012.

| Mark Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal-size | Sublegal-size | Total |
| Marked | 1148 | 17 | 1165 |
| Unmarked | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 7 0}$ |

Table 5.4 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the Area 11 markselective Chinook fishery from June 1 - September 30, 2012. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of tags that belonged to double-index tag groups.


Table 5.5 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs) during the Area 11 mark-selective Chinook fishery from June 1 - September 30, 2012, with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Data Source | Effort and Sample Size | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Totals | Mark Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Private VTR | 448 1-trip VTRs, 718 Angler Trips | 341 | 165 | 165 | 71 | 742 | 0.68 | 0.67 |
| Size/mark-status composition: Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.46 \\ (0.0003) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.22 \\ (0.0002) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.22 \\ (0.0002) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.10 \\ (0.0001) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |

Table 5.6 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the Area 11 mark-selective Chinook fishery from June 1 - September 30, 2012. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | 95\% CI | CV <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 5,625 | 4,894 | 731 | 110 | 5,004 | 240,427 | 490 | $4043-5965$ | 10 |
| Legal UM | 2,722 | 57 | 2,665 | 400 | 457 | 4,020 | 63 | $8-35$ | 14 |
| Sublegal AD | 2,722 | 72 | 2,649 | 530 | 602 | 5,020 | 71 | $463-741$ | 12 |
| Sublegal UM | 1,171 | 14 | 1,157 | 231 | 246 | 1,511 | 39 | $170-322$ | 16 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 , 2 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 0 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 2 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 3 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 0 , 9 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 2 7 - 7 2 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |

Table 5.7 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1512) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the Area 11 mark-selective Chinook fishery from June 1 - September 30, 2012. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Data Source | Group | Total Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | 5,182 | 1,362 | 3,820 | 41 |
|  | AD | 24,280 | 8,765 | 15,515 | 7,626 |
|  | Total | 29,462 | 10,127 | 19,335 | 7,667 |
|  | \% Marked | 82 | 87 | 80 | 100 |
| Estimated (Creel) <br> Encounters | UM | 3,893 | 2,722 | 1,171 | 72 |
|  | AD | 8,347 | 5,625 | 2,722 | 4,966 |
|  | Total | 12,240 | 8,347 | 3,893 | 5,038 |
|  | \% Marked | 68 | 67 | 70 | 99 |

Table 5.8 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1512) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the Area 11 mark-selective Chinook fishery from June 1 - September 30, 2012. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook Mortalities |  | Estimated Chinook Mortalities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | AD | Total | UM | AD | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 1,005 | 11,282 | 12,287 | 703 | 5,606 | 6,309 |
| Released Legal | 200 | 553 | 753 | 400 | 110 | 509 |
| Released Sublegal | 764 | 3,103 | 3,867 | 231 | 530 | 761 |
| Landed Only | 41 | 7,626 | 7,667 | 72 | 4,966 | 5,038 |



Figure 5.5 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1512) and estimated total Chinook encounters and mortalities for the Area 11 mark-selective Chinook fishery from June 1 - September 30, 2012. Error bars represent approximate $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 5.9 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the Area 11 mark-selective Chinook fishery from June 1 - September 30, 2012. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Hatchery | Brood <br> Year | DITs <br> Obs'd | AD DIT Harvest |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { UM } \\ & \text { DIT } \\ & \text { Enc. } \end{aligned}$ | UM DIT Mortality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}($ Est. $)$ |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}($ Est.) | SE(Est.) |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2008 | 1 | 4.3 | 14.2 | 4.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2009 | 11 | 43.1 | 142.3 | 47.5 | 8.6 | 15.7 | 7.6 |
| George Adams Hatchery | 2009 | 5 | 21.5 | 71.2 | 21.6 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 1.9 |
| Grovers Creek Hatchery | 2009 | 5 | 21.5 | 71.2 | 20.8 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 1.8 |
| Chilliwack River Hatchery | 2009 | 1 | 4.3 | 14.2 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Chilliwack River Hatchery | 2010 | 1 | 4.3 | 14.2 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Marblemount Hatchery | 2009 | 1 | 4.3 | 14.2 | 4.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| Soos Creek Hatchery | 2007 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Soos Creek Hatchery | 2009 | 5 | 21.5 | 71.2 | 22.6 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 2.0 |
| Spring Creek NFH | 2009 | 1 | 4.3 | 14.2 | 4.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| Wallace River Hatchery | 2009 | 1 | 4.3 | 14.2 | 4.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| Total |  | 33 | 133.5 | 441.3 | 134.0 | 17.3 | 18.5 | 15.1 |

Table 5.10 Monthly sample rates (Total retained Chinook sampled ${ }^{1}$ / Estimated retained Chinook) in the Area 11 mark-selective Chinook fishery from June 1 - September 30, 2012. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Time period |  |  | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook sampled |  |  | Sample Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Stat Weeks | Dates | AD | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total |  |
| June | 23-27 | 1 Jun-1 Jul | 578 | 0 | 578 | 150 | 0 | 150 | 25.9\% |
| July | 28-31 | 2 Jul - 29 Jul | 790 | 27 | 818 | 180 | 2 | 182 | 22.3\% |
| August | 32-35 | 30 Jul-26 Aug | 2886 | 44 | 2930 | 684 | 1 | 685 | 23.4\% |
| September | 36-40 | 27 Aug - 30 Sept | 712 | 0 | 712 | 151 | 2 | 153 | 21.5\% |
| Season Total |  |  | 4966 | 72 | 5038 | 1165 | 5 | 1170 | 23.2\% |

${ }^{1 /}$ Number of retained Chinook sampled includes all retained Chinook inspected for CWT's, from all sites sampled during the
summer 2012 Area 11 mark-selective Chinook fishery (creel estimates and the fish sampled as part of baseline sampling).

Table 5.11 Fishery-total estimates of retained and released salmon (other than Chinook) for the Area 11 mark-selective Chinook fishery from June 1 September 30, 2012. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked, UK = unknown mark-status. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Stat Week | Start <br> Date | End Date | Retained Salmon |  | Released Salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coho } \\ \text { AD } \end{gathered}$ | Coho UM | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coho } \\ \text { AD } \end{gathered}$ | Coho $\mathbf{U M}$ | Coho UK | Chum | Pink | Atlantic Salmon | Cutthroat Trout | Unknown Salmon |
| 23 | 1-Jun | 3-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 24 | 4-Jun | 10-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 25 | 11-Jun | 17-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | 18-Jun | 24-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 27 | 25-Jun | 1-Jul | 15 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 28 | 2-Jul | 8-Jul | 4 | 16 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 24 |
| 29 | 9-Jul | 15-Jul | 3 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 13 |
| 30 | 16-Jul | 22-Jul | 5 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 31 | 23-Jul | 29-Jul | 11 | 0 | 33 | 15 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 |
| 32 | 30-Jul | 5-Aug | 20 | 13 | 10 | 12 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 125 |
| 33 | 6-Aug | 12-Aug | 15 | 37 | 12 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 126 |
| 34 | 13-Aug | 19-Aug | 72 | 59 | 10 | 34 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 147 |
| 35 | 20-Aug | 26-Aug | 213 | 173 | 44 | 41 | 153 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 181 |
| 36 | 27-Aug | 2-Sep | 589 | 519 | 46 | 29 | 287 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 468 |
| 37 | 3-Sep | 9-Sep | 452 | 276 | 42 | 33 | 171 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 476 |
| 38 | 10-Sep | 16-Sep | 1,376 | 935 | 135 | 108 | 507 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1,095 |
| 39 | 17-Sep | 23-Sep | 685 | 421 | 178 | 160 | 496 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1,059 |
| 40 | 24-Sep | 30-Sep | 328 | 310 | 124 | 15 | 270 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 813 |
| Area 11 Season Total: |  |  | 3,789 | 2,772 | 637 | 489 | 2,101 | 7 | 4 | 20 | 239 | 4,612 |
| Variance: <br> Standard Error: CV (\%): 95\% CI: |  |  | 124,305 | 80,564 | 16,305 | 8,508 | 49,172 | 13 | 9 | 357 | 9,950 | 230,677 |
|  |  |  | 55 | 353 | 284 | 128 | 92 | 222 | 4 | 3 | 19 | 100 |
|  |  |  | 9\% | 10\% | 20\% | 19\% | 11\% | 50\% | 73\% | 95\% | 42\% | 10\% |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3,098- \\ & 4,480 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2,216- \\ 3,328 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 387- \\ 887 \end{gathered}$ | 308-670 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1,666- \\ & 2,536 \end{aligned}$ | 0-14 | 0-10 | 0-57 | 43-435 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3,671- \\ 5,554 \end{gathered}$ |

Table 5.12 Summary of the total number of anglers intercepted in Area 11 during on-the-water surveys conducted from June 1 - September 30, 2012. Sites in bold represent those included in the dockside sample frame.

| Site Name | Weekday Anglers | Season Total (unadjusted) Size Measure | Weekend Anglers | Season Total (unadjusted) Size Measure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Armeni Ramp | 38 | 0.052 | 39 | 0.046 |
| Bainbridge Island Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.002 |
| Blake Island | 2 | 0.003 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Breakwater Marina | 16 | 0.022 | 11 | 0.013 |
| Browns Point Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 8 | 0.009 |
| Brownsville Marina/Dock/Ramp | 2 | 0.003 | 6 | 0.007 |
| Chinook Landing Marina | 2 | 0.003 | 3 | 0.004 |
| Commencement Bay Marina Services | 22 | 0.030 | 28 | 0.033 |
| Day Island Marina | 1 | 0.001 | 1 | 0.001 |
| Des Moines Marina | 90 | 0.124 | 115 | 0.136 |
| Des Moines Marina (Slings) | 27 | 0.037 | 37 | 0.044 |
| Dockton Ramp | 11 | 0.015 | 10 | 0.012 |
| Eagle Harbor Marina | 4 | 0.006 | 4 | 0.005 |
| Elliot Bay Marina | 2 | 0.003 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Foss Harbor Marina | 3 | 0.004 | 6 | 0.007 |
| Fox Island Ramp | 1 | 0.001 | 2 | 0.002 |
| Gig Harbor Marina | 11 | 0.015 | 20 | 0.024 |
| Gig Harbor Ramp | 33 | 0.046 | 37 | 0.044 |
| Harsteine Ramp | 3 | 0.004 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Hilapost Boathouse | 0 | 0.000 | 3 | 0.004 |
| Horsehead Bay | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.002 |
| Hylebos Boat Haven | 4 | 0.006 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Jim Clark Marina | 1 | 0.001 | 1 | 0.001 |
| Kingston Marina | 4 | 0.006 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Manchester Ramp | 9 | 0.012 | 30 | 0.035 |
| Narrows Marina | 12 | 0.017 | 21 | 0.025 |
| Olalla Public Ramp | 7 | 0.010 | 4 | 0.005 |
| Ole \& Charlie's Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.001 |
| Point Defiance Boathouse | 96 | 0.133 | 42 | 0.050 |
| Point Defiance Public Ramp | 149 | 0.206 | 220 | 0.260 |
| Private | 31 | 0.043 | 30 | 0.035 |
| Puget Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 4 | 0.005 |
| Quartermaster Marina (Vashon Is) | 2 | 0.003 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Redondo Ramp | 104 | 0.144 | 136 | 0.161 |
| Shilshole Marina | 2 | 0.003 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Shilshole Ramp | 3 | 0.004 | 2 | 0.002 |
| Solo Point Ramp | 4 | 0.006 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Tacoma Outboard Association | 16 | 0.022 | 11 | 0.013 |
| Tacoma Yacht Club | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Tyee Marina/Ramp | 11 | 0.015 | 9 | 0.011 |
| Wollochet Bay Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.001 |
| Area 11 Total Anglers | 724 | 1.000 | 846 | 1.000 |

Table 5.13 Season-total estimates of Chinook encounters by size/mark-status and total estimates of angler effort, summarized for all seasons to date of the Area 11 summer mark-selective Chinook fishery. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Season Dates | Effort <br> (Angler-trips) | Retained Chinook |  |  |  | Released Chinook |  |  |  | Total Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LM | LU | SM | SU | LM | LU | SM | SU |  |
| Jun 1 - Sept 30, 2007 | 78,958 | 10,192 | 74 | 354 | 21 | 1,511 | 3,015 | 8,033 | 2,357 | 25,558 |
| Jun 1 - Sept 30, 2008 | 65,728 | 7,277 | 18 | 100 | 5 | 1,087 | 1,999 | 1,969 | 248 | 12,703 |
| Jun 1 - Sept 30, 2009 | 80,157 | 3,149 | 20 | 117 | 17 | 470 | 1,269 | 3,820 | 3,302 | 12,164 |
| Jun 1 - Sept 30, 2010 | 54,594 | 3,833 | 64 | 27 | 0 | 580 | 1,105 | 900 | 405 | 6,965 |
| Jun 1 - Sept 30, 2011 | 69,919 | 2,559 | 9 | 77 | 12 | 382 | 2,120 | 1,932 | 1,579 | 8,670 |
| Jun 1 - Sept 30, 2012 | 56,065 | 4,894 | 57 | 72 | 14 | 731 | 2,665 | 2,649 | 1,157 | 12,240 |

## 6) Marine Area 12 Summer Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a summer markselective Chinook fishery (MSF) in Marine Area 12 from July 1 through September 30, 2012 for the first time. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented a comprehensive sampling program consisting of dockside angler interviews with catch sampling ("Baseline Sampling" approach; see WDFW 2012a for details) along with efforts to distribute and collect voluntary trip reports (VTRs) from the angling public.

Baseline Sampling is opportunistic in nature, with overall sampling effort allocated across space and time in a manner that maximizes the number of angler interviews obtained per sample effort. Site visits ranged from short (e.g., "no effort" samples) to full-day (8+ hours) sampling events. When present, samplers interviewed all anglers exiting the Area 12 fishery at the selected access site. The interview and catch-sampling procedures employed in Area 12 were identical to those used in other mark-selective fisheries. In contrast to the intensive survey design (i.e., the "Murthy" design) employed in other areas, Area 12 sampling results could not be used to produce fishery-total estimates of effort, encounters (retained catch + releases), and unmarkedDIT Chinook impacts. It should be noted, however, that Area 12 baseline sampling observations will ultimately (one to two years from the close of the fishery) be combined with Catch Record Card (CRC) data to estimate catch and effort at the fishery-total level, by month. Thus, while these descriptors of MSF impacts are not presented in the present document, they will be available at a future time.

Table 6.1 summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In this section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 12 summer markselective Chinook fishery from July 1 through September 30, 2012, including relative catch and effort patterns over the three-month season based on the assumption that baseline-sampling observations of these parameters are good indicators of associated fishery-wide trends.

Table 6.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 12 mark-selective fishery monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal <br> Parameter(s) | Secondary Parameter(s) | Sample Unit(s) | Finest Estimation Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside <br> Angler <br> Interviews <br> (Baseline <br> Sampling) | Observed (insample) fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish. | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{1}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release | Week | Observed catch per angler trip and species composition data obtained from baseline sampling will ultimately be combined with Catch Record Card (CRC) data to produce fishery-total estimates at a later time (approximately one year following the fishery). |
| Voluntary Trip Reports (VTRs) | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status composition (marked, unmarked) of encountered Chinook | Encounter data for non-Chinook species (e.g., coho) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season | VTR data may be used in the estimation of total Chinook encounters by size/mark group $(\mathrm{LM}=100 \%, \mathrm{LU}=0 \%, \mathrm{SM}=$ $0 \%, \mathrm{SU}=0 \%$; Table 6.5) and associated impacts, once CRCbased retained Chinook estimates become available, using Conrad and McHugh's (2008) biascorrected method. |
| Overall <br> Fishery <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Total Chinook encounters and mortalities, by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook | N/A | Season | Will be estimated at a later date using the CRC-based retained Chinook estimate, when it becomes available. |
| Coded-wire <br> tag (CWT) <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season | Will be estimated at a later date using the CRC-based retained Chinook estimate, when it becomes available. The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

[^5]Table 6.2 Observations of fishing effort, salmon harvest, and reported salmon releases, by week, for the Area 12 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 1 September 30, 2012. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates. AD = marked (adiposeclipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked, UK = unknown mark status.

| Month | Stat Week | Effort |  | Retained Fish |  |  |  | Released Fish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Boats | Anglers | Chinook |  | Coho |  | Chinook |  |  | Coho |  |  | Chum | Trout General | Atlantic Salmon | Cutthroat Trout |
|  |  |  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM | AD | UM | UK | AD | UM | UK |  |  |  |  |
| Jul | 27 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 28 | 14 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 29 | 29 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 30 | 26 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
|  | 31 | 50 | 97 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Aug | 32 | 70 | 137 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 33 | 86 | 163 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 34 | 69 | 124 | 23 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  | 35 | 97 | 192 | 25 | 0 | 18 | 3 | 26 | 21 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| Sept | 36 | 96 | 170 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 25 | 1 | 42 | 9 | 4 | 11 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 8 |
|  | 37 | 42 | 62 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
|  | 38 | 28 | 44 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 35 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
|  | 39 | 17 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 40 | 9 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Area 12 Season Total: |  | 638 | 1177 | 122 | 1 | 35 | 18 | 79 | 79 | 97 | 20 | 9 | 33 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 78 |



Figure 6.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the Area 12 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 1 - September 30, 2012. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 6.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook per angler trip) during the Area 12 markselective Chinook fishery from July 1 - September 30, 2012. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 6.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (retained and released) during the Area 12 markselective Chinook fishery from July 1 - September 30, 2012. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 6.4 Length-frequency distributions of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the Area 12 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 1 - September 30, 2012.

Table 6.3 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the Area 12 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 1 - September 30, 2012.

| Mark Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal-size | Sublegal-size | Total |
| Marked | 108 | 1 | 109 |
| Unmarked | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ |

Table 6.4 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the Area 12 markselective Chinook fishery from July 1 - September 30, 2012. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of tags that belonged to double-index tag groups.

| Release Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs <br> Recovered | Number <br> DITs |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Washington $(100 \%)$ | Hood Canal (100\%) | Purdy Creek 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | $1(100 \%)$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 1 | 1 |

Table 6.5 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs) during the Area 12 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 1 - September 30, 2012, with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Data Source | Effort and Sample Size | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Totals | Mark Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Private VTR | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { 1-trip VTRs, } 2 \\ \text { Angler Trips } \end{gathered}$ | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Size/mark-status composition: <br> Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} 1.00 \\ (0.000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.00 \\ (0.000) \end{gathered}$ | 0.00 0.00 <br> $(0.000)$ $(0.000)$ |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.6 List of sites sampled with the number of sampling events (site-days) during the Area 12 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 1 - September 30, 2012

| Location | Number of Site-Days Sampled per Month |  |  | Total SiteDays | \% of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jul | Aug | Sept |  |  |
| Harbor Marina | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.6\% |
| Hood Canal Public Ramp (Tacoma Light) | 21 | 26 | 12 | 59 | 38.3\% |
| Misery Point Ramp | 2 | 2 | 11 | 15 | 9.7\% |
| General - Area 9 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1.9\% |
| Point Whitney Ramp | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.6\% |
| Quilcene Bay Ramp | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1.9\% |
| Salsbury County Park Ramp | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1.9\% |
| Tahuya Ramp | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.6\% |
| Twanoh State Park | 2 | 4 | 5 | 11 | 7.1\% |
| Union Ramp | 8 | 10 | 4 | 22 | 14.3\% |
| Hood Canal Marina (Union) | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2.6\% |
| Rest-A-While Resort | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2.6\% |
| Triton Cove | 2 | 5 | 4 | 11 | 7.1\% |
| Hoodsport Shore | 0 | 6 | 4 | 10 | 6.5\% |
| Lingerlonger Ramp | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.6\% |
| Big Beef Beach | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1.9\% |
| Dewatto Creek Watch | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.6\% |
| Lilliwaup Beach Launch | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.6\% |
| Grand Total | 37 | 62 | 55 | 154 | 100\% |

## 7) Marine Area 13 Summer Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a sixth consecutive summer mark-selective Chinook fishery (MSF) in Marine Area 13 from May 1 through September 30, 2012. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented a comprehensive sampling program consisting of dockside angler interviews with catch sampling ("Baseline Sampling" approach; see WDFW 2012a for details) along with efforts to distribute and collect voluntary trip reports (VTRs) from the angling public.

Baseline Sampling is opportunistic in nature, with overall sampling effort allocated across space and time in a manner that maximizes the number of angler interviews obtained per sample effort. Site visits ranged from short (e.g., "no effort" samples) to full-day (8+ hours) sampling events. When present, samplers interviewed all anglers exiting the Area 13 fishery at the selected access site. The interview and catch-sampling procedures employed in Area 13 were identical to those used in other mark-selective fisheries. In contrast to the intensive survey design (i.e., the "Murthy" design) employed in other areas, Area 13 sampling results could not be used to produce fishery-total estimates of effort, encounters (retained catch + releases), and unmarkedDIT Chinook impacts. It should be noted, however, that Area 13 baseline sampling observations will ultimately (one to two years from the close of the fishery) be combined with Catch Record Card (CRC) data to estimate catch and effort at the fishery-total level, by month. Thus, while these descriptors of MSF impacts are not presented in the present document, they will be available at a future time.

Table 7.1 summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In this section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 13 summer markselective Chinook fishery from May 1 through September 30, 2012, including relative catch and effort patterns over the three-month season based on the assumption that baseline-sampling observations of these parameters are good indicators of associated fishery-wide trends.

Table 7.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 13 mark-selective fishery monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal <br> Parameter(s) | Secondary <br> Parameter(s) | Sample Unit(s) | Finest Estimation Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside <br> Angler <br> Interviews <br> (Baseline <br> Sampling) | Observed (insample) fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish. | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{1}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release | Week | Observed catch per angler trip and species composition data obtained from baseline sampling will ultimately be combined with Catch Record Card (CRC) data to produce fishery-total estimates at a later time (approximately one year following the fishery). |
| Voluntary Trip Reports (VTRs) | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status composition (marked, unmarked) of encountered Chinook | Encounter data for non-Chinook species (e.g., coho) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season | VTR data may be used in the estimation of total Chinook encounters by size/mark group $(\mathrm{LM}=40, \mathrm{LU}=40 \%, \mathrm{SM}=$ $20 \%, \mathrm{SU}=0 \%$; Table 7.5) and associated impacts, once CRCbased retained Chinook estimates become available, using Conrad and McHugh's (2008) biascorrected method. |
| Overall <br> Fishery <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Total Chinook encounters and mortalities, by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook | N/A | Season | Will be estimated at a later date using the CRC-based retained Chinook estimate, when it becomes available. |
| Coded-wire <br> tag (CWT) <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season | Will be estimated at a later date using the CRC-based retained Chinook estimate, when it becomes available. The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

[^6]Table 7.2 Observations of fishing effort, salmon harvest, and reported salmon releases, by week, for the Area 13 mark-selective Chinook fishery from May 1 September 30, 2012. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates. AD = marked (adiposeclipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked, UK = unknown mark status.

| Month | Stat Week | Start <br> Date | End Date | Effort |  | Retained Fish |  |  |  | Released Fish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Boats | Anglers | Chinook |  | Coho |  | Chinook |  |  | Coho |  |  | Cutthroat Trout | Unknown Salmon |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM | AD | UM | UK | AD | UM | UK |  |  |
| May | 19 | 1-May | 6-May | 11 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 20 | 7-May | 13-May | 14 | 26 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 21 | 14-May | 20-May | 15 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 22 | 21-May | 27-May | 27 | 58 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jun | 23 | 28-May | 3-Jun | 6 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
|  | 24 | 4-Jun | 10-Jun | 9 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 |
|  | 25 | 11-Jun | 17-Jun | 5 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 26 | 18-Jun | 24-Jun | 11 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  | 27 | 25-Jun | 1-Jul | 11 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jul | 28 | 2-Jul | 8-Jul | 32 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 29 | 9-Jul | 15-Jul | 46 | 87 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 30 | 16-Jul | 22-Jul | 26 | 50 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 |
|  | 31 | 23-Jul | 29-Jul | 54 | 99 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Aug | 32 | 30-Jul | 5-Aug | 63 | 123 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
|  | 33 | 6-Aug | 12-Aug | 87 | 159 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
|  | 34 | 13-Aug | 19-Aug | 85 | 169 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 35 | 20-Aug | 26-Aug | 127 | 254 | 15 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Sept | 36 | 27-Aug | 2-Sep | 113 | 220 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 8 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
|  | 37 | 3-Sep | 9-Sep | 45 | 81 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 38 | 10-Sep | 16-Sep | 24 | 42 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 39 | 17-Sep | 23-Sep | 76 | 146 | 1 | 0 | 127 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 12 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
|  | 40 | 24-Sep | 30-Sep | 47 | 75 | 2 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 0 |
| Area 13 Season Total: |  |  |  | 934 | 1,787 | 77 | 0 | 156 | 5 | 27 | 43 | 56 | 9 | 18 | 7 | 55 | 31 |



Figure 7.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the Area 13 mark-selective Chinook fishery from May 1 - September 30, 2012. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 7.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook per angler trip) during the Area 13 markselective Chinook fishery from May 1 - September 30, 2012. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 7.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (retained and released) during the Area 13 markselective Chinook fishery from May 1 - September 30, 2012. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 7.4 Length-frequency distributions of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the Area 13 mark-selective Chinook fishery from May 1 - September 30, 2012.

Table 7.3 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the Area 13 mark-selective Chinook fishery from May 1 - September 30, 2012.

| Mark Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal-size | Sublegal-size | Total |
| Marked | 71 | 2 | 73 |
| Unmarked | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{7 3}$ |

Table 7.4 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the Area 13 markselective Chinook fishery from May 1 - September 30, 2012. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of tags that belonged to double-index tag groups.

| Release <br> Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs <br> Recovered | Number <br> DITs |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Washington <br> $(100 \%)$ | Hood Canal <br> $(40 \%)$ | Mid Puget Sound <br> $(20 \%)$ | Finch Creek 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | $1(20 \%)$ |
|  | Gurst Creek 15.0216 | 0 |  |  |  |
|  | S Puget Sound <br> $(40 \%)$ | Chambers Creek <br> 12.0007 | Kalama Creek <br> 11.0017 | Chambers Creek Hatchery | $1(20 \%)$ |

Table 7.5 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs) during the Area 13 mark-selective Chinook fishery from May 1 - September 30, 2012, with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Data Source | Effort and Sample Size | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Totals | Mark Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Private VTR | $\begin{gathered} 3 \text { 1-trip VTRs, } 5 \\ \text { Angler Trips } \end{gathered}$ | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0.60 | 0.50 |
| Size/mark-status composition: |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.40 \\ (0.0600) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.40 \\ (\mathbf{0 . 0 6 0 0}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.20 \\ (\mathbf{0 . 0 4 0 0}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.00 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |

Table 7.6 List of sites sampled with the number of sampling events (site-days) during the Area 13 mark-selective Chinook fishery from May 1 - September 30, 2012

| Location | Number of Site-Days Sampled per Month |  |  |  |  | Total SiteDays | \% of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sept |  |  |
| Allyn Public Ramp | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 1.4\% |
| Arcadia Ramp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1.2\% |
| Boston Harbor Ramp/Marina | 8 | 12 | 13 | 22 | 21 | 76 | 17.7\% |
| Concrete Dock | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.2\% |
| Fox Island Public Ramp | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 1.4\% |
| General - Area 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.2\% |
| Gig Harbor Ramp | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0.7\% |
| Grapeview Public Ramp | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.5\% |
| Hartstene Is. Ramp | 1 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 10 | 19 | 4.4\% |
| Longbranch Public Ramp | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.5\% |
| Luhr Beach Ramp | 12 | 9 | 16 | 15 | 7 | 59 | 13.8\% |
| Narrows Marina (Boathouse; Ramp; Rental) | 18 | 17 | 14 | 18 | 11 | 78 | 18.2\% |
| East Bay Marina/Ramp (Oly. Isle) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.2\% |
| Point Defiance Boathouse | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0.9\% |
| Point Defiance Public Ramp | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 17 | 4.0\% |
| Silverdale Waterfront Ramp | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.2\% |
| Solo Point (Tatsolo Pt-Ft Lewis) Rm | 5 | 11 | 12 | 14 | 10 | 52 | 12.1\% |
| Steilacoom Public Ramp | 5 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 14 | 3.3\% |
| Vaughn Public Ramp | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 1.4\% |
| Wauna Ramp | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0.5\% |
| Wollochet Bay Public Ramp | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 1.2\% |
| Zittels Marina | 9 | 9 | 16 | 11 | 10 | 55 | 12.8\% |
| Home Public Ramp | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.2\% |
| Solo Point Shore | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.2\% |
| John's Creek | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.2\% |
| Narrows Properties Park | 4 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 1.9\% |
| Landover | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.5\% |
| Dupont Shore | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.2\% |
| Grand Total | 76 | 84 | 90 | 99 | 80 | 429 | 100\% |
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## APPENDICES

Appendix A. 1 Size measures by sample date, for sites sampled during dockside creel surveys in the Area 5 markselective Chinook fishery from July 1 through August 15, 2012.

| Sample Date | Week | Site Size | Location | Sample Date | Week | Site <br> Size | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7/1/2012 | 27 | 0.2202 | Olsons East Docks | 7/25/2012 | 31 | 0.3034 | Olsons Ramp |
| 7/1/2012 | 27 | 0.3589 | Olsons Ramp | 7/25/2012 | 31 | 0.1834 | Olsons East Docks |
| 7/5/2012 | 28 | 0.3034 | Olsons Ramp | 7/27/2012 | 31 | 0.3589 | Olsons Ramp |
| 7/5/2012 | 28 | 0.1164 | Van Riper's North | 7/27/2012 | 31 | 0.1941 | Van Riper's South |
| 7/6/2012 | 28 | 0.3589 | Olsons Ramp | 7/29/2012 | 31 | 0.3589 | Olsons Ramp |
| 7/6/2012 | 28 | 0.2202 | Olsons East Docks | 7/29/2012 | 31 | 0.2202 | Olsons East Docks |
| 7/7/2012 | 28 | 0.2202 | Olsons East Docks | 7/30/2012 | 32 | 0.1834 | Olsons East Docks |
| 7/7/2012 | 28 | 0.1941 | Van Riper's South | 7/30/2012 | 32 | 0.2328 | Van Riper's South |
| 7/9/2012 | 29 | 0.3034 | Olsons Ramp | 8/3/2012 | 32 | 0.3968 | Olsons Ramp |
| 7/9/2012 | 29 | 0.1164 | Van Riper's North | 8/3/2012 | 32 | 0.176 | Olsons West Docks |
| 7/14/2012 | 29 | 0.2202 | Olsons East Docks | 8/5/2012 | 32 | 0.3968 | Olsons Ramp |
| 7/14/2012 | 29 | 0.0865 | Olsons West Docks | 8/5/2012 | 32 | 0.1573 | Van Riper's South |
| 7/15/2012 | 29 | 0.3589 | Olsons Ramp | 8/9/2012 | 33 | 0.1745 | Van Riper's North |
| 7/15/2012 | 29 | 0.2202 | Olsons East Docks | 8/9/2012 | 33 | 0.3108 | Olsons Ramp |
| 7/16/2012 | 30 | 0.3034 | Olsons Ramp | 8/10/2012 | 33 | 0.0779 | Olsons East Docks |
| 7/16/2012 | 30 | 0.1834 | Olsons East Docks | 8/10/2012 | 33 | 0.3968 | Olsons Ramp |
| 7/20/2012 | 30 | 0.3589 | Olsons Ramp | 8/11/2012 | 33 | 0.1573 | Van Riper's South |
| 7/20/2012 | 30 | 0.1941 | Van Riper's South | 8/11/2012 | 33 | 0.3968 | Olsons Ramp |
| 7/21/2012 | 30 | 0.2202 | Olsons East Docks | 8/15/2012 | 34 | 0.3108 | Olsons (Combined) |
| 7/21/2012 | 30 | 0.3589 | Olsons Ramp | 8/15/2012 | 34 | 0.1745 | Van Ripers Resort |

Appendix A. 2 Size measures by sample date, for sites sampled during dockside creel surveys in the Area 9 markselective Chinook fishery from July 16 through August 19, 2012.

| Sample <br> Date | Week | Site Size | Location | Sample <br> Date | Week | Site <br> Size | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7/16/2012 | 30 | 0.163 | Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp | 8/3/2012 | 32 | 0.0701 | Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp |
| 7/16/2012 | 30 | 0.4165 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 8/4/2012 | 32 | 0.4946 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 7/17/2012 | 30 | 0.1025 | Kingston Public Ramp | 8/4/2012 | 32 | 0.0701 | Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp |
| 7/17/2012 | 30 | 0.4165 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 8/5/2012 | 32 | 0.1367 | Fort Casey Public Ramp (Keystone) |
| 7/20/2012 | 30 | 0.4088 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 8/5/2012 | 32 | 0.4946 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 7/20/2012 | 30 | 0.0777 | Kingston Public Ramp | 8/7/2012 | 33 | 0.1544 | Mukiteo State Park Public Ramp |
| 7/21/2012 | 30 | 0.1553 | Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp | 8/7/2012 | 33 | 0.1468 | Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp |
| 7/21/2012 | 30 | 0.4088 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 8/9/2012 | 33 | 0.4271 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 7/22/2012 | 30 | 0.0777 | Kingston Public Ramp | 8/9/2012 | 33 | 0.1231 | Fort Casey Public Ramp (Keystone) |
| 7/22/2012 | 30 | 0.4088 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 8/10/2012 | 33 | 0.089 | Kingston Public Ramp |
| 7/24/2012 | 31 | 0.4165 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 8/10/2012 | 33 | 0.152 | Mukiteo State Park Public Ramp |
| 7/24/2012 | 31 | 0.163 | Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp | 8/11/2012 | 33 | 0.4946 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 7/25/2012 | 31 | 0.163 | Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp | 8/11/2012 | 33 | 0.0701 | Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp |
| 7/25/2012 | 31 | 0.4165 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 8/12/2012 | 33 | 0.4946 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 7/27/2012 | 31 | 0.4088 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 8/12/2012 | 33 | 0.089 | Kingston Public Ramp |
| 7/27/2012 | 31 | 0.1553 | Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp | 8/13/2012 | 34 | 0.4271 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 7/28/2012 | 31 | 0.4088 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 8/13/2012 | 34 | 0.1468 | Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp |
| 7/28/2012 | 31 | 0.1553 | Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp | 8/16/2012 | 34 | 0.1468 | Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp |
| 7/29/2012 | 31 | 0.1559 | Fort Casey Public Ramp (Keystone) | 8/16/2012 | 34 | 0.4271 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 7/29/2012 | 31 | 0.1505 | Mukiteo State Park Public Ramp | 8/17/2012 | 34 | 0.1367 | Fort Casey Public Ramp (Keystone) |
| 7/30/2012 | 32 | 0.163 | Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp | 8/17/2012 | 34 | 0.4946 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 7/30/2012 | 32 | 0.4165 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 8/18/2012 | 34 | 0.0701 | Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp |
| 8/2/2012 | 32 | 0.4271 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 8/18/2012 | 34 | 0.4946 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 8/2/2012 | 32 | 0.089 | Kingston Public Ramp | 8/19/2012 | 34 | 0.089 | Kingston Public Ramp |
| 8/3/2012 | 32 | 0.4946 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 8/19/2012 | 34 | 0.152 | Mukiteo State Park Public Ramp |

Appendix A. 3 Size measures by sample date, for sites sampled during dockside creel surveys in the Area 10 markselective Chinook fishery from July 16 through August 19, 2012.

| Sample <br> Date | Week | Site <br> Size | Location | Sample <br> Date | Week | Site <br> Size | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $7 / 16 / 2012$ | 30 | 0.4388 | Shilshole Public Ramp | $8 / 3 / 2012$ | 32 | 0.4356 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| $7 / 16 / 2012$ | 30 | 0.1293 | Manchester Public Ramp | $8 / 4 / 2012$ | 32 | 0.0808 | Manchester Public Ramp |
| $7 / 17 / 2012$ | 30 | 0.4388 | Shilshole Public Ramp | $8 / 4 / 2012$ | 32 | 0.4356 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| $7 / 17 / 2012$ | 30 | 0.2024 | Kingston Public Ramp | $8 / 5 / 2012$ | 32 | 0.4356 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| $7 / 20 / 2012$ | 30 | 0.1432 | Kingston Public Ramp | $8 / 5 / 2012$ | 32 | 0.1115 | Kingston Public Ramp |
| $7 / 20 / 2012$ | 30 | 0.4146 | Shilshole Public Ramp | $8 / 7 / 2012$ | 33 | 0.2566 | Armeni Public Ramp |
| $7 / 21 / 2012$ | 30 | 0.2637 | Armeni Public Ramp | $8 / 7 / 2012$ | 33 | 0.0713 | Brownsville <br> Marina/Dock/Ramp |
| $7 / 21 / 2012$ | 30 | 0.4146 | Shilshole Public Ramp | $8 / 9 / 2012$ | 33 | 0.5056 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| $7 / 22 / 2012$ | 30 | 0.1432 | Kingston Public Ramp | $8 / 9 / 2012$ | 33 | 0.2566 | Armeni Public Ramp |
| $7 / 22 / 2012$ | 30 | 0.4146 | Shilshole Public Ramp | $8 / 10 / 2012$ | 33 | 0.1115 | Kingston Public Ramp |
| $7 / 24 / 2012$ | 31 | 0.4388 | Shilshole Public Ramp | $8 / 10 / 2012$ | 33 | 0.4356 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| $7 / 24 / 2012$ | 31 | 0.1293 | Manchester Public Ramp | $8 / 11 / 2012$ | 33 | 0.2996 | Armeni Public Ramp |
| $7 / 2 / 2012$ | 32 | 0.2566 | Armeni Public Ramp | $8 / 19 / 2012$ | 34 | 0.4356 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| $7 / 25 / 2012$ | 31 | 0.1429 | Armeni Public Ramp | $8 / 11 / 2012$ | 33 | 0.4356 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| $7 / 25 / 2012$ | 31 | 0.4388 | Shilshole Public Ramp | $8 / 12 / 2012$ | 33 | 0.4356 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| $7 / 27 / 2012$ | 31 | 0.4146 | Shilshole Public Ramp | $8 / 12 / 2012$ | 33 | 0.2996 | Armeni Public Ramp |
| $7 / 28 / 2012$ | 312012 | 31 | 0.0857 | Manchester Public Ramp | $8 / 13 / 2012$ | 34 | 0.2566 | Armeni Public Ramp | Armeni Public Ramp |
| :--- |

Appendix A. 4 Size measures by sample date, for sites sampled during dockside creel surveys in the Area 11 markselective Chinook fishery from June 1 through September 30, 2012.

| Sample <br> Date | Week | Site <br> Size | Location | Sample <br> Date | Week | Site <br> Size | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $6 / 1 / 2012$ | 23 | 0.1415 | Point Defiance Boathouse | $6 / 30 / 2012$ | 27 | 0.4579 | Point Defiance Public <br> Ramp |
| $6 / 1 / 2012$ | 23 | 0.4579 | Point Defiance Public <br> Ramp | $7 / 1 / 2012$ | 27 | 0.4307 | Point Defiance Public <br> Ramp |
| $6 / 3 / 2012$ | 23 | 0.4579 | Point Defiance Public <br> Ramp | $7 / 1 / 2012$ | 27 | 0.0602 | Armeni Public Ramp |


| Sample Date | Week | Site <br> Size | Location | Sample Date | Week | Site Size | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7/29/2012 | 31 | 0.0602 | Armeni Public Ramp | 8/30/2012 | 36 | 0.4027 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 7/29/2012 | 31 | 0.4307 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 8/30/2012 | 36 | 0.1462 | Point Defiance Boathouse |
| 7/30/2012 | 32 | 0.5051 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 9/1/2012 | 36 | 0.4301 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 7/30/2012 | 32 | 0.1361 | Redondo Ramp | 9/1/2012 | 36 | 0.1096 | Gig Harbor Ramp |
| 8/3/2012 | 32 | 0.2336 | Redondo Ramp | 9/2/2012 | 36 | 0.0909 | Point Defiance Boathouse |
| 8/3/2012 | 32 | 0.4917 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 9/2/2012 | 36 | 0.4301 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 8/5/2012 | 32 | 0.4917 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 9/6/2012 | 37 | 0.3035 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 8/5/2012 | 32 | 0.2336 | Redondo Ramp | 9/6/2012 | 37 | 0.2962 | Redondo Ramp |
| 8/9/2012 | 33 | 0.4027 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 9/7/2012 | 37 | 0.4301 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 8/9/2012 | 33 | 0.2114 | Redondo Ramp | 9/7/2012 | 37 | 0.1096 | Gig Harbor Ramp |
| 8/10/2012 | 33 | 0.4917 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 9/9/2012 | 37 | 0.4301 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 8/10/2012 | 33 | 0.1078 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 9/9/2012 | 37 | 0.0909 | Point Defiance Boathouse |
| 8/11/2012 | 33 | 0.0793 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 9/10/2012 | 38 | 0.3035 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 8/11/2012 | 33 | 0.2336 | Redondo Ramp | 9/10/2012 | 38 | 0.2962 | Redondo Ramp |
| 8/16/2012 | 34 | 0.4027 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 9/15/2012 | 38 | 0.4301 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 8/16/2012 | 34 | 0.1462 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 9/15/2012 | 38 | 0.3135 | Redondo Ramp |
| 8/18/2012 | 34 | 0.2336 | Redondo Ramp | 9/16/2012 | 38 | 0.3135 | Redondo Ramp |
| 8/18/2012 | 34 | 0.4917 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 9/16/2012 | 38 | 0.4301 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 8/19/2012 | 34 | 0.1078 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 9/19/2012 | 39 | 0.1207 | Gig Harbor Ramp |
| 8/19/2012 | 34 | 0.4917 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 9/19/2012 | 39 | 0.3035 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 8/21/2012 | 35 | 0.1462 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 9/22/2012 | 39 | 0.4301 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 8/21/2012 | 35 | 0.4027 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 9/22/2012 | 39 | 0.3135 | Redondo Ramp |
| 8/24/2012 | 35 | 0.4917 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 9/23/2012 | 39 | 0.4301 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 8/24/2012 | 35 | 0.1078 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 9/23/2012 | 39 | 0.1096 | Gig Harbor Ramp |
| 8/26/2012 | 35 | 0.4917 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 9/25/2012 | 40 | 0.3035 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 8/26/2012 | 35 | 0.2336 | Redondo Ramp | 9/25/2012 | 40 | 0.2962 | Redondo Ramp |


| Sample <br> Date | Week | Site <br> Size | Location | Sample <br> Date | Week | Site <br> Size | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $9 / 28 / 2012$ | 40 | 0.4301 | Point Defiance Public <br> Ramp | $9 / 29 / 2012$ | 40 | 0.1096 | Gig Harbor Ramp |
| $9 / 28 / 2012$ | 40 | 0.3135 | Redondo Ramp | $9 / 29 / 2012$ | 40 | 0.4301 | Point Defiance Public <br> Ramp |

Appendix B. 1 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the summer Area 5 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 1-August 15, 2012.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \text { FL } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 7/7/2012 | 180898 | 2009 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO | 180,895,180,896 | 63 | 45360 | AD |
| 5 | 7/7/2012 | 635166 | 2008 | LYONS FERRY REL.SITE | LYONS FERRY H | WDFW |  | 60 | 45361 | AD |
| 5 | 7/8/2012 | 635369 | 2009 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 63 | 45363 | AD |
| 5 | 7/12/2012 | 220303 | 2008 | BIG CANYON ACCL PND | LYONS FERRY H | NEZP |  | 69 | 45365 | AD |
| 5 | 7/13/2012 | 635180 | 2009 | LYONS FERRY REL.SITE | LYONS FERRY H | WDFW |  | 66 | 45367 | AD |
| 5 | 7/15/2012 | 90331 | 2009 | SNAKE R-1 (HELLS CAN | UMATILLA H | ODFW |  | 63 | 45368 | AD |
| 5 | 7/15/2012 | 180898 | 2009 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO | 180,895,180,896 | 62 | 45369 | AD |
| 5 | 7/21/2012 | 220316 | 2009 | SNAKE R@PITT. LNDG | LYONS FERRY H | NEZP |  | 51 | 45377 | AD |
| 5 | 7/26/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 69 | 45386 | AD |
| 5 | 7/25/2012 | 635469 | 2009 | EAST SOUND BAY (SAN) | GLENWOOD SPRINGS | COOP |  | 69 | 45390 | AD |
| 5 | 8/11/2012 | 635369 | 2009 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 55 | 65207 | AD |
| 5 | 8/12/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 61 | 65210 | AD |
| 5 | 8/12/2012 | 635086 | 2009 | CHAMBERS CR 12.0007 | CHAMBERS CR H | WDFW |  | 56 | 65211 | AD |
| 5 | 8/12/2012 | 90385 | 2009 | WILLAMETTE R M FK-1 | DEXTER PONDS | ODFW |  | 60 | 65213 | AD |
| 5 | 8/4/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 54 | 65272 | AD |
| 5 | 7/27/2012 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 77 | 65302 | AD |
| 5 | 7/28/2012 | 635564 | 2009 | SNAKE L.MON-LTL GOOS | LYONS FERRY H | WDFW |  | 54 | 65303 | AD |
| 5 | 8/2/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 73 | 65306 | AD |
| 5 | 8/8/2012 | 635578 | 2009 | WENATCHEE R 45.0030 | DRYDEN POND | WDFW |  | 55 | 65308 | AD |
| 5 | 8/8/2012 | 635297 | 2009 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK H | WDFW | 635298 | 54 | 65313 | AD |
| 5 | 8/8/2012 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 76 | 65315 | AD |
| 5 | 8/8/2012 | 185957 | 2008 | R-BIG QUALICUM R | BIG QUALICUM RIVER H | CDFO |  | 81 | 65316 | AD |
| 5 | 8/8/2012 | 634295 | 2009 | COWLITZ R 26.0002 | COWLITZ SALMON H | WDFW |  | 57 | 65318 | AD |
| 5 | 8/10/2012 | 634481 | 2007 | KLICKITAT H (YKFP) | KLICKITAT H (YKFP) | YAKA |  | 91 | 65322 | AD |
| 5 | 8/12/2012 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 68 | 65327 | AD |
| 5 | 8/12/2012 | 180896 | 2009 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO | 180,895,180,898 | 60 | 65328 | AD |
| 5 | 8/2/2012 | 634873 | 2008 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 634872 | 67 | 65336 | AD |
| 5 | 8/2/2012 | 68714 | 2009 | IRON GATE H | IRON GATE H | CDFG |  | 56 | 65337 | AD |
| 5 | 8/2/2012 | 180898 | 2009 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO | 180,895,180,896 | 61 | 65338 | AD |
| 5 | 8/5/2012 | 220303 | 2008 | BIG CANYON ACCL PND | LYONS FERRY H | NEZP |  | 62 | 65342 | AD |
| 5 | 8/8/2012 | 210907 | 2009 | HOKO R 19.0148 | HOKO FALLS H | MAKA |  | 67 | 65343 | AD |
| 5 | 8/10/2012 | 210860 | 2008 | KALAMA CR 11.0017 | KALAMA CR H | NISQ |  | 77 | 65345 | AD |
| 5 | 8/11/2012 | 180896 | 2009 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO | 180,895,180,898 | 65 | 65348 | AD |
| 5 | 8/15/2012 | 210786 | 2007 | HOKO R @ RM 10 | HOKO FALLS H | MAKA |  | 89 | 65350 | AD |
| 5 | 8/15/2012 | 181592 | 2010 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO | 181,679,181,584,181 | 51 | 65351 | AD |
| 5 | 8/15/2012 | 634278 | 2008 | CHAMBERS CR 12.0007 | GARRISON H | WDFW |  | 77 | 65352 | AD |
| 5 | 7/29/2012 | 68641 | 2009 | SAN JOAQ SHRM ISL NET | MOK R FISH INS | CDFG |  | 58 | 65364 | AD |
| 5 | 7/29/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 68 | 65365 | AD |


| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release <br> Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{FL} \\ (\mathrm{~cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 7/30/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 67 | 65367 | AD |
| 5 | 7/4/2012 | 210822 | 2008 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 634796 | 70 | 65369 | AD |
| 5 | 8/15/2012 | 635280 | 2009 | COLUMBIA NEAR WELLS | WELLS H | WDFW |  | 54 | 65384 | AD |
| 5 | 8/11/2012 | 635285 | 2009 | SAMISH R 03.0005 | SAMISH H | WDFW | 635284 | 53 | 65385 | AD |
| 5 | 8/11/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 52 | 65387 | AD |
| 5 | 8/5/2012 | 180994 | 2009 | R-HARRISON R | H-CHEHALIS RIVER H | CDFO |  | 52 | 65392 | AD |
| 5 | 8/4/2012 | 180994 | 2009 | R-HARRISON R | H-CHEHALIS RIVER H | CDFO |  | 55 | 65393 | AD |
| 5 | 8/4/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 66 | 65395 | AD |
| 5 | 8/5/2012 | 634873 | 2008 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 634872 | 73 | 65396 | AD |
| 5 | 8/5/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 65 | 65397 | AD |
| 5 | 7/28/2012 | 635288 | 2009 | VOIGHT CR TR 10.0428 | VOIGHTS CR H | WDFW |  | 53 | 65401 | AD |
| 5 | 7/28/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 74 | 65402 | AD |
| 5 | 8/1/2012 | 634873 | 2008 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 634872 | 73 | 65404 | AD |
| 5 | 8/11/2012 | 635469 | 2009 | EAST SOUND BAY (SAN) | GLENWOOD SPRINGS | COOP |  | 66 | 65408 | AD |
| 5 | 7/26/2012 | 180896 | 2009 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO | 180,895,180,898 | 61 | 65451 | AD |
| 5 | 7/28/2012 | 635297 | 2009 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK H | WDFW | 635298 | 53 | 65454 | AD |
| 5 | 7/28/2012 | 180484 | 2008 | R-HARRISON R | H-CHEHALIS RIVER H | CDFO |  | 73 | 65455 | AD |
| 5 | 7/29/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 69 | 65456 | AD |
| 5 | 7/29/2012 | 210915 | 2009 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 53 | 65457 | AD |
| 5 | 8/4/2012 | 635086 | 2009 | CHAMBERS CR 12.0007 | CHAMBERS CR H | WDFW |  | 71 | 65459 | AD |
| 5 | 8/4/2012 | 180994 | 2009 | R-HARRISON R | H-CHEHALIS RIVER H | CDFO |  | 54 | 65460 | AD |
| 5 | 8/5/2012 | 635364 | 2009 | COLUMBIA NEAR WELLS | WELLS H | WDFW |  | 54 | 65463 | AD |
| 5 | 8/5/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 64 | 65464 | AD |
| 5 | 8/9/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 66 | 65465 | AD |
| 5 | 8/11/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 62 | 65469 | AD |
| 5 | 8/12/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 66 | 65470 | AD |
| 5 | 7/12/2012 | 634875 | 2008 | SIMILKAMEEN R 490325 | SIMILKAMEEN H | WDFW |  | 75 | 65483 | AD |
| 5 | 7/12/2012 | 635469 | 2009 | EAST SOUND BAY (SAN) | GLENWOOD SPRINGS | COOP |  | 67 | 65487 | AD |
| 5 | 7/1/2012 | 180493 | 2009 | R-COWICHAN R | H-COWICHAN RIVER H | CDFO |  | 52 | 65551 | AD |
| 5 | 7/1/2012 | 634875 | 2008 | SIMILKAMEEN R 490325 | SIMILKAMEEN H | WDFW |  | 71 | 65553 | AD |
| 5 | 7/1/2012 | 634844 | 2008 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R H | WDFW | 634845 | 65 | 65554 | AD |
| 5 | 7/5/2012 | 90270 | 2008 | CEDAR CR \#1 (SANDY R | SANDY H | ODFW |  | 75 | 65560 | AD |
| 5 | 7/6/2012 | 635285 | 2009 | SAMISH R 03.0005 | SAMISH H | WDFW | 635284 | 65 | 65562 | AD |
| 5 | 7/6/2012 | 634271 | 2007 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 634270 | 79 | 65571 | UM |
| 5 | 7/7/2012 | 180898 | 2009 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO | 180,895,180,896 | 61 | 65572 | AD |
| 5 | 7/7/2012 | 54278 | 2009 | SPRING CR 29.0159 | SPRING CR NFH | FWS | 54283 | 81 | 65573 | AD |
| 5 | 7/7/2012 | 635489 | 2009 | COL R @ PRIEST RAPIDS | PRIEST RAPIDS H | WDFW | 635,290,635,294,635 | 57 | 65574 | AD |
| 5 | 7/8/2012 | 210824 | 2008 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK H | NISQ | 634795 | 69 | 65577 | AD |
| 5 | 7/8/2012 | 220309 | 2009 | CAPTAIN JOHNS PD | LYONS FERRY H | NEZP |  | 61 | 65579 | AD |
| 5 | 7/12/2012 | 634873 | 2008 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 634872 | 74 | 65580 | AD |


| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release <br> Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \text { FL } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery <br> Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 7/13/2012 | 635297 | 2009 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK H | WDFW | 635298 | 62 | 65581 | AD |
| 5 | 7/20/2012 | 635182 | 2009 | GRAND RONDE R35.2192 | IRRIGON H | ODFW |  | 68 | 65585 | UM |
| 5 | 7/1/2012 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 62 | 65601 | AD |
| 5 | 7/6/2012 | 210906 | 2009 | WHITEHORSE SPRINGS | WHITEHORSE POND | STIL |  | 54 | 65602 | AD |
| 5 | 7/6/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 65 | 65603 | AD |
| 5 | 7/7/2012 | 210822 | 2008 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 634796 | 68 | 65605 | AD |
| 5 | 7/7/2012 | 210906 | 2009 | WHITEHORSE SPRINGS | WHITEHORSE POND | STIL |  | 51 | 65606 | AD |
| 5 | 7/5/2012 | 180898 | 2009 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO | 180,895,180,896 | 62 | 65608 | AD |
| 5 | 7/5/2012 | 635177 | 2008 | CHELAN R 47.0052 | CHELAN RIVER NP | WDFW |  | 62 | 65609 | AD |
| 5 | 7/6/2012 | 90256 | 2008 | BLIND SL (LWR COL R) | CEDC YOUNGS BAY NET | ODFW |  | 75 | 65612 | AD |
| 5 | 7/6/2012 | 635086 | 2009 | CHAMBERS CR 12.0007 | CHAMBERS CR H | WDFW |  | 55 | 65613 | AD |
| 5 | 7/6/2012 | 186046 | 2009 | R-CHEMAINUS R | SEASPRING SALMON FM | CDFO |  | 81 | 65614 | AD |
| 5 | 7/7/2012 | 220309 | 2009 | CAPTAIN JOHNS PD | LYONS FERRY H | NEZP |  | 54 | 65616 | AD |
| 5 | 7/8/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 56 | 65618 | AD |
| 5 | 7/8/2012 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 64 | 65619 | AD |
| 5 | 7/8/2012 | 634867 | 2008 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 70 | 65620 | AD |
| 5 | 7/8/2012 | 55228 | 2009 | SPRING CR 29.0159 | SPRING CR NFH | FWS | 55229 | 70 | 65621 | AD |
| 5 | 7/9/2012 | 180992 | 2009 | R-HARRISON R | H-CHEHALIS RIVER H | CDFO |  | 61 | 65623 | AD |
| 5 | 7/13/2012 | 180276 | 2008 | R-SHUSWAP R LOW | H-SHUSWAP RIVER, MID | CDFO |  | 78 | 65627 | AD |
| 5 | 7/13/2012 | 90343 | 2009 | SALMON R | SALMON R H | ODFW |  | 67 | 65628 | AD |
| 5 | 7/20/2012 | 634844 | 2008 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R H | WDFW | 634845 | 81 | 65634 | AD |
| 5 | 7/21/2012 | 220314 | 2009 | CAPTAIN JOHNS PD | LYONS FERRY H | NEZP |  | 52 | 65640 | UM |
| 5 | 7/21/2012 | 90342 | 2009 | ELK R | ELK R H | ODFW |  | 52 | 65643 | AD |
| 5 | 7/8/2012 | 180484 | 2008 | R-HARRISON R | H-CHEHALIS RIVER H | CDFO |  | 83 | 65706 | AD |
| 5 | 7/6/2012 | 634776 | 2008 | COWLITZ R 26.0002 | COWLITZ SALMON H | WDFW |  | 66 | 65712 | AD |
| 5 | 7/5/2012 | 634782 | 2008 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R H | WDFW |  | 86 | 65713 | AD |
| 5 | 7/6/2012 | 180896 | 2009 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO | 180,895,180,898 | 54 | 65714 | AD |
| 5 | 7/12/2012 | 90331 | 2009 | SNAKE R-1 (HELLS CAN) | UMATILLA H | ODFW |  | 62 | 65716 | AD |
| 5 | 7/13/2012 | 53277 | 2009 | L WHITE SALMON @ NFH | L WHITE SALMON NFH | FWS |  | 66 | 65721 | AD |
| 5 | 7/13/2012 | 180898 | 2009 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO | 180,895,180,896 | 61 | 65722 | AD |
| 5 | 7/15/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 66 | 65725 | AD |
| 5 | 7/19/2012 | 635179 | 2008 | COL R @ TURTLE ROCK | TURTLE ROCK H | WDFW |  | 71 | 65726 | AD |
| 5 | 7/19/2012 | 634841 | 2008 | FRIDAY CR 03.0017 | SAMISH H | WDFW | 634842 | 70 | 65728 | AD |
| 5 | 7/22/2012 | 210923 | 2009 | TULALIP CR 07.0001 | BERNIE GOBIN H | TULA |  | 56 | 65738 | AD |
| 5 | 7/22/2012 | 54966 | 2009 | L WHITE SALMON @ NFH | L WHITE SALMON NFH | FWS |  | 66 | 65740 | AD |
| 5 | 7/22/2012 | 634844 | 2008 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R H | WDFW | 634845 | 83 | 65741 | AD |
| 5 | 7/27/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 62 | 65747 | AD |
| 5 | 7/20/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 72 | 65749 | AD |
| 5 | 7/1/2012 | 180889 | 2009 | R-COWICHAN R | H-COWICHAN RIVER H | CDFO |  | 60 | 65752 | AD |
| 5 | 7/1/2012 | 635364 | 2009 | COLUMBIA NEAR WELLS | WELLS H | WDFW |  | 56 | 65754 | AD |


| Area | Recovery <br> Date | Tag <br> Code | Brood <br> Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release <br> Agency | DIT Codes | FL <br> (cm) | Label |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recovery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mark |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |$|$

Appendix B. 2 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the summer Area 6 mark-selective Chinook fisheries from July 1 - August 15, 2012.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release <br> Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \text { FL } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery <br> Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 7/10/2012 | 90323 | 2009 | BIG CR (LWR COL R) | BIG CR H | ODFW | 90148 | 61 | 65523 | AD |
| 6 | 7/10/2012 | 634780 | 2008 | ICY CR 09.0125 | ICY CR H | WDFW |  | 64 | 65524 | AD |
| 6 | 7/13/2012 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 55 | 65525 | AD |
| 6 | 7/14/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 58 | 65526 | AD |
| 6 | 8/6/2012 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 65 | 65529 | AD |
| 6 | 8/6/2012 | 631427 | 2008 | LAKEWOOD H | LAKEWOOD H | WDFW |  | 72 | 65530 | AD |
| 6 | 8/7/2012 | 635285 | 2009 | SAMISH R 03.0005 | SAMISH H | WDFW | 635284 | 60 | 65531 | AD |
| 6 | 8/10/2012 | 210831 | 2008 | BAKER R 03.0435 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 84 | 65533 | AD |
| 6 | 8/10/2012 | 635081 | 2008 | EAST SOUND BAY (SAN) | GLENWOOD SPRINGS | COOP |  | 67 | 65534 | AD |
| 6 | 8/10/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 59 | 65535 | AD |
| 6 | 7/3/2012 | 210860 | 2008 | KALAMA CR 11.0017 | KALAMA CR H | NISQ |  | 73 | 65651 | AD |
| 6 | 7/3/2012 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 61 | 65652 | AD |
| 6 | 7/9/2012 | 186046 | 2009 | R-CHEMAINUS R | SEASPRING SALMN FRM | CDFO |  | 56 | 65653 | AD |
| 6 | 7/16/2012 | 90331 | 2009 | SNAKE R-1 (HELLS CAN) | UMATILLA H | ODFW |  | 60 | 65654 | AD |
| 6 | 8/7/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 65 | 65659 | AD |
| 6 | 8/7/2012 | 54866 | 2008 | SPRING CR 29.0159 | SPRING CR NFH | FWS | 54867 | 88 | 65682 | AD |
| 6 | 7/22/2012 | 90199 | 2008 | BIG CR (LWR COL R) | BIG CR H | ODFW |  | 91 | 65683 | AD |
| 6 | 7/19/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 72 | 65684 | AD |
| 6 | 7/15/2012 | 210915 | 2009 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 61 | 65685 | AD |
| 6 | 7/8/2012 | 635289 | 2009 | KLICKITAT H (YKFP) | KLICKITAT H (YKFP) | YAKA |  | 57 | 65686 | AD |
| 6 | 7/7/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 58 | 65687 | AD |
| 6 | 7/7/2012 | 635086 | 2009 | CHAMBERS CR 12.0007 | CHAMBERS CR H | WDFW |  | 65 | 65688 | AD |
| 6 | 7/7/2012 | 634867 | 2008 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 77 | 65689 | AD |
| 6 | 7/7/2012 | 210831 | 2008 | BAKER R 03.0435 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 70 | 65690 | AD |
| 6 | 7/7/2012 | 180493 | 2009 | R-COWICHAN R | H-COWICHAN RIVER H | CDFO |  | 64 | 65691 | AD |
| 6 | 7/7/2012 | 210928 | 2009 | KALAMA CR 11.0017 | KALAMA CR H | NISQ |  | 68 | 65692 | AD |
| 6 | 7/7/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 63 | 65693 | AD |
| 6 | 7/7/2012 | 210855 | 2008 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 73 | 65694 | AD |
| 6 | 7/7/2012 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 68 | 65695 | AD |
| 6 | 7/3/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 69 | 65696 | AD |
| 6 | 7/2/2012 | 210905 | 2009 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK H | NISQ | 635096 | 56 | 65697 | AD |
| 6 | 7/2/2012 | 635081 | 2008 | EAST SOUND BAY (SAN) | GLENWOOD SPRINGS | COOP |  | 85 | 65698 | AD |
| 6 | 7/1/2012 | 55228 | 2009 | SPRING CR 29.0159 | SPRING CR NFH | FWS | 55229 | 68 | 65699 | AD |
| 6 | 7/6/2012 | 635086 | 2009 | CHAMBERS CR 12.0007 | CHAMBERS CR H | WDFW |  | 58 | 65925 | AD |
| 6 | 7/6/2012 | 635297 | 2009 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK H | WDFW | 635298 | 61 | 65926 | AD |
| 6 | 7/6/2012 | 210928 | 2009 | KALAMA CR 11.0017 | KALAMA CR H | NISQ |  | 70 | 65927 | AD |
| 6 | 7/5/2012 | 635288 | 2009 | VOIGHT CR TR 10.0428 | VOIGHTS CR H | WDFW |  | 70 | 65928 | AD |
| 6 | 7/12/2012 | 634873 | 2008 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 634872 | 64 | 70197 | AD |


| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release Agency | DIT Codes | $\underset{(\mathrm{cm})}{\mathrm{FL}}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 7/12/2012 | 635081 | 2008 | EAST SOUND BAY (SAN) | GLENWOOD SPRINGS | COOP |  | 81 | 70198 | AD |
| 6 | 7/12/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 79 | 70199 | AD |
| 6 | 7/13/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 65 | 70200 | AD |
| 6 | 8/9/2012 | 210915 | 2009 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 55 | 70201 | AD |
| 6 | 7/14/2012 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 58 | 70205 | AD |
| 6 | 7/14/2012 | 180890 | 2009 | R-COWICHAN R | H-COWICHAN RIVER H | CDFO |  | 55 | 70206 | AD |
| 6 | 7/18/2012 | 68672 | 2009 | SAN PABLO BAY NET | FEATHER R H | CDFG |  | 65 | 70207 | AD |
| 6 | 7/14/2012 | 635285 | 2009 | SAMISH R 03.0005 | SAMISH H | WDFW | 635284 | 63 | 70208 | AD |
| 6 | 7/19/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 66 | 70209 | AD |
| 6 | 7/18/2012 | 90323 | 2009 | BIG CR (LWR COL R) | BIG CR H | ODFW | 90148 | 82 | 70210 | AD |
| 6 | 7/19/2012 | 635577 | 2009 | COL R @ TURTLE ROCK | TURTLE ROCK H | WDFW |  | 56 | 70211 | AD |
| 6 | 8/5/2012 | 55228 | 2009 | SPRING CR 29.0159 | SPRING CR NFH | FWS | 55229 | 83 | 70213 | AD |
| 6 | 8/4/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 72 | 70214 | AD |
| 6 | 7/26/2012 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 54 | 70215 | AD |
| 6 | 7/27/2012 | 634778 | 2008 | COL R @ TURTLE ROCK | TURTLE ROCK H | WDFW |  | 76 | 70216 | AD |
| 6 | 7/28/2012 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 69 | 70217 | AD |
| 6 | 7/21/2012 | 635289 | 2009 | KLICKITAT H (YKFP) | KLICKITAT H (YKFP) | YAKA |  | 55 | 70218 | AD |
| 6 | 7/21/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 60 | 70219 | AD |
| 6 | 7/25/2012 | 210855 | 2008 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 77 | 70220 | AD |
| 6 | 7/25/2012 | 635469 | 2009 | EAST SOUND BAY (SAN) | GLENWOOD SPRINGS | COOP |  | 64 | 70221 | AD |
| 6 | 7/25/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 65 | 70222 | AD |
| 6 | 8/2/2012 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 65 | 70224 | AD |
| 6 | 8/10/2012 | 210905 | 2009 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK H | NISQ | 635096 | 65 | 70226 | AD |
| 6 | 8/8/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 72 | 70229 | AD |
| 6 | 8/11/2012 | 90237 | 2008 | MCKENZIE R 1 | MCKENZIE H | ODFW |  | 83 | 70247 | AD |
| 6 | 8/11/2012 | 181584 | 2010 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO | 181,679,181,592,181 | 57 | 70248 | AD |
| 6 | 8/8/2012 | 635292 | 2009 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R H | WDFW | 635293 | 59 | 70250 | AD |
| 6 | 8/5/2012 | 635369 | 2009 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 65 | 70251 | AD |
| 6 | 7/8/2012 | 180967 | 2009 | R-COWICHAN R | H-COWICHAN RIVER H | CDFO |  | 70 | 70348 | AD |
| 6 | 7/8/2012 | 634841 | 2008 | FRIDAY CR 03.0017 | SAMISH H | WDFW | 634842 | 71 | 70349 | AD |
| 6 | 7/8/2012 | 210822 | 2008 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 634796 | 74 | 70350 | AD |
| 6 | 7/8/2012 | 635285 | 2009 | SAMISH R 03.0005 | SAMISH H | WDFW | 635284 | 67 | 70351 | AD |
| 6 | 7/8/2012 | 634844 | 2008 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R H | WDFW | 634845 | 79 | 70352 | AD |
| 6 | 7/7/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 62 | 70353 | AD |
| 6 | 7/8/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 66 | 70395 | AD |
| 6 | 7/8/2012 | 210905 | 2009 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK H | NISQ | 635096 | 63 | 70396 | AD |
| 6 | 7/8/2012 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 63 | 70397 | AD |
| 6 | 7/8/2012 | 180486 | 2008 | R-HARRISON R | H-CHEHALIS RIVER H | CDFO |  | 80 | 70399 | AD |
| 6 | 7/8/2012 | 635285 | 2009 | SAMISH R 03.0005 | SAMISH H | WDFW | 635284 | 70 | 70400 | AD |
| 6 | 7/1/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 69 | 70451 | AD |


| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{FL} \\ (\mathrm{~cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 7/1/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 70 | 70452 | AD |
| 6 | 7/1/2012 | 55228 | 2009 | SPRING CR 29.0159 | SPRING CR NFH | FWS | 55229 | 82 | 70453 | AD |
| 6 | 7/1/2012 | 635086 | 2009 | CHAMBERS CR 12.0007 | CHAMBERS CR H | WDFW |  | 70 | 70454 | AD |
| 6 | 7/2/2012 | 635284 | 2009 | SAMISH R 03.0005 | SAMISH H | WDFW | 635285 | 68 | 70455 | UM |
| 6 | 7/2/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 73 | 70456 | AD |
| 6 | 7/2/2012 | 55228 | 2009 | SPRING CR 29.0159 | SPRING CR NFH | FWS | 55229 | 79 | 70457 | AD |
| 6 | 7/2/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 65 | 70458 | AD |
| 6 | 7/2/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 64 | 70459 | AD |
| 6 | 7/7/2012 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 68 | 70460 | AD |
| 6 | 7/7/2012 | 210831 | 2008 | BAKER R 03.0435 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 66 | 70461 | AD |
| 6 | 7/7/2012 | 610427 | 2009 | HANFORD REACH (36) | NA | CRFC |  | 70 | 70462 | AD |
| 6 | 7/7/2012 | 90331 | 2009 | SNAKE R-1 (HELLS CAN) | UMATILLA H | ODFW |  | 61 | 70463 | AD |
| 6 | 7/17/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 72 | 70468 | AD |
| 6 | 7/17/2012 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 66 | 70469 | AD |
| 6 | 7/28/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 73 | 70471 | AD |
| 6 | 7/28/2012 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 83 | 70472 | AD |
| 6 | 7/28/2012 | 90323 | 2009 | BIG CR (LWR COL R) | BIG CR H | ODFW | 90148 | 83 | 70473 | AD |
| 6 | 7/29/2012 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 65 | 70474 | AD |
| 6 | 8/3/2012 | 634782 | 2008 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R H | WDFW |  | 62 | 70475 | AD |
| 6 | 8/3/2012 | 54278 | 2009 | SPRING CR 29.0159 | SPRING CR NFH | FWS | 54283 | 83 | 70476 | AD |
| 6 | 8/3/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 62 | 70477 | AD |
| 6 | 8/4/2012 | 634867 | 2008 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 75 | 70478 | AD |
| 6 | 8/4/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 54 | 70479 | AD |
| 6 | 8/4/2012 | 635086 | 2009 | CHAMBERS CR 12.0007 | CHAMBERS CR H | WDFW |  | 69 | 70480 | AD |
| 6 | 8/4/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 60 | 70481 | AD |
| 6 | 8/5/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 63 | 70482 | AD |
| 6 | 8/12/2012 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 79 | 70484 | AD |
| 6 | 8/12/2012 | 634286 | 2007 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK H | WDFW | 634285 | 78 | 70485 | AD |
| 6 | 8/13/2012 | 635285 | 2009 | SAMISH R 03.0005 | SAMISH H | WDFW | 635284 | 57 | 70486 | AD |

Appendix B. 3 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the summer Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 16 - August 19, 2012.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release <br> Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \text { FL } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery <br> Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 7/20/2012 | 635297 | 2009 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK H | WDFW | 635298 | 69 | 9537 | AD |
| 9 | 7/22/2012 | 180898 | 2009 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO | 180,895,180,896 | 69 | 9538 | AD |
| 9 | 8/11/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 58 | 9542 | AD |
| 9 | 8/5/2012 | 210928 | 2009 | KALAMA CR 11.0017 | KALAMA CR H | NISQ |  | 71 | 14727 | AD |
| 9 | 8/7/2012 | 635086 | 2009 | CHAMBERS CR 12.0007 | CHAMBERS CR H | WDFW |  | 74 | 14728 | AD |
| 9 | 8/11/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 71 | 32626 | AD |
| 9 | 8/12/2012 | 210824 | 2008 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK H | NISQ | 634795 | 66 | 32627 | AD |
| 9 | 8/13/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 70 | 32628 | AD |
| 9 | 8/13/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 74 | 32629 | AD |
| 9 | 8/16/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 72 | 32630 | AD |
| 9 | 8/18/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | NA | 32631 | AD |
| 9 | 8/19/2012 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 70 | 32650 | AD |
| 9 | 7/31/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 83 | 43037 | AD |
| 9 | 7/31/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 67 | 43040 | AD |
| 9 | 7/31/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 65 | 43041 | AD |
| 9 | 8/3/2012 | 54278 | 2009 | SPRING CR 29.0159 | SPRING CR NFH | FWS | 54283 | 78 | 43042 | AD |
| 9 | 8/4/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 78 | 43043 | AD |
| 9 | 8/4/2012 | 634769 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW | 635082 | 68 | 43044 | AD |
| 9 | 8/4/2012 | 634284 | 2007 | VOIGHT CR 10.0414 | VOIGHTS CR H | WDFW |  | 90 | 43045 | AD |
| 9 | 8/5/2012 | 635285 | 2009 | SAMISH R 03.0005 | SAMISH H | WDFW | 635284 | 77 | 43046 | AD |
| 9 | 8/17/2012 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 69 | 43099 | AD |
| 9 | 8/16/2012 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 62 | 43100 | AD |
| 9 | 8/18/2012 | 635086 | 2009 | CHAMBERS CR 12.0007 | CHAMBERS CR H | WDFW |  | 70 | 51277 | AD |
| 9 | 7/29/2012 | 631427 | 2008 | LAKEWOOD H | LAKEWOOD H | WDFW |  | 67 | 60299 | AD |
| 9 | 7/30/2012 | 631427 | 2008 | LAKEWOOD H | LAKEWOOD H | WDFW |  | 65 | 60300 | AD |
| 9 | 8/10/2012 | 210916 | 2009 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 70 | 62658 | AD |
| 9 | 8/5/2012 | 635297 | 2009 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK H | WDFW | 635298 | 54 | 63766 | AD |
| 9 | 7/25/2012 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 59 | 63768 | AD |
| 9 | 7/17/2012 | 635285 | 2009 | SAMISH R 03.0005 | SAMISH H | WDFW | 635284 | 63 | 63769 | AD |
| 9 | 7/30/2012 | 634781 | 2008 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 79 | 66800 | AD |
| 9 | 7/22/2012 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 56 | 66870 | AD |
| 9 | 7/22/2012 | 210916 | 2009 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 61 | 66871 | AD |
| 9 | 8/11/2012 | 634864 | 2008 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK H | WDFW | 634865 | 68 | 67224 | AD |
| 9 | 8/12/2012 | 210831 | 2008 | BAKER R 03.0435 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 75 | 67225 | AD |
| 9 | 8/19/2012 | 210928 | 2009 | KALAMA CR 11.0017 | KALAMA CR H | NISQ |  | 65 | 67229 | AD |
| 9 | 8/9/2012 | 210928 | 2009 | KALAMA CR 11.0017 | KALAMA CR H | NISQ |  | 59 | 67231 | AD |
| 9 | 8/9/2012 | 635081 | 2008 | EAST SOUND BAY (SAN) | GLENWOOD SPRINGS | COOP |  | 82 | 67232 | AD |
| 9 | 8/11/2012 | 631427 | 2008 | LAKEWOOD H | LAKEWOOD H | WDFW |  | 73 | 67233 | AD |


| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release <br> Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \text { FL } \\ (\mathbf{c m}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 8/11/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 61 | 67234 | AD |
| 9 | 8/11/2012 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 66 | 67235 | AD |
| 9 | 8/2/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 68 | 67241 | AD |
| 9 | 8/18/2012 | 635288 | 2009 | VOIGHT CR TR 10.0428 | VOIGHTS CR H | WDFW |  | 55 | 67243 | AD |
| 9 | 8/19/2012 | 635297 | 2009 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK H | WDFW | 635298 | 69 | 67245 | AD |
| 9 | 7/22/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 68 | 67330 | AD |
| 9 | 7/27/2012 | 635081 | 2008 | EAST SOUND BAY (SAN) | GLENWOOD SPRINGS | COOP |  | 74 | 67333 | AD |
| 9 | 7/21/2012 | 210916 | 2009 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 59 | 67431 | AD |
| 9 | 8/11/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 67 | 67432 | AD |
| 9 | 7/22/2012 | 635288 | 2009 | VOIGHT CR TR 10.0428 | VOIGHTS CR H | WDFW |  | 69 | 67451 | AD |
| 9 | 7/24/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 69 | 67452 | AD |
| 9 | 7/25/2012 | 635288 | 2009 | VOIGHT CR TR 10.0428 | VOIGHTS CR H | WDFW |  | 66 | 67453 | AD |
| 9 | 7/25/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 56 | 67454 | AD |
| 9 | 7/27/2012 | 634781 | 2008 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 93 | 67455 | AD |
| 9 | 7/28/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 74 | 67456 | AD |
| 9 | 8/5/2012 | 181590 | 2010 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO | 181,679,181,584,181 | 52 | 67457 | AD |
| 9 | 8/11/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 74 | 67460 | AD |
| 9 | 7/16/2012 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 70 | 67461 | AD |
| 9 | 7/20/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 61 | 67462 | AD |
| 9 | 7/20/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 67 | 67463 | AD |
| 9 | 7/21/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 61 | 67464 | AD |
| 9 | 7/21/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 75 | 67465 | AD |
| 9 | 7/24/2012 | 634769 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW | 635082 | 69 | 67467 | AD |
| 9 | 7/28/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 74 | 67468 | AD |
| 9 | 7/28/2012 | 635288 | 2009 | VOIGHT CR TR 10.0428 | VOIGHTS CR H | WDFW |  | 72 | 67469 | AD |
| 9 | 8/2/2012 | 210856 | 2008 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 73 | 67470 | AD |
| 9 | 7/21/2012 | 634781 | 2008 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 87 | 67471 | AD |
| 9 | 7/27/2012 | 210905 | 2009 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK H | NISQ | 635096 | 53 | 67472 | AD |
| 9 | 8/2/2012 | 635288 | 2009 | VOIGHT CR TR 10.0428 | VOIGHTS CR H | WDFW |  | 59 | 67473 | AD |
| 9 | 8/4/2012 | 210916 | 2009 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 65 | 67474 | AD |
| 9 | 8/3/2012 | 634780 | 2008 | ICY CR 09.0125 | ICY CR H | WDFW |  | 74 | 67481 | AD |
| 9 | 7/16/2012 | 634780 | 2008 | ICY CR 09.0125 | ICY CR H | WDFW |  | 73 | 67482 | AD |
| 9 | 7/16/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 65 | 67483 | AD |
| 9 | 7/17/2012 | 635285 | 2009 | SAMISH R 03.0005 | SAMISH H | WDFW | 635284 | 66 | 67484 | AD |
| 9 | 7/22/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 64 | 67485 | AD |
| 9 | 7/27/2012 | 210822 | 2008 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 634796 | 81 | 67486 | AD |
| 9 | 7/27/2012 | 635298 | 2009 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK H | WDFW | 635297 | 63 | 67487 | UM |
| 9 | 7/29/2012 | 210862 | 2009 | CLARKS CRK H | CLARKS CRK H | PUYA |  | 64 | 67488 | AD |
| 9 | 7/29/2012 | 634844 | 2008 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R H | WDFW | 634845 | 70 | 67489 | AD |
| 9 | 7/29/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 69 | 67490 | AD |


| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release <br> Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \text { FL } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 7/21/2012 | 210862 | 2009 | CLARKS CRK H | CLARKS CRK H | PUYA |  | 63 | 67494 | AD |
| 9 | 7/21/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 70 | 67495 | AD |
| 9 | 7/27/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 65 | 67496 | AD |
| 9 | 7/16/2012 | 210822 | 2008 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 634796 | 78 | 70464 | AD |
| 9 | 7/16/2012 | 210905 | 2009 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK H | NISQ | 635096 | 59 | 70465 | AD |
| 9 | 7/16/2012 | 180678 | 2009 | R-COWICHAN R | H-COWICHAN RIVER H | CDFO |  | 61 | 70466 | AD |
| 9 | 7/16/2012 | 68672 | 2009 | SAN PABLO BAY NET | FEATHER R H | CDFG |  | 59 | 70467 | AD |
| 9 | 7/24/2012 | 631427 | 2008 | LAKEWOOD H | LAKEWOOD H | WDFW |  | 82 | 70470 | AD |

Appendix B. 4 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the summer Area 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 16 - August 19, 2012.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \text { FL } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 7/16/2012 | 634873 | 2008 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 634872 | 70 | 9535 | AD |
| 10 | 8/11/2012 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 77 | 51276 | AD |
| 10 | 8/9/2012 | 210822 | 2008 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 634796 | 70 | 51279 | AD |
| 10 | 8/9/2012 | 635288 | 2009 | VOIGHT CR TR 10.0428 | VOIGHTS CR H | WDFW |  | 61 | 51280 | AD |
| 10 | 8/9/2012 | 210916 | 2009 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 62 | 51281 | AD |
| 10 | 8/9/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 69 | 51282 | AD |
| 10 | 8/11/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 57 | 54793 | AD |
| 10 | 7/30/2012 | 210822 | 2008 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 634796 | 77 | 56804 | AD |
| 10 | 7/22/2012 | 210905 | 2009 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK H | NISQ | 635096 | 65 | 58477 | AD |
| 10 | 7/22/2012 | 631427 | 2008 | LAKEWOOD H | LAKEWOOD H | WDFW |  | 83 | 62654 | AD |
| 10 | 8/5/2012 | 635292 | 2009 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R H | WDFW | 635293 | 55 | 62656 | AD |
| 10 | 8/5/2012 | 210905 | 2009 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK H | NISQ | 635096 | 56 | 62657 | AD |
| 10 | 8/11/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 64 | 66875 | AD |
| 10 | 8/11/2012 | 635288 | 2009 | VOIGHT CR TR 10.0428 | VOIGHTS CR H | WDFW |  | 60 | 66876 | AD |
| 10 | 8/13/2012 | 635086 | 2009 | CHAMBERS CR 12.0007 | CHAMBERS CR H | WDFW |  | 66 | 67226 | AD |
| 10 | 7/25/2012 | 210915 | 2009 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 57 | 67331 | AD |
| 10 | 7/28/2012 | 634782 | 2008 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R H | WDFW |  | 75 | 67332 | AD |
| 10 | 7/28/2012 | 634782 | 2008 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R H | WDFW |  | 60 | 67334 | AD |
| 10 | 7/29/2012 | 210822 | 2008 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 634796 | 76 | 67335 | AD |
| 10 | 8/4/2012 | 634864 | 2008 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK H | WDFW | 634865 | 62 | 67336 | AD |
| 10 | 8/8/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 68 | 67339 | AD |
| 10 | 8/8/2012 | 210905 | 2009 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK H | NISQ | 635096 | 68 | 67340 | AD |
| 10 | 8/10/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 68 | 67341 | AD |
| 10 | 8/10/2012 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 68 | 67342 | AD |
| 10 | 8/11/2012 | 210905 | 2009 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK H | NISQ | 635096 | 77 | 67343 | AD |


| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \text { FL } \\ (\mathbf{c m}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 8/12/2012 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 75 | 67344 | AD |
| 10 | 8/12/2012 | 635297 | 2009 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK H | WDFW | 635298 | 67 | 67346 | AD |
| 10 | 7/27/2012 | 181588 | 2010 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO | 181,679,181,584,181 | 57 | 67349 | AD |
| 10 | 7/28/2012 | 180898 | 2009 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO | 180,895,180,896 | 65 | 67350 | AD |
| 10 | 8/4/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 60 | 67351 | AD |
| 10 | 8/5/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 66 | 67352 | AD |
| 10 | 8/7/2012 | 210822 | 2008 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 634796 | 80 | 67353 | AD |
| 10 | 8/7/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 60 | 67355 | AD |
| 10 | 8/10/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 62 | 67356 | AD |
| 10 | 8/11/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 73 | 67357 | AD |
| 10 | 8/11/2012 | 210928 | 2009 | KALAMA CR 11.0017 | KALAMA CR H | NISQ |  | 70 | 67359 | AD |
| 10 | 8/12/2012 | 210915 | 2009 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 69 | 67361 | AD |
| 10 | 8/13/2012 | 635086 | 2009 | CHAMBERS CR 12.0007 | CHAMBERS CR H | WDFW |  | 62 | 67362 | AD |
| 10 | 8/18/2012 | 635288 | 2009 | VOIGHT CR TR 10.0428 | VOIGHTS CR H | WDFW |  | 62 | 67365 | AD |
| 10 | 8/11/2012 | 635288 | 2009 | VOIGHT CR TR 10.0428 | VOIGHTS CR H | WDFW |  | 62 | 67459 | AD |
| 10 | 7/16/2012 | 210788 | 2007 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK H | NISQ | 634277 | 59 | 67492 | AD |
| 10 | 7/17/2012 | 631427 | 2008 | LAKEWOOD H | LAKEWOOD H | WDFW |  | 69 | 67493 | AD |
| 10 | 7/27/2012 | 634395 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 69 | 67497 | AD |
| 10 | 7/28/2012 | 635297 | 2009 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK H | WDFW | 635298 | 75 | 67500 | AD |
| 10 | 7/25/2012 | 635772 | 2010 | MINTER CR 15.0048 | MINTER CR H | WDFW |  | 41 | 67505 | UM |
| 10 | 7/27/2012 | 635288 | 2009 | VOIGHT CR TR 10.0428 | VOIGHTS CR H | WDFW |  | 70 | 67506 | AD |
| 10 | 7/28/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 58 | 67508 | AD |
| 10 | 8/3/2012 | 631427 | 2008 | LAKEWOOD H | LAKEWOOD H | WDFW |  | 83 | 67509 | AD |
| 10 | 7/28/2012 | 635288 | 2009 | VOIGHT CR TR 10.0428 | VOIGHTS CR H | WDFW |  | 59 | 67510 | AD |
| 10 | 8/7/2012 | 210790 | 2007 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 634276 | 60 | 67603 | AD |
| 10 | 8/4/2012 | 210915 | 2009 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 65 | 67604 | AD |
| 10 | 8/7/2012 | 210916 | 2009 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 62 | 67605 | AD |
| 10 | 8/7/2012 | 634782 | 2008 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R H | WDFW |  | 89 | 67607 | AD |
| 10 | 8/10/2012 | 210916 | 2009 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 61 | 67611 | AD |
| 10 | 8/12/2012 | 210788 | 2007 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK H | NISQ | 634277 | 80 | 67612 | AD |
| 10 | 8/12/2012 | 210905 | 2009 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK H | NISQ | 635096 | 68 | 67613 | AD |
| 10 | 8/12/2012 | 631427 | 2008 | LAKEWOOD H | LAKEWOOD H | WDFW |  | 72 | 67614 | AD |
| 10 | 8/19/2012 | 635297 | 2009 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK H | WDFW | 635298 | 65 | 67620 | AD |
| 10 | 7/30/2012 | 631427 | 2008 | LAKEWOOD H | LAKEWOOD H | WDFW |  | 74 | 67651 | AD |
| 10 | 8/2/2012 | 635288 | 2009 | VOIGHT CR TR 10.0428 | VOIGHTS CR H | WDFW |  | 64 | 67652 | AD |
| 10 | 8/2/2012 | 210856 | 2008 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 71 | 67653 | AD |
| 10 | 8/2/2012 | 210915 | 2009 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 68 | 67654 | AD |
| 10 | 8/5/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 61 | 67656 | AD |
| 10 | 8/5/2012 | 181590 | 2010 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO | 181,679,181,584,181 | 52 | 67657 | AD |
| 10 | 8/5/2012 | 210928 | 2009 | KALAMA CR 11.0017 | KALAMA CR H | NISQ |  | 68 | 67658 | AD |


| Area | Recovery <br> Date | Tag <br> Code | Brood <br> Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release <br> Agency | DIT Codes | FL <br> (cm) | Label |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recovery <br> Mark |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | $8 / 12 / 2012$ | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 57 | 67661 |
| 10 | $8 / 12 / 2012$ | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 68 | 67662 |
| 10 | $8 / 13 / 2012$ | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SD |  |  |  |
| 10 | $8 / 17 / 2012$ | 210824 | 2008 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK H | NISQ | 635089 | 64 | 67663 |
| 10 | $8 / 19 / 2012$ | 180993 | 2009 | R-HARRISON R | H-CHEHALLS RIVER H | CDFO | 634795 | AD |  |

Appendix B. 5 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the summer Area 11 mark-selective Chinook fishery from June 1 - September 30, 2012.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood <br> Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release <br> Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \text { FL } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recove ry Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 7/23/2012 | 634278 | 2008 | CHAMBERS CR 12.0007 | GARRISON H | WDFW |  | 72 | 8698 | AD |
| 11 | 8/19/2012 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 64 | 9418 | AD |
| 11 | 6/27/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 57 | 9489 | AD |
| 11 | 8/16/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 74 | 9491 | AD |
| 11 | 9/9/2012 | 635297 | 2009 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK H | WDFW | 635298 | 55 | 9493 | AD |
| 11 | 7/14/2012 | 634780 | 2008 | ICY CR 09.0125 | ICY CR H | WDFW |  | 82 | 9884 | AD |
| 11 | 7/27/2012 | 635288 | 2009 | VOIGHT CR TR 10.0428 | VOIGHTS CR H | WDFW |  | 65 | 9885 | AD |
| 11 | 8/15/2012 | 181584 | 2010 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO | 181,679,181,592,181 | 54 | 9886 | AD |
| 11 | 8/11/2012 | 210958 | 2010 | WHITEHORSE SPRINGS | STILLAGUAMISH H | SUQ |  | 48 | 9887 | AD |
| 11 | 8/19/2012 | 635086 | 2009 | CHAMBERS CR 12.0007 | CHAMBERS CR H | WDFW |  | 65 | 9888 | AD |
| 11 | 8/19/2012 | 635288 | 2009 | VOIGHT CR TR 10.0428 | VOIGHTS CR H | WDFW |  | 67 | 9889 | AD |
| 11 | 8/26/2012 | 635096 | 2009 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK H | NISQ | 210905 | 65 | 9919 | AD |
| 11 | 8/27/2012 | 210905 | 2009 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK H | NISQ | 635096 | 64 | 9920 | AD |
| 11 | 8/18/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 68 | 9938 | AD |
| 11 | 7/6/2012 | 635288 | 2009 | VOIGHT CR TR 10.0428 | VOIGHTS CR H | WDFW |  | 66 | 9999 | AD |
| 11 | 8/5/2012 | 210905 | 2009 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK H | NISQ | 635096 | 60 | 26012 | AD |
| 11 | 8/5/2012 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 66 | 26013 | AD |
| 11 | 8/8/2012 | 635086 | 2009 | CHAMBERS CR 12.0007 | CHAMBERS CR H | WDFW |  | 62 | 26014 | AD |
| 11 | 8/17/2012 | 635288 | 2009 | VOIGHT CR TR 10.0428 | VOIGHTS CR H | WDFW |  | 63 | 26015 | AD |
| 11 | 8/17/2012 | 210905 | 2009 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK H | NISQ | 635096 | 67 | 26016 | AD |
| 11 | 8/17/2012 | 635096 | 2009 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK H | NISQ | 210905 | 69 | 26018 | UM |
| 11 | 8/23/2012 | 210905 | 2009 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK H | NISQ | 635096 | 58 | 26019 | AD |
| 11 | 7/27/2012 | 635288 | 2009 | VOIGHT CR TR 10.0428 | VOIGHTS CR H | WDFW |  | 58 | 42233 | AD |
| 11 | 7/27/2012 | 634781 | 2008 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 88 | 42234 | AD |
| 11 | 8/4/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 63 | 42235 | AD |
| 11 | 8/4/2012 | 210862 | 2009 | CLARKS CRK H | CLARKS CRK H | PUYA |  | 60 | 42236 | AD |
| 11 | 8/12/2012 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 78 | 42241 | AD |
| 11 | 8/12/2012 | 635297 | 2009 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK H | WDFW | 635298 | 67 | 42242 | AD |


| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood <br> Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release <br> Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \text { FL } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 8/18/2012 | 634782 | 2008 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R H | WDFW |  | 80 | 42243 | AD |
| 11 | 8/19/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 78 | 42244 | AD |
| 11 | 7/2/2012 | 631427 | 2008 | LAKEWOOD H | LAKEWOOD H | WDFW |  | 73 | 56644 | AD |
| 11 | 6/23/2012 | 635297 | 2009 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK H | WDFW | 635298 | 60 | 56645 | AD |
| 11 | 7/7/2012 | 210928 | 2009 | KALAMA CR 11.0017 | KALAMA CR H | NISQ |  | 66 | 56647 | AD |
| 11 | 6/10/2012 | 635297 | 2009 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK H | WDFW | 635298 | 70 | 56649 | AD |
| 11 | 6/11/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 60 | 56650 | AD |
| 11 | 8/23/2012 | 210928 | 2009 | KALAMA CR 11.0017 | KALAMA CR H | NISQ |  | 59 | 56992 | AD |
| 11 | 7/5/2012 | 210905 | 2009 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK H | NISQ | 635096 | 65 | 62580 | AD |
| 11 | 8/10/2012 | 180896 | 2009 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO | 180,895,180,898 | 86 | 62582 | AD |
| 11 | 8/15/2012 | 635086 | 2009 | CHAMBERS CR 12.0007 | CHAMBERS CR H | WDFW |  | 69 | 62583 | AD |
| 11 | 8/11/2012 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR H | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 76 | 62584 | AD |
| 11 | 8/15/2012 | 635292 | 2009 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R H | WDFW | 635293 | 58 | 62585 | AD |
| 11 | 8/15/2012 | 210928 | 2009 | KALAMA CR 11.0017 | KALAMA CR H | NISQ |  | 67 | 62586 | AD |
| 11 | 8/15/2012 | 635086 | 2009 | CHAMBERS CR 12.0007 | CHAMBERS CR H | WDFW |  | 68 | 62587 | AD |
| 11 | 8/23/2012 | 635086 | 2009 | CHAMBERS CR 12.0007 | CHAMBERS CR H | WDFW |  | 71 | 62589 | AD |
| 11 | 7/24/2012 | 635297 | 2009 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK H | WDFW | 635298 | 73 | 62618 | AD |
| 11 | 7/29/2012 | 631427 | 2008 | LAKEWOOD H | LAKEWOOD H | WDFW |  | 77 | 62619 | AD |
| 11 | 9/7/2012 | 635086 | 2009 | CHAMBERS CR 12.0007 | CHAMBERS CR H | WDFW |  | 74 | 62621 | AD |
| 11 | 8/27/2012 | 635086 | 2009 | CHAMBERS CR 12.0007 | CHAMBERS CR H | WDFW |  | 70 | 62635 | AD |
| 11 | 6/27/2012 | 634780 | 2008 | ICY CR 09.0125 | ICY CR H | WDFW |  | 90 | 62637 | AD |
| 11 | 6/16/2012 | 634780 | 2008 | ICY CR 09.0125 | ICY CR H | WDFW |  | 78 | 62761 | AD |
| 11 | 6/27/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 77 | 62762 | AD |
| 11 | 7/8/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 73 | 62763 | AD |
| 11 | 7/27/2012 | 635288 | 2009 | VOIGHT CR TR 10.0428 | VOIGHTS CR H | WDFW |  | 58 | 62765 | AD |
| 11 | 7/29/2012 | 55228 | 2009 | SPRING CR 29.0159 | SPRING CR NFH | FWS | 55229 | 69 | 62766 | AD |
| 11 | 8/16/2012 | 634286 | 2007 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK H | WDFW | 634285 | 80 | 62768 | AD |
| 11 | 8/16/2012 | 635086 | 2009 | CHAMBERS CR 12.0007 | CHAMBERS CR H | WDFW |  | 63 | 62769 | AD |
| 11 | 8/21/2012 | 210824 | 2008 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK H | NISQ | 634795 | 70 | 62770 | AD |
| 11 | 8/21/2012 | 210905 | 2009 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK H | NISQ | 635096 | 56 | 62771 | AD |
| 11 | 8/24/2012 | 635573 | 2009 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW | 635574 | 58 | 62772 | AD |
| 11 | 8/24/2012 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 77 | 62773 | AD |
| 11 | 8/26/2012 | 635096 | 2009 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK H | NISQ | 210905 | 70 | 62775 | AD |
| 11 | 9/2/2012 | 635086 | 2009 | CHAMBERS CR 12.0007 | CHAMBERS CR H | WDFW |  | 65 | 62777 | AD |
| 11 | 7/14/2012 | 210915 | 2009 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 60 | 62862 | AD |
| 11 | 7/21/2012 | 210915 | 2009 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 72 | 62863 | AD |
| 11 | 8/5/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 65 | 62864 | AD |
| 11 | 8/12/2012 | 635086 | 2009 | CHAMBERS CR 12.0007 | CHAMBERS CR H | WDFW |  | 67 | 67360 | AD |
| 11 | 8/17/2012 | 210905 | 2009 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK H | NISQ | 635096 | 64 | 67364 | AD |
| 11 | 8/18/2012 | 210862 | 2009 | CLARKS CRK H | CLARKS CRK H | PUYA |  | 64 | 67367 | AD |


| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release <br> Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{FL} \\ (\mathrm{~cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Recov } \\ \text { ery } \\ \text { Mark } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 9/8/2012 | 210905 | 2009 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK H | NISQ | 635096 | 65 | 67391 | AD |
| 11 | 8/23/2012 | 210915 | 2009 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 58 | 67511 | AD |
| 11 | 7/25/2012 | 631427 | 2008 | LAKEWOOD H | LAKEWOOD H | WDFW |  | 59 | S65064 | AD |
| 11 | 8/10/2012 | 631427 | 2008 | LAKEWOOD H | LAKEWOOD H | WDFW |  | 77 | S65065 | AD |
| 11 | 8/24/2012 | 635086 | 2009 | CHAMBERS CR 12.0007 | CHAMBERS CR H | WDFW |  | 73 | S65069 | AD |
| 11 | 8/21/2012 | 635086 | 2009 | CHAMBERS CR 12.0007 | CHAMBERS CR H | WDFW |  | 62 | S65070 | AD |

Appendix B. 6 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the summer Area 12 mark-selective Chinook fishery from July 1 - September 30, 2012.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site |  | Rearing Hatchery | Release Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \text { FL } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery <br> Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 8/25/2012 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR | 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 76 | 51881 | AD |

Appendix B. 7 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the summer Area 13 mark-selective Chinook fishery from May 1 - September 30, 2012.

| Area | Recovery <br> Date | Tag <br> Code | Brood <br> Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release <br> Agency | DIT Codes | FL <br> (cm) | Label |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Recovery <br> Mark |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | $5 / 26 / 2012$ | 634867 | 2008 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 78 | 9488 |
| 13 | $9 / 1 / 2012$ | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 77 | 42245 |
| 13 | $8 / 17 / 2012$ | 635086 | 2009 | CHAMBERS CR 12.0007 | CHAMBERS CR H | WDFW |  | UM |  |
| 13 | $9 / 29 / 2012$ | 210972 | 2010 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 52727 | AD |
| 13 | $8 / 18 / 2012$ | 210928 | 2009 | KALAMA CR 11.0017 | KALAMA CR H | NISQ |  | 53 | 52747 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The regulations specific to winter mark-selective fisheries in Puget Sound Marine Catch Areas allowed for the retention of up to two legal-sized ( $\geq 22$ inches $[56 \mathrm{~cm}$ ]) marked Chinook salmon per day and required the immediate release of all unmarked or sublegal Chinook. Additionally, anglers were: $i$ ) required to use single-point, barbless hooks while fishing for salmon, $i i$ ) held to a combined (all salmon species) two-fish daily limit, and iii) held to a handling rule that prevented them from bringing unmarked and/or sublegal Chinook aboard their vessels.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Though the necessary tissue samples have been collected, DNA-based estimates of stock composition are presently unavailable for Puget Sound/Strait of Juan de Fuca mark-selective fisheries. In the present report, methods for producing CWT-based (unexpanded) estimates of the stock composition of marked Chinook harvest are provided.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1 /}$ Number of retained Chinook sampled includes all retained Chinook inspected for CWT's, from all sites sampled during the summer 2012 Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery (creel estimates and the fish sampled as part of baseline sampling).

[^4]:    ${ }^{1 /}$ Number of retained Chinook sampled includes all retained Chinook inspected for CWT's, from all sites sampled during the summer 2012 Area 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery (creel estimates and the fish sampled as part of baseline sampling).

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.

